




Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh
Ministry of Housing and Public Works
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE (UDD)



Final Report on

Data Linking of Database with the Main GIS database and Upload the data on Website Processing, Spatial translation of socioeconomic database and Linking with GIS database Analysis, Interpretation Presentation of existing situations

Socio-Economic and Other Surveys Package -4
Under

Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)”

Consultant



House –33(1stFloor), Road –12
Pisciculture Housing Society
Mohammadpur, Dhaka-1207

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

**Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh
Ministry of Housing and Public Works
URBAN DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE (UDD)**

**Final Report on
Data Linking of Database with the Main GIS database and Upload the
data on Website Processing, Spatial translation of socioeconomic database
and Linking with GIS database Analysis, Interpretation Presentation of
existing situations
Package-4
Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism
(PKCP)**

CONSULTANT



House –33(1stFloor), Road –12
Pisciculture Housing Society
Mohammadpur, Dhaka-1207
Tel 8190464
Mobile 01716291050
E-mail geomarkbd@gmail.com,

December, 2020

PREFACE

As assigned Consultant Firm for Socioeconomic and Other related survey (Package 4) under preparation of Payra kuakata comprehensive plan focusing Ecotourism (PKCP), Geomark Limited has fulfilled all the assigned tasks. Socio-Economic and Other related survey (Urban and rural economy, social space studies, education, as specified in the ToR) has been collected through smart technology and manpower. And secondary data is collected from different authentic sources. Socioeconomic and others data have been collected through online communication device (tablet) compatible format (apps) Kobo toolbox which have been already developed in consultation with Senior Planners and project Director (PD) of PKCP Project. The sampling design in this case has been taken as a stratified two-stage cluster sampling method. At first stage, the project area has been divided into Urban and Rural part then further the urban part has been divided into grid (200mX200m) and rural part is divided into 16 Union boundaries. In further, household of urban or rural area has been selected randomly.

Under field survey, we have successfully completed 818-questionnaire survey in Galachipa Upazila and 287-questionnaire survey in Rangabali Upazila. We analyzed the data from 1105 questionnaire under the entire Project area. We analyzed numerous variables and found different type of problem and prescribed some recommendations based on that. A unique ID has been given for each of the sample households so that they can be easily identified for future quarries. To ensure the sustainability and competability of the plan, we've incorporated additional study on climate change and disaster resilience which was not a listed assigned task but we did it as voluntary basis.

Thanking You and re-assuring our best efforts and services.



ANM Safiqul Alam
Managing Director
Geomark Limited
1st Floor, House-33, Road-12,
Pisciculture Housing Society,
Mohammadpur, Dhaka-1207

Executive Summary

Various socioeconomic factors such as income, expenditure and religious beliefs, healthy habits, relaxation, occupation patterns, social connections and regulations, social status etc. have a significant impact on the overall development of the area. From this survey, some socioeconomic factors were found that are directly related to the socioeconomic status of the survey area.

For the purpose of this survey, latest online technologies were integrated to ensure time management efficiency and data reliability. EpiCollect-5 and Kobo Toolbox online based data collection software were used to collect data from the field with geo-co-ordinate values. After conducting the survey, the data were extracted and necessary analysis has been performed. The results of the analysis have been displayed later in this report.

In the report, it has been found that 18% of the population is illiterate and 3% of the total residents are highly educated. Although in Galachipa Upazilas 82% people are educated, highly educated people are rarely seen. Therefore, there is still a need for improvement in the area's education. 40% people only primary pass and 20% are junior secondary pass.

Because most women are responsive to their profession as a housewife, the largest percentage of women in Galachipa Upazila. Single-family type was the common type of family in the Upazila, where the percentage was 83% single and 17% joint family. The maximum family members were aged between 30-50.

The distribution of religion in the Galachipa Upazila is roughly 90% Muslims, 10% Hindus.

In the survey it is found that 1.45% of residents in the Galachipa Upazila earned less than TK5,000 per month, and in the survey area only 3.99% earned more than TK50, 000 per month. 26.56% of the residents expressed that their income was within TK15, 000 and 23.57% of the respondents stated that their income was within TK20, 000.

Most of the expenditures are related to food expenditures in the Galachipa Upazila, 43% of the food expenditure was lower than TK 5, 000 but house rent expenditures were zero taka about 92% because they live in their own houses. Most of the residents in the survey area said that they spend Tk 1, 000 for transport purpose per month. 29% people said that they spend 2000tk for education purpose, 35% of people spend TK2000 for health purpose. In Galachipa Upazila, 72% of the respondents were with zero savings. Less than TK 5,000 saves 24% 2% of respondents managed to save higher than TK 5, 000. Only 1% are capable of saving higher than TK20, 000 per month.

Residents of Galachipa Upazila said that they have different types of problems like 53% of the respondents said that their canals have been encroached and banks of the river needs to be protected. 84% said that their environment is changing day by day so steps need to be taken to create environmentally friendly situation. 97% of respondents said that they have no regular entertainment source. In Galchaipa Upazila last year, 38% of the respondents were suffering from various diseases Database shows that 81% of children attend school. 50% of the respondents stated that the height of the embankment should be increased. 55% of respondents said that they have access to pure drinking water during the Cycloneperiod. 78% of the respondents stated that they have good arrangement for rainwater harvesting. 84% said that their sanitation was healthy.

In this survey, data have been collected in the prescribed format and the required number of samples were fulfilled. In this report some analysis and visual presentations using maps and statistics have been provided to summarize the results of the survey.

In Rangabali Upazila, it is found that more than 35% of the population is illiterate and 1% of the total residents are highly educated. Although in Rangabali Upazila 65% people are educated at certain level, highly educated people are rarely seen. Therefore, there is still need for improvement in the area's education

In Rangabali Upazila, 85% of family were single type family and 33% of the population aged between 40-50. The distribution of religion in the survey area is roughly 97.9% Muslims, 1.7% Hindus and 0.3% Buddhists.

From the survey it has been found that 21% of residents in the Rangabali Upazila earns less than TK10, 000 per month, only 3% earned more than TK50, 000 per month. 50% of the residents said that their income was between TK10, 000 to TK20000 and 26% of the respondents stated that their income was more than TK20, 000.

Most of the expenditures are related to food expenditures in the Rangabali Upazila, where 22% of the food expenditure was less than TK 5, 000. About 92% of the respondents said that they live their own house, with only 7% residents in the survey area said that they have to pay house rent. 31% of the respondents said that expenditure for education purposes was less than TK 1,000. 53% of respondents spend less than TK1000 on health care.

In the Rangabali Upazila 53% of the respondents were found with zero savings. 38% of the respondents saves less than TK 5,000. 3% of respondents managed to save less than TK10,000. Only 1% can afford to save higher than TK20, 000 per month.

People from Rangabali Upazila said that they have different types of problems such as 55% of the respondents said that their canals have been encroached and banks of the river need to be protected. 93% said that their environment is changing day by day so steps need to be taken to create environmentally friendly situation. 99% of respondents said that they have no regular entertainment source. In Rangabali Upazila last year, 25% of the respondents were suffering from various diseases Database shows that 66% of children attend their school.

In Rangabali Upazila, 89.9% of the respondents stated that the height of the embankment should be increased. 90.8% of respondents said that they have access to pure drinking water during the cyclone. 90% of the respondents stated that they have good system for rainwater harvesting. 52% of them said that their sanitation facility was healthy.

In this survey, data have been collected in the prescribed format and the required number of samples were fulfilled. In this report some analysis and visual presentations using maps and statistics have been provided to summarize the results of the survey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	II
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	III
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
CHAPTER 2 LINKAGE OF SURVEY OUTPUT WITH DEVELOPMENT PLANS	2
2.1 STRATEGIC PLAN FOR PAYRA KUAKATA COASTAL REGION AT REGIONAL LEVEL.....	2
2.1.1 <i>Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Strategic Plan for Payra Kuakata Coastal Region at Regional Level</i>	4
2.2 SUB- REGIONAL STRUCTURE ZONING CATEGORY.....	4
2.2.1 <i>Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Sub- Regional Structure Zoning</i>	5
2.3 CONSERVATION PLAN	5
2.3.1 <i>Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Conservation Plan</i>	6
2.4 COMPONENTS OF PLANNING STRUCTURE.....	6
2.4.1 <i>Regional Plan</i>	6
2.4.1.1 <i>Scope of Socio-economic Survey in Regional Plan</i>	8
2.4.2 <i>Structure Plan</i>	8
2.4.2.1 <i>Scope of Socio-economic Survey in Structure Planning</i>	12
2.4.3 <i>Urban Area Plan (UAP)</i>	12
2.4.3.1 <i>Scope in Urban Area Plan</i>	13
2.4.4 <i>Detailed Area Plan (DAP)</i>	13
2.4.4.1 <i>Scope in Detailed Area Plan</i>	13
2.4.5 <i>The Development Control Plan for National and Regional Highway Corridor</i>	14
2.4.6 <i>The Rural Plan</i>	14
2.4.6.1 <i>Scope in Rural planning</i>	14
2.4.7 <i>Sectoral Plan</i>	14
2.4.8 <i>Contingency Plan</i>	15
2.4.9 <i>The Action Plan</i>	15
2.4.9.1 <i>Scope in action area Plan</i>	18
CHAPTER 3 RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY AND ACTIVITY.....	19
3.1 OVERVIEW.....	19
3.2 TEAM MEMBER OF THE RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY.....	19
3.3 MEETING WITH LOCAL STAKEHOLDERS	19
3.4 MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY.....	20
3.4.1 <i>Basic Information of the Study area</i>	20
3.5 MEETING WITH STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECT AREA DURING RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY	21
3.6 TEA STALL MEETING AT IN FRONT GALACHIPA PAURASAVA.....	21
3.6.1 <i>Tea Stall Meeting Output</i>	21
3.6.2 <i>Focus Group Discussion Output</i>	22
CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY.....	23
4.1 DATA COLLECTION.....	23
4.2 SURVEY EQUIPMENT	23
4.3 SAMPLE SIZE DELINEATION.....	23
4.4 SAMPLING DESIGN.....	23
4.4.1 <i>Sample size Calculation:</i>	23
CHAPTER 5 GALACHIPA UPAZILA.....	25
CHAPTER 6 DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS.....	28
6.1 DATA COLLECTION	28
6.1.1 <i>Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection</i>	28
6.2 DATA ANALYSIS.....	28
6.2.1 <i>Basic Household information</i>	28
6.2.1.1 <i>Family Type</i>	28
6.2.1.2 <i>Religion Type Data Analysis</i>	29
6.2.1.3 <i>Age of the respondent</i>	29
6.2.1.4 <i>Sex</i>	30
6.2.1.5 <i>Education</i>	30
6.2.1.6 <i>Marital Status</i>	33
6.2.1.7 <i>Occupation</i>	33
6.2.1.8 <i>Relationship with Household Head</i>	35

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

6.2.1.9	Family Member.....	35
6.2.2	<i>Building Information</i>	37
6.2.2.1	House Type.....	37
6.2.2.2	House Construction Year.....	39
6.2.2.3	Housing Rules and Regulation.....	39
6.2.2.4	Design Approval.....	39
6.2.2.5	Reason for not following the rules to build house.....	39
6.2.2.6	Ownership of flat/ House/ land.....	40
6.2.2.7	Number of Floor.....	40
6.2.2.8	House Area.....	41
6.2.2.9	Number of House in the Area.....	41
6.2.2.10	Plinth Level.....	42
6.2.3	<i>Migration Status</i>	44
6.2.3.1	HH Birthplace.....	44
6.2.3.2	District Where Migrated From.....	44
6.2.3.3	Year of Migration.....	45
6.2.3.4	Migration Pattern.....	45
6.2.3.5	Member Staying Outside of the Locality.....	45
6.2.3.6	Reason of Migration.....	46
6.2.3.7	Reason of Stay Outside.....	46
6.2.4	<i>Visit home frequency</i>	47
6.2.5	<i>Household income, expenditure and savings</i>	47
6.2.5.1	Household Income.....	47
6.2.5.2	Household Expenditure.....	49
6.2.5.3	Expenditure on Children.....	51
6.2.5.4	Cloth Expenditure.....	51
6.2.5.5	Educational Expenditure.....	51
6.2.5.6	Expenditure on Food.....	52
6.2.5.7	Expenditure on Health.....	52
6.2.5.8	Transport cost.....	53
6.2.5.9	House Rent.....	54
6.2.5.10	Utility Expenditure.....	54
6.2.5.11	Monthly Saving.....	55
6.2.5.12	Place of Savings.....	55
6.2.5.13	Loan.....	55
6.2.5.14	Source of Loan.....	56
6.2.5.15	Monthly Installment to Pay.....	56
6.2.5.16	Economic Base.....	56
6.2.6	<i>Natural Disaster and Consequences</i>	59
6.2.6.1	Severity of Damage 2007 & 2009 Disaster.....	59
6.2.6.2	Level of recovery on during 2007 & 2009 Disaster.....	61
6.2.6.3	Severity of Damage During 2018 Disaster.....	61
6.2.6.4	Amount of damaged by Cyclone.....	62
6.2.6.5	Fire Hazard in Galachipa.....	64
6.2.6.6	Amount of Damaged by Fire.....	64
6.2.6.7	Flow Tide in Galachipa Upazila.....	64
6.2.6.8	Amount of Damaged by Tidal Surge.....	65
6.2.6.9	Cyclone in Year.....	65
6.2.6.10	River Bank Erosion.....	65
6.2.6.11	Operational Switch Gate.....	67
6.2.6.12	Symptom of climate change.....	67
6.2.7	<i>Infrastructure and drainage</i>	67
6.2.7.1	Drainage Type.....	67
6.2.7.2	Height of the Embankment.....	68
6.2.7.3	Increasing the Height of Embankment.....	68
6.2.8	<i>Disease and Healthcare</i>	68
6.2.8.1	Suffered from Diseases.....	68
6.2.8.2	Medical Facility.....	70
6.2.8.3	List of Disease.....	70
6.2.9	<i>Utility and waste management</i>	71
6.2.9.1	Source of Fuel.....	71
6.2.9.2	Source of Light.....	73
6.2.9.3	Type of Sanitation.....	75
6.2.9.4	Waste Disposal system.....	75
6.2.10	<i>Water supply and Drainage</i>	75
6.2.10.1	Water logging.....	75
6.2.10.2	Cause of water logging.....	76
6.2.10.3	Operational switch gates.....	76
6.2.10.4	Water quality.....	76
6.2.10.5	Source of Drinking Water.....	77
6.2.10.6	Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood.....	79
6.2.10.7	Water Supply Sufficiency.....	79
6.2.10.8	Problem to Collect Water.....	79

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

6.2.10.9	Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water.....	80
6.2.11	<i>Other Infrastructure and Facility.....</i>	<i>80</i>
6.2.11.1	Problem of Main Road.....	80
6.2.11.2	Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Distance from House.....	80
6.2.11.3	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House.....	81
6.2.11.4	Bazar, Post office & Shopping Transport Mode.....	81
6.2.11.5	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode from House.....	82
6.2.11.6	Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time from House.....	82
6.2.11.7	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Travel Time from House.....	83
6.2.11.8	Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Service Quality.....	83
6.2.11.9	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality.....	84
6.2.11.10	Recreation Type.....	84
6.2.11.11	Distance of Recreational place.....	85
6.2.11.12	Location of Recreational Place.....	85
6.2.11.13	Passing Leisure Time.....	85
6.2.11.14	First Problem in This Area.....	86
6.2.12	<i>Sanitation and Hygiene.....</i>	<i>88</i>
6.2.12.1	Sanitation Condition.....	88
6.2.12.2	Type of Sanitation.....	88
6.2.12.3	hygienic sanitation.....	88
6.2.13	<i>Landuse Change and other problems.....</i>	<i>89</i>
6.2.13.1	Landuse Change.....	89
6.2.13.2	The Area of Land willing to Give (sq. ft.).....	89
6.2.13.3	Willingness to Give land for widening of road.....	89
6.2.14	<i>Educational Condition.....</i>	<i>91</i>
6.2.14.1	School Going Children.....	91
6.2.14.2	Cause of School Dropping.....	91
6.3	SWOT ANALYSIS OF GALACHIPA UPAZILA BASED ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY FINDINGS.....	92
6.4	PLANNING RECOMMENDATION FOR GALACHIPA UPAZILA BASED ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY FINDINGS.....	93
CHAPTER 7 RANGABALI UPAZILA.....		95
7.1	TOTAL POPULATION.....	95
7.2	LITERACY RATE AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS.....	95
7.3	CULTURAL ORGANISATIONS.....	95
7.4	MAIN SOURCES OF INCOME.....	95
7.5	OWNERSHIP OF AGRICULTURAL LAND.....	95
7.6	ACCESS TO ELECTRICITY.....	95
CHAPTER 8 DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS.....		97
8.1	DATA COLLECTION.....	97
8.1.1	<i>Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection.....</i>	<i>97</i>
8.2	DATA ANALYSIS.....	97
8.2.1	<i>Basic Household Information.....</i>	<i>97</i>
8.2.1.1	Family Type.....	97
8.2.1.2	Region Type Data Analysis.....	98
8.2.1.3	Age of the respondent.....	98
8.2.1.4	Sex.....	98
8.2.1.5	Education.....	99
8.2.1.6	Marital Status.....	101
8.2.1.7	Occupation.....	101
8.2.1.8	Relationship with Household Head.....	103
8.2.1.9	Family Member.....	103
8.2.2	<i>Building Information.....</i>	<i>105</i>
8.2.2.1	House Type.....	105
8.2.2.2	House Construction Year.....	107
8.2.2.3	Housing Rules and Regulation.....	107
8.2.2.4	Reason for not following the rules to build house.....	107
8.2.2.5	Ownership of flat/ House/ land.....	108
8.2.2.6	Structure of the House.....	108
8.2.2.7	Number of Floor.....	108
8.2.2.8	House Area.....	108
8.2.2.9	Number of House in the Area.....	109
8.2.2.10	Plinth Level.....	109
8.2.3	<i>Migration status.....</i>	<i>111</i>
8.2.3.1	HH Birthplace.....	111
8.2.3.2	District Where Migrated From.....	111
8.2.3.3	Year of Migration.....	111
8.2.3.4	Migration Pattern.....	112
8.2.3.5	Member Staying Outside of the Locality.....	112
8.2.3.6	Reason of Stay Outside.....	113

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

8.2.4	<i>Household income, expenditure and savings</i>	113
8.2.4.1	Household Income	113
8.2.4.2	Household Expenditure.....	113
8.2.4.3	Expenditure on Children	114
8.2.4.4	Cloth Expenditure	114
8.2.4.5	Educational Expenditure.....	114
8.2.4.6	Expenditure on Food.....	115
8.2.4.7	Expenditure on health	115
8.2.4.8	Transport cost.....	117
8.2.4.9	House Rent.....	117
8.2.4.10	Utility Expenditure.....	117
8.2.4.11	Monthly Saving.....	117
8.2.4.12	Place of Savings.....	118
8.2.4.13	Loan	118
8.2.4.14	Source of Loan.....	118
8.2.4.15	Monthly Installment to Pay.....	119
8.2.4.16	Economic Base.....	119
8.2.5	<i>Natural Disaster and Consequences</i>	122
8.2.5.1	Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster	122
8.2.5.2	Level of Recovery on During 2007 & 2009 Disaster	122
8.2.5.3	Severity of damage during 2018	122
8.2.5.4	Level of Recovery of damage during 2018.....	123
8.2.5.5	Amount of damage by Cyclone (Tk)	123
8.2.5.6	Amount damage by Fire (TK).....	126
8.2.5.7	Amount of Damaged by Tidal Surge	126
8.2.5.8	River Bank Erosion.....	126
8.2.5.9	Operational Switch Gate.....	127
8.2.5.10	Symptom of climate change.....	127
8.2.5.11	Flow Tide in Rangabali Upazila	129
8.2.6	<i>Infrastructure and Drainage</i>	129
8.2.6.1	Drainage Type.....	129
8.2.6.2	Height of the Embankment	129
8.2.6.3	Increasing the Height of Embankment	130
8.2.7	<i>Disease and Healthcare</i>	130
8.2.7.1	Infected by Diseases	130
8.2.7.2	Type of Diseases.....	131
8.2.7.3	Medical Facility	131
8.2.8	<i>Utility and Waste Management</i>	134
8.2.8.1	Source of Fuel	134
8.2.8.2	Source of Light	136
8.2.8.3	Waste Disposal system	136
8.2.9	<i>Water Supply and Drainage</i>	136
8.2.9.1	Water logging.....	136
8.2.9.2	Operational switch gates.....	137
8.2.9.3	Water quality.....	137
8.2.9.4	Source of Drinking Water.....	137
8.2.9.5	Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood.....	138
8.2.9.6	Water Supply Sufficiency	138
8.2.9.7	Problem to Collect Water.....	138
8.2.9.8	Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water.....	139
8.2.10	<i>Other Infrastructure and Facility</i>	139
8.2.10.1	Problem of Main Road.....	139
8.2.10.2	Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance.....	139
8.2.10.3	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House.....	140
8.2.10.4	Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode.....	140
8.2.10.5	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode	141
8.2.10.6	Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time	141
8.2.10.7	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time	141
8.2.10.8	Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality.....	142
8.2.10.9	Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality.....	142
8.2.10.10	Location of Recreational Place	143
8.2.10.11	Passing Leisure Time.....	143
8.2.10.12	Recreation Type	143
8.2.10.13	Distance of Recreational place.....	144
8.2.10.14	First Problem of this area.....	144
8.2.11	<i>Sanitation and Hygiene</i>	146
8.2.11.1	Type of Sanitation.....	146
8.2.11.2	Hygienic sanitation	146
8.2.12	<i>Land use Change and Other Problems</i>	146
8.2.12.1	Land use Change.....	146
8.2.12.2	The Area of Land You Want to Give (sq. ft.)	147
8.2.12.3	Widening of Road.....	147
8.2.13	<i>Educational Condition</i>	148

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

8.2.13.1	School Going Children	148
8.2.13.2	Cause of School Dropping	148
8.3	SWOT ANALYSIS OF RANGABALI UPAZILA BASED ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY FINDINGS	149
8.4	PLANNING RECOMMENDATION FOR RANGABALI UPAZILA BASED ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY FINDINGS	150
CHAPTER 9 OTHERS SURVEY.....		151
9.1	EDUCATIONAL SURVEY.....	151
9.1.1	Availability of hostel	151
9.1.2	Availability of Intuition transport facility	151
9.2	HEALTH FACILITY	152
9.3	SWOT ANALYSIS BASED ON OTHERS SURVEY FINDINGS	153
9.4	PLANNING RECOMMENDATION BASED ON OTHERS SURVEY FINDINGS	153
CHAPTER 10 LINKAGE WITH PHYSICAL FEATURE SURVEY DATA		154
CHAPTER 11 DISASTER RESILIENCE.....		155
11.1	RECENT DISASTER CASE STUDY (CYCLONE AMPHAN)	155
11.2	MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS OF INFRASTRUCTURAL AND ECONOMIC STRENGTH TOWARDS DISASTER RESILIENCE	157
11.3	INFRASTRUCTURAL STRENGTH	160
11.4	DISASTER RESILIENCE CAPACITY ZONING	164
CHAPTER 12 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REGIONAL PLAN AND SUB REGIONAL STRUCTURE PLAN WITH SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EQUITY		169
12.1	INTRODUCTION.....	169
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REGIONAL PLAN CONSIDERING SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EQUITY	169
12.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUB REGIONAL PLAN CONSIDERING SOCIAL JUSTICE	170
CHAPTER 13 CONCLUSION.....		171
APPENDIX- UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA & RANGABALI UPAZILA		169

List of Figures

Figure 3-1 Meeting with Mayor at Galachipa Paurasava.....	19
Figure 3-2 Tea stall meeting at Horidevpur Bazar, Golkhali Union	22
Figure 4-1 Family Type in Galachipa Upazila	29
Figure 4-2 Age Distribution	30
Figure 4-3 Educational Status	30
Figure 4-4 Marital Status in Galachipa Upazila	33
Figure 4-5 Occupation in Galachipa Upazila	33
Figure 4-6 Respondent's Relationship with Household head	35
Figure 4-7 Number of family member.....	35
Figure 4-8 Type of Houses.....	37
Figure 4-9 House Construction Year	39
Figure 4-10 Reason for not following the Rules	40
Figure 4-11 Ownership of flat/ House/ land	40
Figure 4-12 Number of floors	41
Figure 4-13 House Area Including Yard	41
Figure 4-14 Number of House in the Area	42
Figure 4-15 Height of plinth level	42
Figure 4-16 Birthplace of the household head.....	44
Figure 4-17 District Where Migrated From	44
Figure 4-18 Year of Migration.....	45
Figure 4-19 Migration Pattern.....	45
Figure 4-20 Member Staying Outside of the Locality	46
Figure 4-21 Reason of Migration.....	46
Figure 4-22 Reason for Stay Outside.....	46
Figure 4-23 Visit Home frequency	47
Figure 4-24 Household Income.....	47
Figure 4-25 Monthly Expenditure	49
Figure 4-26 Expenditure on Children	51
Figure 4-27 Expenditure on Cloth	51
Figure 4-28 Expenditure on Education	52
Figure 4-29 Expenditure on Food	52
Figure 4-30 Expenditure on Health.....	52

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Figure 4-31 Expenditure on Transport.....	54
Figure 4-32 Expenditure on House Rent	54
Figure 4-33 Utility Expenditure	54
Figure 4-34 Monthly Savings.....	55
Figure 4-35 Place of Saving.....	55
Figure 4-36 Economic Base of the Area.....	57
Figure 4-37 Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster	59
Figure 4-38 Level of Recovery on During 2007 & 2009 Disaster	61
Figure 4-39 Severity of Damage During 2018 Disaster.....	61
Figure 4-40 Amount of damage by Cyclone/Tornado	62
Figure 4-41 Fire Hazard in Galachipa	64
Figure 4-42 Amount of damage in Fire Hazard	64
Figure 4-43 Ammount of damaged by Surge	65
Figure 4-44 Cyclonein your area	65
Figure 4-45 Drainage type.....	67
Figure 4-46 Height of the Embankment	68
Figure 4-47 Diseases they suffered from.....	70
Figure 4-48 Medical Facility.....	70
Figure 4-49 Source of Fuel.....	71
Figure 4-50 Source of Light	73
Figure 4-51 Type of Sanitation	75
Figure 4-52 Waste Disposal Location	75
Figure 4-53 Causes of water logging	76
Figure 4-54 Duration of water logging	76
Figure 4-55 Water Quality	77
Figure 4-56 Source of Drinking Water	77
Figure 4-57 Problem to Collect Water.....	79
Figure 4-58 Problem of Main Road.....	80
Figure 4-59 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance from House	81
Figure 4-60 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House	81
Figure 4-61 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode.....	82
Figure 4-62 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode.....	82
Figure 4-63 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time	83
Figure 4-64 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time	83
Figure 4-65 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality.....	84
Figure 4-66 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality Hospital Distance (km)	84
Figure 4-67 Recreation Facilities Distance from House	85
Figure 4-68 Location of Recreation.....	85
Figure 4-69 Passing Leisure Time	86
Figure 4-70 First Problem in This Area.....	86
Figure 4-71 Sanitation Condition.....	88
Figure 4-72 Type of Sanitation	88
Figure 4-73 Hygienic sanitation.....	88
Figure 4-74 Causes of Land use change	89
Figure 4-75 The Area of Land willing To Give (sq. ft.)	89
Figure 4-76 Willingness to Give land for widening of road	90
Figure 4-77 Cause of children not going to school	91
Figure 6-1 Distribution of Religion	98
Figure 6-2 Distribution of Age of the Respondents	98
Figure 6-3 Distribution of Education.....	99
Figure 6-4 Distribution of Marital Status	101
Figure 6-5 Distribution of Occupation.....	101
Figure 6-6 Respondent's Relationship with Household head.....	103
Figure 6-7 Number of family member distribution.....	103
Figure 6-8 House Type.....	105
Figure 6-9 Year of Construction of House	107
Figure 6-10 Plan Approval.....	107
Figure 6-11 Reason for Not Following the Rules	107
Figure 6-12 Structure of the House.....	108
Figure 6-13 House Area Including Yard	109
Figure 6-14 Plinth Height of the house.....	109
Figure 6-15 District Where Migrated From	111
Figure 6-16 Year of Migration.....	112
Figure 6-17 Migration Pattern.....	112
Figure 6-18 Member Staying Outside of the Locality	112
Figure 6-19 Reason of Migration.....	113

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Figure 6-20 Reason for Stay Outside.....	113
Figure 6-21 Household Income.....	113
Figure 6-22 Household Monthly Expenditure.....	114
Figure 6-23 Expenditure on Children.....	114
Figure 6-24 Expenditure on Cloth.....	114
Figure 6-25 Expenditure on Education.....	115
Figure 6-26 Expenditure on Food.....	115
Figure 6-27 Expenditure on Health.....	115
Figure 6-28 Expenditure on Transport.....	117
Figure 6-29 Expenditure on House Rent.....	117
Figure 6-30 Expenditure on Electricity, Fuel, Telephone etc.....	117
Figure 6-31 Monthly Savings.....	118
Figure 6-32 Place of Saving.....	118
Figure 6-33 Status of Loan.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 6-34 Source of Loan.....	119
Figure 6-35 Monthly Installment to Pay.....	119
Figure 6-36 Economic Base of the Area.....	119
Figure 6-37 Severity of Damage During 2007 & 2009 Disaster.....	122
Figure 6-38 Level of Recovery During 2007 & 2009 Disaster.....	122
Figure 6-39 Severity of damage during 2018.....	123
Figure 6-40 Level of Recovery During 2018 Disaster.....	123
Figure 6-41 Amount of damage by Cyclone(Tk).....	124
Figure 6-42 Amount of Damaged by Fire.....	126
Figure 6-43 Amount of Damage by Flow Tide.....	126
Figure 6-44 Flow Tide in Rangabali Upazila.....	129
Figure 6-45 Drainage Type.....	129
Figure 6-46 Height of the Embankment.....	130
Figure 6-47 Infected by Diseases.....	131
Figure 6-48 Type of Diseases.....	131
Figure 6-49 Medical Facilities.....	131
Figure 6-50 Source of Fuel.....	134
Figure 6-51 Source of Light.....	136
Figure 6-52 Waste Disposal location.....	136
Figure 6-53 Cause of water logging.....	137
Figure 6-54 Quality of Water.....	137
Figure 6-55 Sources of Water.....	138
Figure 6-56 Problem to Collecting Water.....	138
Figure 6-57 Problem of Main Road.....	139
Figure 6-58 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance.....	140
Figure 6-59 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House.....	140
Figure 6-60 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode.....	141
Figure 6-61 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode.....	141
Figure 6-62 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time.....	141
Figure 6-63 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time.....	142
Figure 6-64 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality.....	142
Figure 6-65 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality.....	143
Figure 6-66 Location for Recreation.....	143
Figure 6-67 passing leisure Time.....	143
Figure 6-68 Recreation Facilities Distance from House.....	144
Figure 6-69 First Problem in This Area.....	144
Figure 6-70 Type of Sanitation.....	146
Figure 6-71 Hygienic sanitation.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 6-72 Reason of Land use change.....	146
Figure 6-73 The Area of Land willing to Give.....	147
Figure 6-74 Necessity of road widening.....	147
Figure 6-75 Cause of children not going to school.....	148
Figure 7-1 Availability of Hostel.....	151
Figure 7-2 Availability of Intuitional transport facility.....	151
Figure 11-1 Damages from CycloneAmphan.....	156
Figure 11-2 Model work flow.....	158

List of Tables

Table 3-1 List of institution of the project area	20
Table 3-2 Small scale industries	20
Table 3-3 Number of growth center, hat /bazar, poultry farm, dairy farm, nursery, horticulture center, brick kiln	20
Table 3-4 Occurrence of river erosion during the years 2008-2011	21
Table 3-5 List of people joint in tea stall meeting	21
<i>Table 3-1 Galachipa Upazila Location</i>	<i>25</i>
Table 4-1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection.....	28
Table 4-2 Family Type in Galachipa Upazila	29
Table 4-3 Distribution of religion	29
Table 4-4 Sex of the respondents	30
Table 4-5 Plan/Design Approval.....	39
Table 4-6 Ownership of flat/ House/ land	40
Table 4-7 Birthplace of the household head	44
Table 4-8 Loan Status.....	55
Table 4-9 Source of Loan.....	56
Table 4-10 Monthly Installment to Pay	56
Table 4-11 Flow tide in Galachipa.....	64
Table 4-12 River Bank Erosion	65
Table 4-13 Operational Switch Gate	67
Table 4-14 Symptom of climate change	67
Table 4-15 Drainage type.....	67
Table 4-16 Increase the Height of Embankment	68
Table 4-17 Suffered from Diseases.....	68
Table 4-18 Diseases List	70
Table 4-19 Operational switch gates	76
Table 4-20 Water Quality.....	76
Table 4-21 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood.....	79
Table 4-22 Water Supply Sufficiency	79
Table 4-23 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water	80
Table 4-24 Recreation Type.....	84
Table 4-25 Willingness to Give land for widening of road	89
Table 4-26 School Going Children	91
Table 5-1 Rangabali Upazila Information	95
Table 5-2 Upazila wise population distribution	96
Table 6-1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection.....	97
Table 6-2 Family Type	97
Table 6-3 Sex Type	98
Table 6-4 Ownership of flat/ House/ land	108
Table 6-5 Number of Floor	108
Table 6-6 Number of House in the Area	109
Table 6-7 Birth place of the household head.....	111
Table 6-8 River Bank Erosion.....	126
Table 6-9 Operational Switch Gate.....	127
Table 6-10 Symptom of climate change.....	127
Table 6-11 Drainage Type.....	129
Table 6-12 Increase the Height of Embankment	130
Table 6-13 Infected by Diseases	130
Table 6-14 Operational switch gates.....	137
Table 6-15 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood.....	138
Table 6-16 Water Supply Sufficiency	138
Table 6-17 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water	139
Table 6-18 Recreation Type.....	144
Table 6-19 School Going Children	148
Table 7-1 Health Facility Scenereo.....	152
<i>Table 11-1 Weightage Table</i>	<i>160</i>
<i>Table 11-2 Weightage Table</i>	<i>165</i>

List of Maps

<i>Map 5-1 Galachipa Upazila Location</i> Source LGED	27
Map 6-1 Educational Status of the Respondents	32
Map 6-2 Occupational status of the Respondents	34
Map 6-3 Number of family member distribution	36
Map 6-4 Structure type of the Houses	38

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Map 6-5 Height of plinth level.....	43
Map 6-7 Household Income distribution	48
Map 6-8 Monthly Expenditure distribution	50
Map 6-11 Expenditure on Health.....	53
Map 6-15 Economic Base of the Area.....	58
Map 6-16 Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster on Agricultural products	60
Map 6-22 cyclone scenereo in Galachipa	63
Map 6-24 River Bank Erosion in Galachipa	66
Map 6-26 Disease scenereo in Galachipa	69
Map 6-28 Fuel source in Galachipa Upazila	72
Map 6-29 Source of Light in Galachipa	74
Map 6-33 Source of Drinking Water in Galachipa	78
Map 6-37 First Problem in Galachipa.....	87
Map 7-1 Rangabali Upazila Location.....	96
Map 8-1 Distribution of Educational Status	100
Map 8-2 Distribution of Occupation.....	102
Map 8-3 Number of family member distribution	104
Map 8-4 House Type	106
Map 8-5 Plinth Height of the house.....	110
Map 8-9 Expenditure on Health.....	116
Map 8-12 Economic Base of the Area	121
Map 8-14 Cyclone occurrence	125
Map 8-16 Symptom of climate change.....	128
Map 8-18 Medical Facilities	133
Map 8-19 Source of Fuel.....	135
Map 8-24 First Problem in This Area	145
Map 10-1 Location of Households that were surveyed for Socioeconomic data.....	154
Map 10-2 Socio economic data within Physical feature shapefile's attribute.....	154
Map 11-1 Criteria Maps	159
Map 11-2 Infrastructural Strength.....	163
Map 11-3 Disaster Resilience Capacity Map.....	168

Chapter 1 Introduction

Physical Plan aims to improve the living condition of the residents of the area for which such a plan has been prepared. In order to ascertain the felt needs, expectations and the level of prevailing services it is necessary to conduct socio-economic surveys. Socio-economic Survey provides the socio-economic profile of an area as well as attitudes/aspirations of the residents which help the planners to decide on the requirement of land for different uses as well as level of services that needs to be ensured and incorporated in the plan document. The TOR provides for survey households of the project area to get information on the following aspects

- **Demographic information:** Age, sex, growth rate, household size, migration, etc.
- Religious Group, Religious status.
- **Education Status:** Primary, secondary, higher & others
- **Occupation Pattern:** Government, private, business, farming, labor and others
- **Income Level:** Lower, medium and higher (income range)
- **Ownership Pattern:** Land ownership information, type of dwelling houses etc.
- **Land Value:** Low land, ditch land, built-up & buildable land etc.
- **Health Facilities:** Type of facilities in hospital, private clinic and dispensary etc.
- **Recreation facilities:** Type of facilities (Active and Passive).

Data from both the secondary and primary sources will be utilized to accomplish the specified objectives of the study.

1.1 Background

The proposed project would be prepared on a regional development perspective considering the region as a part of whole of Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila containing 17 unions.

Chapter 2 Linkage of Survey output with Development Plans

2.1 Strategic Plan for Payra Kuakata Coastal Region at Regional Level

The survey firm would assist in preparing Regional Plan for Payra Kuakata Coastal Region would be prepared for 20 years according to the guidelines form: National policies, Formulated and integrated different sectorial strategies at regional level, spatially interpreted sectoral strategies at sub regional level, formulated Conservation Plan at regional level and formulated Development Plan.

It is also necessary to figure it out the economic disparity by using “shift-share analysis” or “input-output analysis” technique among the zilas and Upazilas within each district for drawing the future socio-economic development scenario. The Plan would also study on the following component at regional level of Payra-Kuakata Coastal Regions:

- Land Study:
 - Review existing Land use and Development Plans, Upazila Plan Books.
 - Change in Land Category and Land Use after FCD
 - Assessment of change in land use after construction of major infrastructure
 - Settlement Pattern
 - Hinterland, Location and level of major facilities at sub regional level
 - Hierarchy of settlements within the sub region
 - Identification of major criteria of the settlements
- Hydrology:
 - Local rivers: Hydrodynamic, Morphological, Geomorphologic development
 - Impact of FCD and FCDI at sub regional level
- Environmental studies:
 - Related Environmental Policies, Acts and Laws (in regional planning study)
 - Environmental Procedures and Guidelines (in sub regional planning study)
 - Economic, Social, Biological and Physical Environment at regional level
- Hazard management:
 - Review on guidelines on Hazard management at sub regional level
 - Hazard mapping considering natural hazards: Flood, water logging, drainage congestion, salinity intrusion according to guidelines on Hazard and Risk management at sub regional level
- Water Resource Management

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

- Agriculture water management at sub regional level
- Domestic water management at sub regional level
- Transport Studies (Rail, road, and water)
- Overview of the Existing Transport Situation
- General Situation of Road Infrastructure
- Situation of Road Transport (Passengers)
- Road Transport (Goods)
- Water Transport
- Major Traffic Generating Centres and Areas of Congestion
- Traffic Flow Characteristics
- Road Transport Services
- River Traffic Situation
- Travel Pattern
- Road Network Development
- Situation of Rural Transport
- Location of key point installation at sub regional level
- Strategic Issues to be addressed in planning the Future Transport System
- Population Study
- Spatial distribution of population and its changes since 1991
- Study on Basic services (major urban area):
- Housing,
- Sanitation
- Communication
- Energy
- Education
- Health
- Economic Activities:
- Agriculture
- Industry
- Fisheries
- Forestry
- Disparity analysis
- Anthropological and Ethnographical Study

- Livelihood Study of local people
- Ethnographical Study
- Heritage, Archaeology and Tourism management
- Potentials of Tourism in the sub region
- Planning Tourism for the sub region
- Linkage of Tourism to Recreation and Sports
- Potential Sites of Heritage
- Archaeological sites

2.1.1 Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Strategic Plan for Payra Kuakata Coastal Region at Regional Level

In Socio economic survey, we'll study the cultural behavior, demography, livelihood pattern, Economic aspects, living environment, facilities like shopping, medical, recreational, etc. this survey also includes questionnaire on disaster damage.

All these knowledges are important to make a successful Strategic Plan for Payra Kuakata Coastal Region at Regional Level.

2.2 Sub- Regional Structure Zoning Category

In order to promote and protect public safety welfare by (i) minimising adverse effect resulting from the inappropriate location or use of sites and structures, (ii) conserving limited land resources and encouraging their efficient use, sub-regional structure plan would be prepared at upazila level. To carry out the purposes and provisions of the project as they apply within the context of the Sub-regional Structure Plan, the following land zoning category would be followed:

- Main flood flow zone
- Sub flood flow zone
- Critical ecological zone
- Wetland
- Forest
- Agricultural land
- Urban area
- Rural settlements
- Forest settlements

- Industrial moderate hazards
- Industrial low hazards
- Water supply protection zone
- Restricted flood protection reserve
- Restricted military / public safety
- Restricted road / rail/ utility reserve
- Restricted special

2.2.1 Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Sub- Regional Structure Zoning

For regional level plan it is important to consider socio economic aspects of the region. Because to prepare an effective regional level plan, it is a key component to analyze the life style and social behavior of the people living in the region as the success of any development depends on adoptive capability of the people.

Socio Economic study can open a broader window to interact with people and gathering knowledge on people's perspective, their life style, their economic condition, their tradition, norms, as well as their activity, expenditure, education, household information etc.

Though, the questionnaire survey will be based on limited sample not the entire population of the region, still it can produce a complete picture based on reliable, well distributed and representative number of samples.

We strongly believe that the findings from our Socio-Economic study will be beneficiary for the Sub- Regional level plan as well.

2.3 Conservation Plan

Major Land use pressure is heavily depending on the ecosystems and resources of the existing nature. Land-use conflicts and clearly unsustainable uses may be found in planning areas. There is a clear need for broad-based, multi-sectoral and long-term development management, including community-based initiatives in sanitation, biomass preservation and collective management of natural resources, including more detailed priorities such as ecosystem preservation of fisheries habitat, maintenance of biological diversity and productivity, forestry management, containment of saltwater intrusion and population risk management. Also needed are institutional and regulatory actions.

Contrary to some current impressions, conservation and economic development are not conflicting ideas. In fact, well-planned conservation-oriented development will add to the general economic and social prosperity of a coastal community, while bad development will

sooner or later have a negative effect. With innovative management based upon sustainable use, communities may be able to achieve a desirable balance without serious sacrifice to either short-term development progress or longer-term conservation needs. In broad sense Conservation Plan would cover ecology and environment, land forms: forest, wetland, rivers and agricultural land, Major infrastructures, area of archaeological/ anthropological interest.

2.3.1 Scope of Socio-Economic Survey in Conservation Plan

People living in a specific region has the best understanding on their surroundings. It is important to consider what people are thinking about the problems and benefits of their existing condition. They are well aware about what to keep and what to remove, and what to improve. In the socio-economic study, we included questionnaire about the environment and disaster, migration pattern and reason behind them, Occupation and income pattern, land use change etc. which will partially aid the knowledge on ecology and environment, land forms etc.

Other survey includes the area of archaeological/ anthropological interest.

2.4 Components of Planning Structure

Components of the Planning Structure are:

2.4.1 Regional Plan

Regional Plan will be prepared for next 20 years. The plan will provide guide line for landuse and infrastructure for the next 20 years. This plan will be prepared under the guidelines of national police policies and strategies from sectoral agencies at regional level. The principal components of such plan are as follows:

- (1) An inventory of existing physical, demographic, social, economic, infrastructure, Topographic, Ecological, Hydrological, Environmental, Geological, Hydro-geological and related features.
- (2) An analysis of the major existing problems
- (3) Identification of major constraints and Opportunities/ Potentialities of the region
- (4) Consideration of national policies and policies from sectoral agencies

The regional plan would cover up to the year 2035 with the content and meaning of the National policy of planning guideline commission and guidelines laid in the Seventh Five Years Plan. Vision 2021, Perspective Plan 2020, Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PESP), National Water Management Plan (NWMP), Coastal Zone Management Programme, Wetland Protection Act, Environmental Laws, Forest Act, Economic Zone Act, The Building Construction Act, 1952 etc.

The Regional Plan would include studies on:

- (1) Land Study
- (2) Hydrology: Hydrological study based on the secondary data-set of the Upazilas and connecting rivers (Hydrodynamic characteristics, morphological characteristics, Dominant Hydrodynamic and Morphologic process, Conceptual Model of Dominant Hydro morphologic process, Impact of FCD in the area)
- (3) Environmental studies
- (4) Hazard/Disaster management: Flood, water logging, drainage congestion, salinity intrusion and cyclone, Earthquake, tsunami, tidal surge, river and coastal erosion, drought etc.
- (5) Water resource and Coastal Zone Management
- (6) Transport Studies (Rail, road, and water)
- (7) Population Study
- (8) Study on Basic services (major urban area)
- (9) Economic Activities
- (10) Forest Resources including Flora and Fauna
- (11) Anthropological and Ethnographical Study
- (12) Heritage, Archaeology and Tourism management

The purpose of a Regional plan is to prepare an indicative plan considering seven upazilas of Payra-Kuakata Coastal Region as a whole in an integrated and comprehensive manner to lessen the uncertainty about what presently exists and what is likely to happen in future and to provide a basis for different agencies, public and private, to proceed on the basis of a common goal by providing a framework for overall development.

Components:

- Translation of outputs of upper stages of planning in more specific terms:
 - ❖ Settlement: Rural and urban
 - ❖ Transportation infrastructure: Road, rail, water, air
 - ❖ Infrastructure: All sectors of both physical and social depending on local condition
- Requirements:
 - ❖ Final Delineation of :
 - Agriculture
 - Non-agriculture: urban, rural and special (both natural and man-made)

- Sensitivity to flood and drought
- Sensitivity to manmade and natural disasters specially earthquake.

Output:

- Conservation plan (primary, secondary and tertiary tidal and flood plan; and predicted different hazard scenario including cyclone, tidal surge, tsunami, earthquake hazard)
- Delineation of the structure of different infrastructures: Point, Linear and Area
- Interpretation of proposal of upper level policies
- To guide long term growth and development
- To provide basis from coordinating decision, development action within the urban area
- Provide guidance for development control
- Framework for local plan
- Focus planning issues of the urban area to the govt. and public

Composite Structure Plan reflects the complexity of the area.

Scale: R.F. 1: 50000 or as per justified scale

Period: 20 Years

2.4.1.1 Scope of Socio-economic Survey in Regional Plan

For regional level plan it is important to consider socio economic aspects of the region. Because to prepare an effective regional level plan, it is a key component to analyze the life style and social behavior of the people living in the region as the success of any development depends on adoptive capability of the people.

Socio Economic study can open a broader window to interact with people and gathering knowledge on people's perspective, their life style, their economic condition, their tradition, norms, as well as their activity, expenditure, education, household information etc.

Though, the questionnaire survey will be based on limited sample not the entire population of the region, still it can produce a complete picture based on reliable, well distributed and representative number of samples.

We strongly believe that the findings from our Socio-Economic study will be beneficiary for the Regional Plan as well.

2.4.2 Structure Plan

- a) The term **Structure Plan** is derived from British planning practice but has been internationally adopted.

b) The principal components of such a plan are:

- An inventory of existing physical, demographic, economic, social and infrastructure features.
- An analysis of the major existing problems.
- An estimation of trends and changes likely in future (for the next 20 years).
- The identification of the major constraints on and opportunities for development.
- Consideration of the major development options and policies.
- An indication of the most suitable areas for such development.
- The identification of the priorities in each sector and the major activities needed to implement the development strategy.

The structure plan concentrates on the broad structure of the seven *Upazilas* and is not concerned with the details of physical layout or individual development details which cannot be implemented until the later stages of the planning period. In those areas and sectors where action is anticipated or proposed within a relatively short time however, more detail may be needed than is provided in the structure plan. Such appropriate level of detail is provided in the action plan.

The Structure Plan for the seven *Upazila* for 20 years. It would cover up to 2031 with the content and meaning of the development policy of Planning Commission and guidelines laid in the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP), National Water Management Plan (NWMP), Coastal Zone Management Project (CZMP), Disaster Management Plan, Comprehensive Disaster Management Programme, Wetland Protection Act, Environmental Laws, Forest Act etc.

The Structure Plan would include studies on:

- Hydrological study on the of the seven *Upazila* and connecting rivers (Tidal Characteristics, Hydrodynamic characteristics, Morphological characteristics, Geomorphologic development, Dominant Hydrodynamic and Morphologic process, Conceptual Model of Dominant Hydro morphologic process, Impact of FCD in the area)
- Disaster management: Flood, water logging, drainage congestion, salinity intrusion and cyclone, Tidal Surge, Earthquake, Tsunami, Costal erosion etc.
- Water Resource Management
- Land Study: Change in Land Category and Land Use after FCD
- Livelihood Study (pattern before and after FCD)

- Settlement Pattern (before and after FCD)
- Population Study
- Housing, Water supply and Sanitation
- Communication, energy, education and health
- Agriculture and fisheries
- Transport system (road and water)
- Ecology, Environment and impact of climate change

These sectoral studies would provide planning guidelines for land use and physical infrastructure. Land use, physical feature and spot level survey would be carried over the whole project area (detail would be provided in the TOR).

The seven *Upazila* suffer from impact of climate change, flood, drainage congestion, salinity intrusion, cyclone, tidal surge as well as social, environmental, water resources conflicts in land use and water use. Polderisation made the situation much more complicated. As the FCD and FCDI projects raised conflicts in land/water use, there is a need for a legal instrument in order to regulate land use in a manner that would encourage orderly urban and rural settlements in accordance with the strategic policies of the Structure Plan. This is in order to promote and protect public safety welfare by (i) minimising adverse effect resulting from the inappropriate location or use of sites and structures, (ii) conserving limited land resources and encouraging their efficient use. To carry out the purposes and provisions of the project as they apply within the context of the Structure Plan, the following land zoning category would be followed:

- Tidal zone
- Main flood flow zone
- Sub flood flow zone
- Critical ecological region
- Water supply protection zone
- Mixed use planned zone
- Mixed use spontaneous zone
- Tribal settlements
- Rural settlements
- Industrial low hazards
- Restricted flood protection reserve
- Restricted military/public safety
- Restricted road/rail/utility reserve

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

- Restricted special
- Height Restriction Zone for (e.g. Civil Aviation)
- Spring and Neap tide zone
- General tourist zone
- Exclusive Tourists and Recreation zone for foreign tourists
- Trade and Commercial zone
- Fish Processing and Fishing Village zone
- Resources Forest zone and So, on

Components:

- Translation of outputs of upper stages of planning in more specific terms:
 - ❖ Settlement: Rural and urban
 - ❖ Transportation infrastructure: Road, rail, water, air
 - ❖ Infrastructure: All sectors of both physical and social depending on local condition
- Requirements:
 - ❖ Final Delineation of :
 - Agriculture
 - Non-agriculture: urban, rural and special (both natural and man-made)
- Sensitivity to flood and drought
- Sensitivity to manmade and natural disasters specially earthquake.

Output:

- Conservation plan (primary, secondary and tertiary tidal and flood plan; and predicted different hazard scenario including cyclone, tidal surge, tsunami, earthquake hazard)
- Delineation of the structure of different infrastructures: Point, Linear and Area
- Interpretation of proposal of upper level policies
- To guide long term growth and development
- To provide basis from coordinating decision, development action within the urban area
- Provide guidance for development control
- Framework for local plan
- Focus: planning issues of the urban area to the govt. and public Composite Structure Plan reflects the complexity of the area.

Scale: R.F. 1: 10000 or as per justified scale

Period: 20 Years

2.4.2.1 Scope of Socio-economic Survey in Structure Planning

People living in a specific region has the best understanding on their surroundings. It is important to consider what people are thinking about the problems and benefits of their existing condition. They are well aware about what to keep and what to remove, and what to improve. In the socio-economic study, we included questionnaire about the environment and disaster, migration pattern and reason behind them, Occupation and income pattern, land use change etc. which will partially aid the knowledge on ecology and environment, land forms etc.

2.4.3 Urban Area Plan (UAP)

UAP of urban settlements of Kalapara upazila like Kuakata, Kalapara Upazila Town, Khepupara, Keranipara, Misripara and Amkholapara; and Amtali Upazila Town, Taltoli upazila Town and other urban area of Amtali, Pathorgata, Barguna sadar Upazila and Galachipa Upazila including newly created Rangabali Upazila and would guide land use and infrastructure within the area potential for urban settlements within next 10 years. The UAP would emphasise over already defined areas.

Component:

- Landuse
- Population
- Infrastructure (Transport, Drainage & flood protection, water supply, sewerage, sanitation and solid waste, electricity etc.)
- Shelter and Housing
- Disaster including cyclone, tidal surge, tsunami, earthquake
- Other provision

Output:

1. Explanatory reports based on field survey and projections covering following aspects:
 - Demography
 - Agriculture
 - Economy
 - Transport
 - Drainage, Water Supply and Sanitation
 - Environment

- Housing
- Social facilities
- Utility services
- Industry
- Land Use & Settlement, etc.

2. Development Control Report on Landuse and Infrastructure development

Scale: R.F:1:3960 or as per justified scale

Period: 10 Years

2.4.3.1 Scope in Urban Area Plan

Socio Economic study can open a broader window to interact with people and gathering knowledge on people's perspective, their life style, their economic condition, their tradition, norms, as well as their activity, expenditure, education, household information etc.

Though, the questionnaire survey will be based on limited sample not the entire population of the region, still it can produce a complete picture based on reliable, well distributed and representative number of samples.

We strongly believe that the findings from our Socio-Economic study will be beneficiary for the Urban Area Plan as well.

2.4.4 Detailed Area Plan (DAP)

DAP is a separate planning document for urban areas and major growth centers covering the first five (5) year period of the structure plan. It examines the content of the structure plan and contains more detailed and more limited range of subjects than the structure plan. It provides a detailed guideline of the area. Detailed area plan contains use and resection for each plot. Restricted and permitted use for each plot is described in a detailed area plan.

Scale: R.F:1:990 or as per justified scale

Period: 5 Years

2.4.4.1 Scope in Detailed Area Plan

Socio Economic study can indicate that until a Detailed Area Plan is prepared for a sub-area, land use management functions will be exercised through the policies, guidelines and principles found in the Structure Plan and Urban Area Plan. However, without DAP efficient land management would not be possible. We strongly believe that the findings from our Socio-Economic study will be beneficiary for the Urban Area Plan as well. In the socio-economic

study, we included questionnaire about the environment and disaster, migration pattern and reason behind them, Occupation and income pattern, land use change etc.

2.4.5 The Development Control Plan for National and Regional Highway Corridor

Corridor Development Plan would cover the areas outside the urban areas under **Payra-Kuakata Coastal Region** along with the national and regional highway of the **Region to prohibit ribbon development**. The planning period for the component is 10 years. The plan would emphasize over retaining efficiency of the national highway. Content (with thematic map) of The Development Control Plan for Highway Corridor will be the similar or revised version (where necessary) of The Urban Area Plan.

Scale: R.F:1:3960 or as per justified scale

Period: 10 Years

2.4.6 The Rural Plan

The Rural Plan is the guideline for the land use control for the rural areas for next 10 years except the urban area and highway corridor area. The plan would emphasize over retaining the characteristic of the rural part of the project. It also provides guide line for necessary physical & social infrastructure which may needed for sustainable rural development particularly for rural growth centres. The main concern of this plan is to preserve the agriculture land as much as possible. Content (with thematic map) of Rural Plan will be the similar or revised version (where necessary) of The Urban Area Plan.

Scale: R.F:1:10000 or as per justified scale

Period: 10 Years

2.4.6.1 Scope in Rural planning

In rural area, it is important to understand the lifestyle and income pattern of the people living there. Socioeconomic survey is the best way to know them.

2.4.7 Sectoral Plan

Sectoral Plan will cover the detailed plan for different sector/sectoral agency (such as drainage plan). This will need detail study and will give recommendations and proposal for different sectors.

Scale: R.F:1:7920 or as per justified scale

Period: 10 Years

2.4.8 Contingency Plan

Contingency Plan will be prepared for a time of 20 year under the time frame of structure plan. This will include the proposal regarding emergency respond. This will help the govt. organization to respond effectively while emergency.

Scale: R.F:1:3960 or as per justified scale

Period: 10 Years

2.4.9 The Action Plan

The Action Plan is a separate document covering each five-year period of the structure plan. It examines, in the context of the structure plan, those items that might be implemented in this period subject to its priority appraisal and thus contains more detail on a more limited range of subjects than the structure plan. It tries to provide the seven *Upazila* with guidance in deciding between priorities.

The action plan consists of parts, a summary of resources available, project selection and project evaluation. The analysis of available resources looks at the past availability of funds, insofar as this is possible for such a recent institution as an *Upazila* and attempts to assess funds likely to be available for the seven *Upazila* themselves for development in the action plan period. Project selection summarizes existing guidelines as they affect five-year plans and lists the criteria used in selection before identifying priorities in each sector and proposing projects to address these priorities. Project evaluation looks at projects, which might be locally funded over the five-year period, given budgetary and other constraints, looks at projects which cannot be locally funded but which might be considered by national agencies operating locally and makes preliminary assessments of larger scale projects, which would need larger investment. The purpose of a plan is to lessen uncertainty about what presently exists and what is likely to happen in future and to provide a basis for different agencies, public and private, to proceed on the basis of a common goal by providing a framework for overall development.

Main features:

- Strategy of structure plan
- Detail basis for development control (development activities)
- To provide basis for coordination of both public and private sector
 - To bring local and detailed planning issues before the public and involve the local community in planning stage
- Set out policy of selected area

- Local contextualization of structure plan
- Describe a map specific proposal
- Fixation criteria for laying down development control necessary to establish locally appropriate standard

The objective of the action plan is to evaluate those projects, which should be implemented during the first five years life of the structure plan. It thus contains more detail on a more limited range of subjects.

Components: The objective of the action plan is to evaluate those projects, which should be implemented during each five-year life of the structure plan. It thus contains more detail on a more limited range of subjects. It consists of four parts:

(i) Project Selection: This consists, basically, of the actions listed for the first five-year period in the implementation chapter of the structure plan. While the importance of maintenance has been stressed throughout the structure plan, maintenance activities by themselves, except where they form a part of a development project, are not included in the action plan.

All the projects listed are needed in the first 5-year phase. Their selection is based on a variety of criteria. These include the maintenance of existing provision levels, the need to develop new areas and to address the worst problems. In other instances, they are the first increment in meeting standards selected for the year 2031. There are however financial restraints, which mean that priorities have to be established even for such a small list. After the projects have been evaluated therefore, availability of resources is considered and some priorities drawn.

(ii) Project Evaluation: Project evaluation is done for the projects, which might be locally funded, and for those unlikely to be locally funded but which are the responsibility of a Ministry or another central agency. Ideally, funds would be made available for implementing priority projects following evaluation. This unfortunately is not the case but the evaluations will assist the local agencies in deciding upon priorities for using local development funds and in pressing for action by national agencies.

The evaluations vary according to information available but overall are more qualitative than quantitative. They cover the following aspects:

- Nature of project
- Location
- Justification (why project needed)
- Approximate cost including maintenance element

- Beneficiaries, direct and indirect
- Agency responsible
- Risk/difficulties/problems anticipated

(iii) Analysis of Resources: Though most of the development that takes place will be carried out by private individuals, the single most important developer is likely to be the Paurashava /Upazila followed by other public agencies. This analysis looks at the past availability of funds (insofar as this is possible) and assesses the sum likely to be available for development during the action plan period. This can only be done for the local agency's funds, as it is not possible to estimate how a ministry or central agency's fund is apportioned between various towns, as other priorities in other areas are not known. Proposals can however be made on their own merit and the appropriate agency and action identified.

(iv) Establishing Priorities: It is worth repeating that all the actions/projects selected and evaluated are required to bring about development along the lines advocated in the structure plan. Nevertheless, constraints make it difficult to carry out all these activities in even such a small program. Where possible, therefore, priorities are recommended. It is the funding authority concerned, which should decide upon priorities, but the evaluations can assist in this decision.

There are limits also to recommending priorities. They can only be made within sectors e.g. construction of road A favored over road B and not between sectors e.g. between clinic A and school B. It may however be pointed out that the absence of one precludes the other e.g. a road to develop a new area is needed before a school should be provided in that area. Even within a sector, while the relative importance of projects can be assessed, priorities cannot be recommended if the source of funding is different.

There are limits also to recommending priorities. They can only be made within sectors e.g. construction of road A favored over road B and not between sectors e.g. between clinic A and school B. It may however be pointed out that the absence of one precludes the other e.g. a road to develop a new area is needed before a school should be provided in that area. Even within a sector, while the relative importance of projects can be assessed, priorities cannot be recommended if the source of funding is different. A Schematic Diagram Showing Tier of Development Plan has been shown in Diagram-1.

- ❖ Scale: R.F. 1:990 or as per justified scale
- ❖ Period: 5 Years

2.4.9.1 Scope in action area Plan

In the socio-economic study, we included questionnaire about the environment and disaster, migration pattern and reason behind them, Occupation and income pattern, land use change etc. which will partially aid the knowledge on ecology and environment, land forms etc.

Chapter 3 Reconnaissance survey and activity

3.1 Overview

Reconnaissance survey has done to clarify the brief survey of the study area that can provide the assessment team with valuable information to help plan the field data collection. After a meeting between Project Director (PD) and Project manager (PM) with the consult have done reconnaissance survey.



Figure 3-1 Meeting with Mayor at Galachipa Paurasava

3.2 Team Member of the reconnaissance survey

1. ANM Safiqul Alam (Shaheen), Managing Director, Geomark Ltd.
2. Howlader Nazmul Huda, Planner
3. Imran Hossain, Surveyor
4. Md. Ariful Islam, Data and Documentation Officer

3.3 Meeting with Local Stakeholders

In the reconnaissance survey several meetings were held with the following stake holders

1. Assistant Engineer, Municipality
2. Meeting with Local people
3. Meeting in tea stall

3.4 Major Findings of the Reconnaissance Survey

Several times the physical plan have been done in this area, especially in the municipality area, but none of those plans have been implemented. Industrial development should be in a proper manner by maintaining rules and regulation to protect the livable environment. Lack of infrastructure, drainage, waste disposal point etc. are the major problems of in the municipality area but rural road condition is good. The railway line is unprotected in most of the junction.

3.4.1 Basic Information of the Study area

The population is 380,000 where Population density is 764 per sq. km. in a literacy rate of 52.01%. The basic information of Galachipa & Rangabali is given bellow which have been collected from secondary sources.

Table 3-1 List of institution of the project area

SL No.	Name	Number
1.	Govt. Primary Schools:	145
2.	Madrasas	36
3.	Hat Bazaars	34
4.	Post Offices:	30
5.	Govt. Hospital:	1; with 50 beds.
6.	Private Clinic	5
7.	Non-government secondary school	46
8.	Non-government college	6

Source: BBS 2011

Table 3-2 Small scale industries

SL No.	Name	Number
1.	Rice mill	154
2.	Handy cottage industry	340
3.	Husking crafts	167
4.	Pottery	65

Source: BBS 2011

Table 3-3 Number of growth center, hat /bazar, poultry farm, dairy farm, nursery, horticulture center, brick kiln

SL No.	Name	Number
1.	Growth center	20
2.	Hat /bazar	38
3.	Poultry farm	44
4.	Dairy farm	9
5.	Nursery	30

6.	Horticulture centre	0
7.	Brick kiln	12
8.	Decorator service	90

Source: BBS 2011

Table 3-4 Occurrence of river erosion during the years 2008-2011

Year	River erosion	Storm
2008	Yes	No
2009	Yes	Yes
2010	Yes	No
2011	Yes	No

Source: BBS 2011

3.5 Meeting with Stakeholders and Project Area during Reconnaissance Survey

The survey firm have conducted reconnaissance survey including Focus Group Discussion, Tea Stall Meeting etc.; meeting with the local public representatives including Mayor of the municipalities, UP chairman, counsellor etc.

3.6 Tea Stall Meeting at in front Galachipa Paurasava

During reconnaissance survey a tea stall meeting was done to understand the people perception about present situation of the study area.

Table 3-5 List of people joint in tea stall meeting

Sl	Name	Address
01	Md. Boshir Ahmed	4 no. Word, B Galachipa Paurasava
02	Md. Jasim Uddin	5 no. Word, Galachipa Paurasava
03	Md. Sarwar Hossen	2 no. word, Galachipa Paurasava
04	Mohammad Hossen	9 no. word, B Galachipa Paurasava
05	Md. Sujon	Vill. Ratandi

3.6.1 Tea Stall Meeting Output

Local people are very much concern with development. Government has developed an industry in this region that are affecting the local environment. Development should be in a proper manner so more job opportunity created. The local market should be developed.



Figure 3-2 Tea stall meeting at Horidevpur Bazar, Golkhali Union

3.6.2 Focus Group Discussion Output

Most of the people are concern with road, drain, pure drinking water in the municipality area. Planning should be in a participatory way so that local people can be benefited.

Chapter 4 Methodology

4.1 Data Collection

Socio-Economic and Other related survey (Urban and rural economy, social space studies, education, as specified in the ToR) is collected through smart technology and manpower. And secondary data is collected from different authentic sources.

4.2 Survey Equipment

Socioeconomic and others data is collected through online communication device (tablet) compatible format (apps) Kobo toolbox which have been already developed in consultation with UDD planner and project Director (PD).

4.3 Sample Size Delineation

Household sample survey is done using the approved Questionnaire based on specified Questionnaire format indicated in TOR. Sample size is minimum 30 questionnaire each Ward level and 30 no. of each union level of Galachipa and Rnagabali Upazilas.

4.4 Sampling Design

The sampling design in this case is taken as a stratified two-stage cluster sampling method. At first stage, the project area is divided into Urban and Rural part then further the urban part is divided into grid (200mX200m) and rural part is divided into 16 Union boundaries. In further, household of urban or rural area is selected randomly.

4.4.1 Sample size Calculation:

$$n_h = (z^2)(r)(1 - r)(f)(k)/(p)(\bar{n})(e^2)$$

n_h is the parameter to be calculated and is the sample size in terms of number of households to be selected;

z is the statistic that defines the level of confidence desired;

r is an estimate of a key indicator to be measured by the survey;

f is the sample design effect, *deff*, assumed to be 1.2 to 2.0 (default value);

k is a multiplier to account for the anticipated rate of non-response;

p is the proportion of the total population accounted for by the target population and upon which the parameter, r , is based;

\bar{n} is the average household size (number of persons per household);

e is the margin of error to be attained.

Recommended values for some of the parameters are as follows:

The z -statistic to use should be 1.96 for the 95-percent level of confidence.

$r = 0.05, f = 1.2, k = 1.1, p = 1, \bar{n} = 5, e = 0.13r = 0.007$

Therefore,

$$n_h = (1.96^2) (0.05) (.95) (1.2) (1.1) / (1) (4.99) (0.007^2)$$

$$n_h = 985$$

The desire sample size is 1100.

But according to DPP, the sample size for total package 01 is prescribed as 1487, in which per Upazila at least 200 is taken sample from urban area and at least 200 from rural area. For two upazila, we are taking Galachipa Paurashava 200, Galachipa upazilla rural area 600, Rangabali upazilla, urban area 200, rural area 250, Total 1250 samples for Socio economic survey. For educational institution we'll take all into account, Urban and Rural Economy Survey Galachipa Upazila 100, Raqngabali Upazila 100, informal economy survey Galachipa 50, Rangabali 50.

PART – A
GALACHIPA UPAZILA

Chapter 5 Galachipa Upazila

Galachipa Upazila (Patuakhali district) area 925.1 sq km, located in between 21°48' and 22°21' north latitudes and in between 90°15' and 90°37' east longitudes. It is bounded by patuakhali sadar, bauphal and dashmina Upazilas on the north, bay of bengal and rangabali Upazila on the south, Dashmina and char fasson Upazilas on the east,' amtali and kalapara Upazilas on the west. (Wikipedia, 2018)

Population Total 238681; male 119189, female 119492; Muslim 217588, Hindu 21050, Buddhist 11 and others 32. Indigenous community such as rakhain belongs to this Upazila. (BBS, 2011)

Water bodies Main rivers Tentulia, Galachipa;' Rabnabad Channel is notable.

Administration Galachipa Thana is formed in 1873 and it is turned into an Upazila in 1983. (Wikipedia, 2018)

Main sources of income Agriculture 68.18%, non-agricultural labourer 5.41%, industry 0.40%, commerce 11.53%, transport and communication 1.19%, service 4.22%, construction 1.23%, religious service 0.25%, rent and remittance 0.18% and others 7.41%.

Main crops Paddy, pulse, betel nut, peanut, chilli, vegetables. (BBS, 2011)

Extinct or nearly extinct crops Sesame, mustard, kaun, boro paddy, sakshar-kora paddy.

Main fruits Mango, jackfruit, papaya.

Fisheries, dairies and poultries. This Upazila has a number of fisheries, shrimps, dairies and poultries. (Wikipedia, 2018)

Communication facilities Pucca road 46 km, semi-pucca road 20 km, mud road 1000 km.

Extinct or nearly extinct traditional transport Palanquin, bullock cart.

Noted manufactories Rice mill, saw mill, ice factory, welding factory.

Cottage industries weaving, goldsmith, blacksmith, tailoring, wood work.

Hats, bazars and fairs Hats and bazars are 51, fair 1, most noted of which are Galachipa Bazar, Kalagachhia Hat, Ulania Hat, Baherchar Hat, Sutabaria Dayamayee Mela.

Main exports Paddy, fish, peanut, chilli, betel but.

Access to electricity all the wards and unions of the Upazila are under rural electrification network. However, 5.19% (urban 41.05 and rural 2.49) of the dwelling households have access to electricity. (Banglapedia, 2018)

Sources of drinking water Tube-well 91.57%, pond 5.40%, tap 0.25%, and others 2.78%.

Sanitation 13.16% (rural 9.69% and urban 69.84%) of dwelling households of the Upazila use sanitary latrines and 74.92% (rural 78.74% and urban 24.14%) of dwelling households use non-sanitary latrines; 11.92% of households do not have latrine facilities. (BBS, 2011)

Health centres Upazila health complex 1, satellite clinic 4, non-government health centre 1, family planning centre 10.

The devastating cyclones of 1584, 1960 and 1970 and the flood of 1876 caused huge loss of life and damages to settlements, livestock and other properties of the Upazila.

NGO activities asa, SCI, CODEC, brac, Urban, SAP-Bangladesh, BAOPA, CEP BARD, CHDP. (Banglapedia, 2018)

Table 5-1 Galachipa Upazila Location

Upazila								
Municipality	Union	Mouza	Village	Population		Density (per sq km)	Literacy rate (%)	
				Urban	Rural		Urban	Rural
1	11	90	149	22301	216380	188	62.7	42.97

Final Report on Package-4:


Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Municipality					
Area (sq km)	Ward	Mahalla	Population	Density (per sq km)	Literacy rate (%)
3.39	9	9	17373	5110	48.15
Upazila Town					
Area (sq km)	Mouza	Population	Density (per sq km)	Literacy rate (%)	
5.13	2	4928	109	48.15	
Union					
SL No	Name of union and GEO code	Area (acre)	Population		Literacy rate (%)
			Male	Female	
1	Amkhola 11	11673	14159	13973	38.78
2	Gazalia 69	-	2542	6815	45.98
3	Galachipa 67	11433	8098	7764	42.08
4	Golkhali 72	16730	14882	14844	38.17
5	Char Kajal 39	25159	11143	10214	28.82
6	Char Bisib 37	-	8892	8215	36.84
7	Chiknikandi 50	12188	7484	7522	50.52
8	Dakua 55	8017	9174	8699	46.72
9	Panpatty 78	7185	8781	8456	55.08
10	Bakulbaria 22	12792	13748	14079	43.58
11	Ratandi Taltali 94	7396	8455	8441	46.13

Source Bangladesh Population Census 2011, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics.

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)



Map 5-1 Galachipa Upazila Location Source LGED

Chapter 6 Data Collection and Analysis

6.1 Data collection

We have successfully completed the 818-questionnaire survey in Galachipa Upazila. We conducted survey in other unions as well. Data can be viewed online from the following urls:

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/a7rCk6Rfjg8CENfPsVsfwk/data/table>

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/auuR3wf8hEHjNnETXxsxYC/data/table>

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/a6JYABQ4ADFWaWi6TosgJW/data/table>

Upazilla and union-wise data collection is represented in following tables,

6.1.1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection

Table 6-1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection

Questionnaire Distribution Table		
Upazila Name	Union Name	Number of questionnaires surveyed
Galachipa		818
	Amkhola	54
	Bakulbaria	50
	Char Biswas	50
	Char kajal	50
	Chiknikandi	48
	Dakua	54
	Galachipa	45
	Gazalia	50
	Golkhali	54
	Kalagachia	50
	Panpatti	60
	Pourasabha	201
Ratandi Taltali	52	
Grand Total		818

6.2 Data Analysis

We analyzed the data from 1105 questionnaire under the Galachipa Upazila. We analyzed the various variables and found different types of problems. We analyzed the Socio-Economic data of two coastal Upazilas. These results are following,

6.2.1 Basic Household information


6.2.1.1 Family Type

Family type and composition of the area of Galachipa upazila traditionally distributed in two categories which are joint and single type family. Now in recent trend of family structure of Bangladesh totally reflected in this area of Galachipa upazila, survey shows that 83% of the family belongs to the single type and other 17% are in joint family type. See Table 6-2 and Figure 6-1 for details.

Table 6-2 Family Type in Galachipa Upazila

Categories	Frequency	Percentages
Joint	139	17%
Single	679	83%
Grand Total	818	100.00%

Source: Field Survey, 2019



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-1 Family Type in Galachipa Upazila

6.2.1.2 Religion Type Data Analysis

Bangladesh is a Muslim majority country and the distribution of religion in the survey area shows the same as the national wide religion distribution, respondents in the Galachipa upazila distributed into 90% Muslims and 10% of Hindu population. See Table 6-3 for details.


Table 6-3 Distribution of religion

Religion	Frequency	Percent
Hindu	83	10%
Islam	735	90%
Grand Total	818	100

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.1.3 Age of the respondent

The number of dependent in children age group is not consider in this study so the age category started from the age of 30 as the respondent. In the figure 4-2, shows that the maximum 27% of the household head having the age of 40 to 50 years responding for the survey, two outlier age group combined as 6% shows minimum representing as the respondents in the Galachipa Upazila. See Figure 6-2 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-2 Age Distribution

6.2.1.4 Sex

The majority of the respondents were male. This information does not represent the actual situation because religious and cultural factors play an important role in the rural area of Bangladesh. The survey represents the 95% male respondents and rest of respondents are female in the Galachipa Upazila. See Table 6-4 for details.


Table 6-4 Sex of the respondents

Categories	Frequency	Percentages
Female	37	5%
Male	781	95%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.1.5 Education


The majority of the respondents are not highly educated, 7% of the respondents have completed their bachelor or relevant degrees and only 3% for the Masters or higher, One the other hand 39% of the respondents have the primary level education and 18% are illiterate. Details shown in figure 6-3.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-3 Educational Status

Map 6-1 is showing that most of the illiterate person mainly distributed across the Galachipa upazila, but Char kajol, Golkhali, Ratandi Taltoli and Amkhola union having the most of the illiterate people in the study. People with higher education were mainly found in Galachipa Paurashava.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-1 Educational Status of the Respondents

Thematic Map of Distribution of Educational Status shows that the higher respondents are mainly from Galachipa upazila and a small portion from Chiknikandi union.

6.2.1.6 Marital Status

97% of married respondents appears in the study 1,5% are unmarried and less than 2% respondents share the divorced and widow categories combined in the Galachipa Upazila. See Figure 6-4 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-4 Marital Status in Galachipa Upazila

6.2.1.7 Occupation

In the Figure 4-5, exhibits that the maximum 41% respondents in the Galachipa upazila involved in the agricultural sector, 27% doing small/Medium business.


Despite the above categories some other occupation like Laboure, Government employee, Student and Teacher are also distributed in the area with having not more than 10% of each. See Figure 6-5 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-5 Occupation in Galachipa Upazila

According to the Thematic map 6-2 given below, it shows that the respondents belongs to the occupation to the agriculture in the Galachipa Upazila is mainly scattered all over the upazila but Char Kajol and Char Biswas having the maximum number of the Agriculture based occupation.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-2 Occupational status of the Respondents

6.2.1.8 Relationship with Household Head

Our Field Surveyors went from house to house collecting information. However, they do not always meet the respondent. Sometimes they miss them and collect data from the alternative person. So, need to know about the relationship between HH and others. In most cases, the head of the family has responded to himself / herself, 48.81 % of them to be exact. The following chart present the relationship between others. See Figure 6-6 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-6 Respondent's Relationship with Household head

6.2.1.9 Family Member


Family members play an important role in the development sector. If the size of a family member is large, they can play a much greater role in society and the economy. In Galachipa Upazila, most of the family members of 3-5 members were found. See Figure 6-7 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-7 Number of family member

According to the thematic map 6-3 given below shows that most of the unions in the Galachipa Upazila have maximum ratio of family with 5 to 10 family members in the family. But Dakua union have some portion of family with family member less than five family members. On the other hand, Golkhali union shows that it having ratio of family with more than 10 family members.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Map 6-3 Number of family member distribution

6.2.2 Building Information

6.2.2.1 House Type

Because of natural disaster Like cyclone, flood and other disaster frequently hit in the coastal area of Galachipa, the house structure type is quite different from the main land of the country. Tin Shed type housing type is very common, 55% of the structure is Tin shed type, 22% of the semi pucca and only 7% house pucca in the study area.

On the other hand, 15% of the structure are katcha and only 1% having Jhupri type structure in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-8 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-8 Type of Houses

Two type of structure of house dominated in the Galachipa upazila, one is Katcha and other is Tinshed. Chiknikandi, Kalagachia and Bakulbaria are the main three union where katcha structure type is most dominant, Golkhali and Amkhola union have more Tin shed structure than that of Katcha structure. See Map 6-4 for details.

Structure Type of House of Galachipa Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-4 Structure type of the Houses

6.2.2.2 House Construction Year

Historically, majority of the urbanization took place in between 2010 to recent days. Both in urban and rural areas, majority of the houses were constructed in between this period. So, last decade can be point out as the turning point of these two Upazila. See Figure 6-9 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-9 House Construction Year

6.2.2.3 Housing Rules and Regulation

Housing rules and regulations are essential for maintaining planned development. Unfortunately, most homes were built without following any kind of housing rules and laws. We can't build a home without a plan, but the reality is that our people build their home according to their own decisions. The rules have to be applied.

6.2.2.4 Design Approval

In the municipality area, there is legal authority to approve the layout or design of the house. Unfortunately, most homes were built without such approval. The villagers of Galachipa Upazila build their houses without any design. The union and the Upazila label have no design alternatives to them. The power of local authorities needs to be increased. The municipality and other local government authority may give plan or design to construction of house, but in the area only 6% of the construction built under the supervision of the Pourashava, 55% of the house constructed without any plan/design. See Table 6-5 for details.

Table 6-5 Plan/Design Approval


Category	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	52	6%
No	447	55%
Not Applicable	319	39%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.2.5 Reason for not following the rules to build house

Maximum people blamed that they were not properly informed about rules when it came to building their house. And for the most part, people think that because their housing parameters are too small, they don't have to follow the rules for so little space. Figure shows that maximum

35% of the house owners were not informed about the necessity of getting an approval from the Paurashava. See Figure 6-10 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-10 Reason for not following the Rules


6.2.2.6 Ownership of flat/ House/ land

Majority of the respondents said that they are the owner of the flat/ House/ land on which they live. In Galachipa upazila almost 95.71% of the households living in their own house. See Table 6-6 and figure 6-11 for details.

Table 6-6 Ownership of flat/ House/ land

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	782	95.71%
No	36	4.29%
Grand Total	818	100.00%

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-11 Ownership of flat/ House/ land

6.2.2.7 Number of Floor

There are a small number of paved houses are located in Galachipa Upazila. Among 7% of the pucca structure, 75% of the pucca structure having two storied and 24% of them are one storied. See Figure 6-12 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-12 Number of floors

6.2.2.8 House Area

Total house area including yard area are categorized minimum sq.ft. 500 or less to maximum sq. ft 5000 in the study area. In the figure 3.6.3, shows that the maximum 27% of the house having the area category (1000-1500) sq.ft., 7.5% of the house having sq.ft. 4500-5000 area category and only 12% of the house constructed with the area of 500 sq. ft or less in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-13 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-13 House Area Including Yard

6.2.2.9 Number of House in the Area

Survey database shows that 24% house owners own single house or building and 75% own two houses or buildings. The following chart shows the number of houses or buildings owned by individual owners in Galachipa Upazila. See Figure 6-14 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-14 Number of House in the Area

6.2.2.10 Plinth Level

During the Cycloneticide comes with height of 20/30 feet. If the plinth level is high enough, it can prevent water from entering the house. The chart below shows the plinth height level of houses in Galachipa Upazila. Maximum 36% of the houses in the area have (01-02) ft. plinth height and 1% of the houses have plinth height more than 7 ft. See Figure 6-15 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-15 Height of plinth level

According to the Thematic map given bellow, plinth height distributed 1 foot to more than 7-foot categories, among all the union in the Amkhola union having the most houses with plinth height more than minimum five feet high or more, also Galachipa, Panpatty and Ratandi Taltali unions have the maximum house with more than five feet plinth height in the house.

On the other hand, Golkhali and Char Biswas having the minimum plinth height in the house structure. See Figure 6-5 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-5 Height of plinth level

6.2.3 Migration Status

Galachipa is a coastal Upazila, so the Cyclone is the final attraction of this Upazila. The land of this Upazila is emerging day by day and outsiders come and live here. About 85% of the respondents think that they are not local but migrated from other places.


6.2.3.1 HH Birthplace

85% of the respondents said that their birthplace is in Galachipa and 15% of people said that their birthplace is outside. Some people are born outside for different reasons. Some were born in hospitals; Some are born in their relatives' homes. See Table 6-7 and Figure 6-16 for details.

Table 6-7 Birthplace of the household head

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
No	119	15%
Yes	699	85%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-16 Birthplace of the household head

6.2.3.2 District Where Migrated From

Bangladesh is the most populous country so land crisis is a common issue in our country. Those who are suffering from lack of land are going to Galachipa Upazila. Galachipa is a coastal Upazila, so Cyclone is the ultimate attraction of this Upazila. The land of this Upazila is emerging day by day and the outsiders live here. Almost 76% of the migrants came from Patuakhali. See Figure 6-17 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-17 District Where Migrated From

6.2.3.3 Year of Migration

Following the chart, the existing migration situation has been presented in Galachipa Upazila. Galachipa Upazila, a sub-island of Bangladesh, was once less populated by Galchipa. Day by day they come here and live. Maximum migration (47%) occurred in the Galachipa upazila within 1990 to 2010, 5% migrants coming in the last decade, only 4% migration occurred between 1950 to 1970. See Figure 6-18 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-18 Year of Migration

6.2.3.4 Migration Pattern

People are being migrated to or from Galachipa Upazila for various reasons. Some people migrated along with the whole family, some relocated alone, some emigrated with wife. But majority of them migrated alone and the percentage was 76 %, 19% with the parents and rest of 5% with the wife. The following chart presents the migration situation of Galachipa Upazila. See Figure 6-19 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-19 Migration Pattern

6.2.3.5 Member Staying Outside of the Locality

Most of the people are living here in Galachipa Upazila. Some are living in another district for work purposes, some are living abroad for work purposes. The chart is followed by the types of people who are out for work. Almost 80 % of the respondents opined that none of their family member is staying outside the locality. See Figure 6-20 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-20 Member Staying Outside of the Locality

6.2.3.6 Reason of Migration

Migration is a common occurrence in the whole world. Every day people are migrating for different purposes. Galachipa is an organ of the earth, hence the migration of Galachipa Upazilas continues. Galatians are changing places for various reasons. The chart below presents the reasons for migrating from Galachipa Upazila. 18% people are migrating for higher education, 58% for workplace, 15% for marital purpose, 5% due to river erosion, 4% due to business purpose. See Figure 6-21 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-21 Reason of Migration

6.2.3.7 Reason of Stay Outside

Although a small portion of the family whose members are staying outside of the locality, so there are some reasons behind it.

70% of the family member staying outside from the locality because of their Job, 29% of the members for higher education and only 1% staying outside for their marriage. See Figure 6-22 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-22 Reason for Stay Outside

6.2.4 Visit home frequency

Family members who staying outside of the locality visit home in frequent basis, maximum 95% of the family members visit minimum once between more than 30 days or within the 30 days. See Figure 6-23 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-23 Visit Home frequency

6.2.5 Household income, expenditure and savings

6.2.5.1 Household Income

Depending on the development of income. Galachipa is a less developing area context than other Upazilas of Bangladesh. Galachipa coastal Upazila. The industrial and business sectors are not strong here. So, our database says that there is a low-income representation. Household income refers to the combined gross income of all members of a household, defined as a group of people living together, who are 18 years or older. It is used to determine the economic health of Galachipa Upazila. Following the table, the income range of the people of Galachipa Upazila has been shown. Total household income is the combination of income of HH and the other family member in the household. Figure shows that 28% of the monthly total income of house is not more than TK 10,000. Maximum percentage of total income of 52% belongs to the income category of TK 10,000 to TK 20,000 and only 2% of the total household income in the category of more than TK 50,000 monthly income in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-24 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-24 Household Income

According to the Thematic Map given below, total monthly income with more than TK 50,000 was found mainly in Galachipa Paurashava. On the other hand, lower income group are living mainly in Golkhali, Char Kajal and Char Biswas union in the Galachipa Upazila. In Other parts of the Upazila, income range varies from TK10,000 to TK 40,000. See Map 6-6 for details.

Distribution of Household Income of Galachipa Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-6 Household Income distribution

6.2.5.2 Household Expenditure

Household expenditure is the sum of the daily costs of residential households such as food, clothing, housing (rent), energy, transportation, sustainable products (especially cars), health expenses, retirement, and the ultimate cost to meet miscellaneous services. Following chart shows the monthly household expenses of the households living in Galachipa Upazila. Total household income reflecting the total household income in this study, following figure shows that maximum 54% of the household expenditure lies in the TK 10,000 to TK 20,000 category, 11% of the household expenses TK 10,000 or less for their total monthly expenditure and 2% of the household expenses TK 50,000 per month in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-25 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-25 Monthly Expenditure

Monthly household expenditure depends on the monthly income, as the monthly income of the study showed that Galachipa region having the high-income oriented area so that the monthly expenditure also reflected the study. In the Galachipa union region having the high monthly expenditure with maximum TK 50,000 per month. Amkhola union with other adjacent union like Kalagachia and Bakulbaria have also the monthly expenditure with more than TK 20,000 to TK 40,000 monthly expenditure.

Char Kajal, Golkhali and Char Biswas have also the minimum monthly expenditure unions only less than TK 10,000 per monthly expenditure in the Galachipa Upazila. See Map 6-7 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-7 Monthly Expenditure distribution

6.2.5.3 Expenditure on Children

This is one of the most important issues in the lives of children. Every baby has a basic need. Spending can meet the needs of children. So, we have collected such a database. Following the chart, the children's expenditure was shown in Galachipa Upazila. 27% of the respondent households didn't have younger child, 26% of the respondent households spend less than 500 BDT per month and 24% spend 1000-2000 BDT per month on their children. We found only 4% of the households who spend more than 2000 BDT per month on their children. See Figure 6-26 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-26 Expenditure on Children

6.2.5.4 Cloth Expenditure

The cost of clothes is an important factor in every man's life. This is the basic right of every human being. So, we collected this national data under the Galachipa Upazila. The following chart shows the expenditure of cloth in Galachipa Upazila. Almost 44% of the households spend less than 1000 BDT per month on cloth, 33% spend 2000-3000 BDT and only 1% can afford to spend more than 5000 BDT per month on cloth. See Figure 6-27 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-27 Expenditure on Cloth

6.2.5.5 Educational Expenditure

Educational right is a fundamental right for human life. Our government subsidizes this sector. It is very important for every human life. No one can live without reading, so we collect this kind of data. The chart below shows the education expenditure of Galachipa Upazila. Expenditure on education depends on mainly how many school going children belongs to the family.30% of the family spends the amount between TK 1,000 to 2,000 with approximately same percentage (25%) of the family expenditure on education of the category of TK 2,000-3,000. See Figure 6-28 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-28 Expenditure on Education

6.2.5.6 Expenditure on Food

Food is the basic necessity of a human life. No one can live without food. So, we collect this kind of database. Food expenditure is very important for the people of Galachipa Upazila They cannot spend much money in this sector because they are very poor. The following chart shows the food expenditure of Galachipa Upazila. Almost 43% of the respondents opined the spend less than 5000 BDT on food, 37% spend 5000-10000 BDT only 1% can afford to spend more than 25000 BDT on food per month. See Figure 6-29 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-29 Expenditure on Food

6.2.5.7 Expenditure on Health

Health is the key to all happiness. This is the basic need of a human life. Drugs are needed for every human body. So, we collect this national database in Galachipa Upazila. Their budget is very bad in this sector, as their income is limited. The following chart shows the health expenditure of Galachipa Upazila. Almost 4% of the respondents do not expense any money for treatment or other health issues, 35% of people of the total survey expenses TK 1,000 or less money for health and maximum expenditure of TK 4,000 belongs to the 7%. See Figure 6-30 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-30 Expenditure on Health

According to the Thematic Map given bellow, Ratandi Taltali union having the most expenses for health issues with more than TK 4,000 per month and some other union like Chiknikandi, Golkhali and Amkhola having expenses between more than TK 2,000 to TK 4,000 for the health per month.

Char Kajal and Char Biswas have the less expenses for the health which are not more than TK 1, 000 per month in the Galachipa. See Map 6-8 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-8 Expenditure on Health

6.2.5.8 Transport cost

Every person's family life requires transportation costs. The people of Galachipa sub-district are average poor and do not have a private car. Most people use public transport. They also use navigation channels for their transportation. We collect this type of data. The following chart shows the transportation cost of the people of Galachipa Upazila. Transport system in the survey mainly in the waterway, maximum 56% respondents express that they expense maximum TK 1,000 per month for the transport expenditure. Transport expenditure not exceeds the TK. 3,000 in this study. See Figure 6-31 for details. See Figure 6-31 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-31 Expenditure on Transport

6.2.5.9 House Rent

The conducted in the mostly in the rural area so most of the household has their own house to live, 92% of the household has no need to expense for monthly house rent, only 0.5% household who expense more than TK 5,000 for monthly household rent in Galachipa upazila.

See Figure 6-32 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-32 Expenditure on House Rent

6.2.5.10 Utility Expenditure

In microeconomics, the cost function is the minimum amount of money a person spends to achieve some level of utility due to the cost of a utility function and available materials. It is necessary for human life. So, we collect this kind of database. Following the chart, the existing utility costs of Galachipa Upazila are shown. In the study area of the Galachipa upazila, 40% of the family expenditure on the utility not more than TK 500, 3% of the family not expending on utility per month in regular basis. only 2% in the study observed that expending more than TK 3,000 for the utility in the study area. See Figure 6-33 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-33 Utility Expenditure

6.2.5.11 Monthly Saving

Monthly savings directly dependent on the monthly income of the individuals, 71% of the individuals in the study shows that they are not having any savings in the monthly basis according their monthly income in the Galachipa upazila.

Monthly savings less than TK 5,000 in the study area belongs to 24% of the total, and 1.5% household have more than TK 20,000 savings in the project area. See Figure 6-34 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-34 Monthly Savings

6.2.5.12 Place of Savings

Everybody wants to deposit their money into a secured financial institution. Majority of the people prefer not to save their money anywhere. But small amounts of people who tends to save their money, prefer Bank or NGOs. The chart below shows the place of savings in the Galachipa Upazila. 75% of the household do not have savings place option because of they have no savings per month. only 25% of the individual save their money in regular basis, 13% of them save money in the bank 7% in the Micro credit banking system and only 5% saves their own. See Figure 6-35 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-35 Place of Saving

6.2.5.13 Loan

Poor people are poor. They have no additional capital for a significant period of time. That is why many people get loans in times of crisis. It was an interesting fact that in the following chart, we found the ratio of borrowing and non-lending. Survey result shows that in Galachipa Upazila, almost 46% of the respondents said they took loan. See Table 6-8 for details.


Table 6-8 Loan Status

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
No	441	54%
Yes	377	46%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.5.14 Source of Loan

The people of Galachipa are poor among the people of other sub-districts of Bangladesh. They cannot borrow from their neighbors because they have no power. So, they take loans from different financial institutions. Some government and some NGOs. The following chart shows the source of loan credit in Galachipa Upazila. Majority of the people (around 33%) use to take loan from the NGO ASA, Grameen bank and govt. Bank 20% each, microcredit banking 14%. See Figure 6-36 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-36 Source of Loan

6.2.5.15 Monthly Installment to Pay

The Galachipa people are poor in other Upazilas of Bangladesh. Their per capita income is not high. It plays an important role in the domestic economy of Galachipa Upazila. The following chart shows the monthly installments to provide. Almost 55% respondent opined that they have to pay less than 5000 BDT per month, 27% pay around 10000 BDT and 3% have to pay more than 30000 BDT per month. See Figure 6-37 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 6-37 Monthly Installment to Pay

6.2.5.16 Economic Base

We analyzed the economic database of Galachipa Upazilas and found that the economy of these two Upazilas is agro-based. The production and marketing of industrial products is not strong here. The service sector needs to raise the base economy. The table below presents the internal economic base of Galachipa Upazilas. According to 83% of the respondent, Agricultural production is the main economic base of the Upazila and 12% also opined that fish marketing is also an influential sector for the economy of the area. See Figure 6-38 for details.

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-38 Economic Base of the Area

According to the Thematic map about the Economic Base of the Galachipa Upazila, all the union sharing the almost same economic base in the Galachipa Upazila, Agri products Production & Marketing is the main economic base for the maximum union like Char Kajal, Char Biswas, Amkhola, Golkhali and Bakulbaria.

With the Agri products economic base some other economic activities like Fish Marketing mainly in Golkhali and Galachipa also dominating as the economic base in the Galachipa Upazila. See Map 6-9 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-9 Economic Base of the Area

6.2.6 Natural Disaster and Consequences

6.2.6.1 Severity of Damage 2007 & 2009 Disaster

In this study severity of damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster mainly focus on the how damage on house, domestic animal and agricultural land & production. For the damage of house during the disaster figure 3.12.1, shows that 70% of the house got little damage and no damage occurred for 12% house, only 18% of the house suffer full damage during the disaster. In the counting of damage on domestic animal, the figure show that 54% of the household had no experience of damage of domestic animal, 42% had little damage and only 3% experienced full damage on their domestic animal. 56% of the agricultural land and production was damaged by the disaster during the 2007 & 2009, only 16% belongs to the full damage in that time. See Figure 6-39 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-39 Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster

The following maps showing the severity of damage during the 2007 and 2009 disaster, Firstly, considering the damage on the agricultural land & production, only in Char Biswas and small portion of area in Galachipa & Golkhali was not affected fully, but Kalagachia and Bakulbaria are affected most in Galachipa Upazila.

On the other hand, Char kajal, Ratandi Taltali, Dakua, Amkhola had little damage on agricultural land. See Map 6-10 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-10 Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster on Agricultural products

6.2.6.2 Level of recovery on during 2007 & 2009 Disaster

For the house damage during the disaster 2007 & 2009, in the account of recovery study shows that 28% of the house, 8% of the domestic animal and 23% of the agricultural land & production was fully recovered from damage.

Domestic animal damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster 61% of them no recovered, 49% agricultural & production also not recovered, but only 18% of the house not recovered from the disaster. See Figure 6-40 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-40 Level of Recovery on During 2007 & 2009 Disaster

6.2.6.3 Severity of Damage During 2018 Disaster

Severity of damage during 2018 shows the less damage compare with the 2007 & 2009 disaster, the figure below shows that the 93% of the house, 96% of the domestic animal and 96% of the agricultural & production had no damage in the study area.

Almost every category in the study had 0% full damage during the disaster of 2018. According to the less damage in the time of 2018 disaster, the information about the level of recovery from the disaster is insufficient in this purpose. See Figure 6-41 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-41 Severity of Damage During 2018 Disaster

6.2.6.4 Amount of damaged by Cyclone

Maximum time of Cyclone hit by the region in the time interval of 2005-2009 and most damage done in that time, 98% of the damage occurred in that time interval which is cost TK. 48,795,00 from year 2000 to 2019. See Figure 6-42 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-42 Amount of damage by Cyclone/Tornado

From the Thematic map about the cyclone occurred and consequences in the area, it shows that the Cyclone occurred in Golkhali and some part of the Galachipa from the year of 2015 to 2019.

From 2005 to 2010 most of the Cyclone occurred in Panpatty, Char Kajal and Amkhola union. Map is given bellow. See Map 6-11 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-11 cyclone scenereo in Galachipa

6.2.6.5 Fire Hazard in Galachipa

Like the Cyclone, fire cost in the same time period of 2005 to 2009 and 88% of the damage done in that time period which costs TK 10,500,000 from the study year of 2000 to 2019. See Figure 6-43 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-43 Fire Hazard in Galachipa

6.2.6.6 Amount of Damaged by Fire

Like the Cyclone, fire cost in the same time period of 2005 to 2009 and 88% of the damage done in that time period which costs TK 10,500,000 from the study year of 2000 to 2019. See Figure 6-44 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-44 Amount of damage in Fire Hazard

6.2.6.7 Flow Tide in Galachipa Upazila

Cyclone and tidal surge are natural hazards in our country. We are habited the Cyclone and tidal surge. Mainly they come summer season and let autumn in our country. It makes a ghastly situation. 20/30 feet tidal surge attract in our costal area. It damages our lives and wealth. Following table presents the Cyclone and tidal search in the Galachipa Upazila. See Figure 6-45 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-45 Flow tide in Galachipa

6.2.6.8 Amount of Damaged by Tidal Surge

Flow tide occurred in maximum time in the year category of 2000-2009 and damage most among the total. 62% damage done in that time and total damage cost was TK. 1,247,00 in the area. In the recent decade flow tide damage cost only TK. 380,000. See Figure 6-46 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-46 Ammount of damaged by Surge

6.2.6.9 Cyclone in Year

The Cyclone is a terrible situation in our country. If he did not suffer from the physicality of a whirlwind, no one could believe it. Sometimes attract the southern part of the whirlwind of our country. Following the table, the trend of Cyclone has been presented in Golchipa and Galachipa Upazilas. See Figure 6-47 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-47 Cyclone in your area

6.2.6.10 River Bank Erosion

Riverbank Erosion is an endemic and recurrent natural hazard in Bangladesh. When rivers enter the mature stage (as in the case with the three mighty rivers, ganges, brahmaputra and meghna) they become sluggish and meander or braid. These oscillations cause massive riverbank erosion. Since the Galachipa Upazila are located along the river the river bank erosion is the common occurrence. In the table below and Figure shows that most of the respondents say that the highest river bank erosion in this area is 64% and the lowest is 36%. See Table 6-10 for details.


Table 6-9 River Bank Erosion

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	522	64%
No	296	36%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

In the Thematic Map about the River Bank Erosion in the Galachipa Upazila given below, showing the Char Kajal, Galachipa, Panpatty, Ratandi Taltali and Amkhola unions are most vulnerable to the river bank erosion, on the other hand Bakulbaria, Chiknikandi, Dakua, most

of the part of Golkhaland char Biswas union are little good position of less risk from the river bank erosion. Map 6-12 is given bellow.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-12 River Bank Erosion in Galachipa

6.2.6.11 Operational Switch Gate

Since Galachipa Upazila is on the bank of rivers, Switch gate is very much needed. In below table and Figure show that, most of the respondent say that the operation of switch gate in this area the highest of this is Yes 91% and lowest is No that is 9 %. See Table 6-11 for details.

Table 6-10 Operational Switch Gate

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	748	91%
No	70	9%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.6.12 Symptom of climate change

There are many causes of symptom of climate change in Galachipa Upazila are those Humans are increasingly influencing the climate and the earth's temperature by burning fossil fuels, cutting down rainforests and farming livestock. This adds enormous amounts of greenhouse gases to those naturally occurring in the atmosphere, increasing the greenhouse effect and global warming. In below table and Figure show that most of the respondent say that the Highest Symptom in this area the percentage of this is 84 % and lowest percentage 16 %. See Table 6-12 for details.

Table 6-11 Symptom of climate change

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	687	84%
No	131	16%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.7 Infrastructure and drainage


6.2.7.1 Drainage Type

Almost 92 people opined they got no drainage facility. Only according to 9 % of the respondents, they got pucca drain in proximity to their houses. Other categories with percentage are shown is the following Table 6-13 and Figure 6-48.

Table 6-12 Drainage type

Drain Type	Frequency	Percentage
Katcha	2	0.18
No Drain	998	91.14
Pucca	95	8.68

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-48 Drainage type

6.2.7.2 Height of the Embankment

In the coastal area it needed to protection from the flood or inundate. Embankment of height between 5 to 10 ft. having the most percentages (57%) in the study area. 33% of the embankment having height with 15-20+ ft. in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-49 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-49 Height of the Embankment

6.2.7.3 Increasing the Height of Embankment

Almost 50 % of the respondents thinks that height of the embankment is not enough and needs to be get higher. Other catagories with percentage is shown is the following table 6-14.

Table 6-13 Increase the Height of Embankment

Categories	Frequency	Percent
No	408	49.9%
Yes	410	50.1%
Grand Total	818	100.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.8 Disease and Healthcare

6.2.8.1 Suffered from Diseases


Getting infected by diseases is considered a common phenomenon in Bangladesh. It is a good thing that from our survey, we found only 38% of the people were affected by diseases last year. Other categories with percentage are shown in the following table 6-15.

Table 6-14 Suffered from Diseases

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	309	38%
No	509	62%
Grand Total	818	100%


Source: Field Survey, 2019

Recent disease recorded in the Galachipa upazila expressed in the next thematic map 6-13, it shows the majority of household in the Char Kajal, Kalagachia, Bakulbaria and Dakua have no recent disease, but some part of the Ratandi Taltali, Galachipa and Golkhali union having the recent disease record.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-13 Disease sceneroo in Galachipa




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-50 Diseases they suffered from

6.2.8.2 Medical Facility

GOV Medical facilities are the highest taken facility according to 80% of the respondents in the Galachipa Upazila. But still a significant percentage of people (second highest) goes to village doctor for treatment. Other categories with percentage are shown is the following Figure 6-51.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-51 Medical Facility

6.2.8.3 List of Disease

Another table 6-16 below, showing the list of disease attacked by the residents in this area. Common disease observed in the area is Cough Causes/Asthma this kind of disease belongs to 43% of the total disease attacked in the last year, diabetes and tuberculosis (TB) also two common observed disease having percentage of 13.9% and 8.2% respectively.

Table 6-15 Diseases List

Disease	Frequency	Percent
Amashoy/Diarrhea	2	0.6%
Brain Diseases	12	3.8%
Cancer	2	0.6%
Cough Causes/Asthma	137	43.2%
Diabetes	44	13.9%
Dysentery/Diarrhea	21	6.6%
Eye Problem	3	0.9%
Heart Disease/BP	38	12.0%
Kidney Disease	6	1.9%
Paralyses	1	0.3%
Skin	1	0.3%
Skin Disease	7	2.2%

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)


Tuberculosis (TB)	26	8.2%
Others	16	5.0%
Grand Total	317	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.9 Utility and waste management

6.2.9.1 Source of Fuel


Still, wood is considered as the primary source of fuel in Galachipa Upazila, opined by 87 % of the respondents. Cylinder gas is also getting popularity in some places. Other categories with percentage is shown in the following Figure 6-52.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-52 Source of Fuel

According to the Thematic map 6-14 about the fuel source in the Galachipa Upazila, maximum of the house hold across the area uses woods, small portion of the Galachipa and Dakua union found that the along with wood they are using Cylinder Gas.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-14 Fuel source in Galachipa Upazila

6.2.9.2 Source of Light


Interestingly, solar power is getting popular in Galachipa Upazila as well as the entire country as a reliable source of renewable and sustainable source of electricity. Light sources in the Galachipa upazila mainly depends on electricity and solar panel, the study shows that the source of light in the area both of the electricity and solar electricity contribute the same percentage (48%), other 4% of the light source belongs to the kupi/Bati/Harican. See Figure 6-53 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-53 Source of Light


Thematic map 6-15 given bellow showing that the light source in the Galachipa upazila, in the Char Kajal, Char Biswas and Gilkhali union uses solar electricity as a source of light. On the other hand, most of the union powered by electricity like Galachipa, Dakua, Amkhola, Kalagaachia etc. in the region.



Source: Field Survey, 2019 Map 6-15 Source of Light in Galachipa

6.2.9.3 Type of Sanitation

Maximum sanitation type in the area is Tin shed, tin shed sanitation type belongs to the 41% of the total sanitation in the area, 28% of the sanitation is Katcha and only 15% of the sanitation found as a Pucca in the Galachipa upazila study area. See Figure 6-54 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-54 Type of Sanitation

6.2.9.4 Waste Disposal system

Waste management in Galachipa Upazila is not yet significantly good. In fact, it’s not good at all. Almost 91 % of the respondents said they still dump the solid waste just outside their house. Which may cause serious health issues. So, solid waste management condition in Galachipa Upazila need more improvement. And another thing to be concerned is they dump waste directly into the river or canal which is constantly polluting the water of that system. Which has immediate negative impact on the biodiversity and water ecosystem of the area. As the area is in a close proximity to the Bay of Bangal, the polluted river water must enter the sea and therefore endangered the life and ocean ecology. See Figure 6-55 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-55 Waste Disposal Location

6.2.10 Water supply and Drainage

6.2.10.1 Water logging

Water logging is one of the major issues in Galachipa Upazila. Almost 83% respondents complained about water logging. See Figure 6-56 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-56 Causes of water logging

6.2.10.2 Cause of water logging

Water logging problem can be evaluated by identifying how much time it lasted in the specific area. Water logging lasted less than 1 hour only 17% of the total water logging duration, maximum 39% of the water logging occurred and lasted 3 to 5 hours, only 3% water logging lasted more than 5 hours in the study area. See Figure 6-57 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-57 Duration of water logging

6.2.10.3 Operational switch gates

Switch gates maintain and control the flow of water. If the system fails, water logging and other related problems may occur. Luckily, 91 % respondent opined that the nearby switch gate was operational. See Table 6-17 for details.

Table 6-16 Operational switch gates

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	748	91%
No	70	9%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019


6.2.10.4 Water quality

It is a good thing that almost all the respondents (almost 99 %) believe that the water quality is good enough and it's perfectly drinkable. Percentage is shown in the following table 6-18.

Table 6-17 Water Quality

Water Quality	Frequency	Percentage
Drinkable	1084	98.28
Not Drinkable	19	1.72

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-58 Water Quality

6.2.10.5 Source of Drinking Water


93% of the people are dependent on their personal Tube Well as a clean source of drinking water. Following chart is showing the sources with percentage. See Figure 6-59 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-59 Source of Drinking Water

The following map 6-16 showing that the tubewell water using the main source of drinking water in the Galachipa. Small portion of area in Chiknikandi uses the pond/River/Canal water as the source of drinking water in the study.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-16 Source of Drinking Water in Galachipa

6.2.10.6 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood

Bangladesh is situated on the largest delta of the world and most of its coastal area is low laying area therefore prone to flood. It is important to ensure the sufficient supply of pure drinking water during the flood. we collected information about the supply of water which is listed in percentage in following table. 55% people opined that they have arrangement for drinking water during flood. See Table 6-19 for details.

Table 6-18 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	447	55%
No	371	45%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.10.7 Water Supply Sufficiency

Sufficient supply of water is an essential requirement for human being to live. From our survey, we collected information about the supply of water which is listed in percentage in following table. Almost 78% people things that water supply is sufficient for them. See Table 6-20 for details.


Table 6-19 Water Supply Sufficiency

Categories	Frequency	Percent
No	180	22%
Yes	638	78%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.10.8 Problem to Collect Water

Galachipa is located in the southern part of Bangladesh which is the coastal region of the country. It is obvious that is difficult to find drinkable pure water there. People living there often face difficulties collecting the water. From our survey, we listed down the scenario. Following table is showing that in percentage. According to the study, 23% of the household not facing such problem of collecting drinking water. Water source is so far from the house this type of problem facing 35% of the family in the study and 42% of the family express that the collection time water is taken too long for them. See Figure 6-60 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-60 Problem to Collect Water

6.2.10.9 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water

In char area, most of the water is saline water therefore not usable for drinking and other purposes. Treatment of saline water is also costly. So, rain water harvesting can be the most reliable source of water. Following table is showing the rain water harvesting scenario of Galachipa Upazila. 78% of the respondents said they have arrangement for collecting rain water. See Table 6-21 for details.

Table 6-20 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	639	78%
No	179	22%
Grand Total	818	100%


Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.11 Other Infrastructure and Facility

6.2.11.1 Problem of Main Road

Problem of the main road distributed into the four main problems; Damaged Road, Katcha Road, Narrow Road, Traffic Jam.

Among the problems 65% of the respondents think that the main road of the area is narrow. 32% road of the area is damaged. See Figure 6-61 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 6-61 Problem of Main Road

6.2.11.2 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Distance from House

Distance from the house distributed in five categories in the study 11% of the Bazar (4000-5000) meter away from the home, and approximately same distance for other distance categories in the study, where maximum 27% house lies in the category (1000-2000) meter.

Minimum distance of less than 1000 meter to the post office only 12% in the study, 27% of the post office not more than 2000 meter away and 18% of the post offices lies in the 4000-5000+ meter category.

In the figure, exhibits that the 57% of the shopping malls are more than 4000 meters away from the respondent's home, beside only 14% of the shopping malls distance less than 1000 meter away from the home of the respondents. See Figure 6-62 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-62 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance from House

6.2.11.3 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House

The following figure, shows that 36% of house less than 5000 meter away from hospital in the Galachipa upazila, and only 3% house are more than 25000 meters away from respective house. For the fire service distance from home distributed in the something like equal distribution for the distance from respondents’ home, minimum (5000 or less) and maximum (25000-30000+) both categories share the same 21% percentages in the Galachipa upazila. 34% community center are in the distance of less than 5000 meter from the home of the respondents, and maximum 25000-30000+ meter away community center only 13% in the study area. See Figure 6-63 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-63 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House

6.2.11.4 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Transport Mode

Transport mode from home to bazar, post office and shopping mall distributed in three categories (On foot, Rickshaw/Van & Motor Vehicle/Boat), In the figure 3.10.3, 36% of the respondents goes bazar on foot, 42% of them take Rickshaw/Van and 22% of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Boat. In the Galachipa upazila, 63% of the of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Van for reaching shopping mall, and 22% of the respondent goes to the shopping mall on foot. For post office transport mode all the three categories are approximately same (maximum 38%) in the study See Figure 6-64 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 6-64 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode

6.2.11.5 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode from House

In the case of transport mode from house to the Hospital, Fire service & Community Center, all the transport options are categories into three option,

For the Hospital transport, 69% of the respondents prefer to take Rickshaw/Van, 19% goes on foot and 11% of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Boat.

In the figure 3.10.4, 73% of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Boat for the transportation to get fire service, and only 5% uses foot to reaches Fire service in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-65 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-65 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode

6.2.11.6 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time from House

Travel time for Bazar, shopping Mall & Post office distributed within maximum 60 minutes, 43% respondents exhibits that to get a nearest bazar it takes less or 10 minutes, in the same time limit 13% of the respondents get into the shopping mall and 17% for the post office. Maximum 67% of response belongs to the 10-30 minutes time limit for the travel time to the post office. All the three destination seems same for the time limit more than 60 minutes. See Figure 6-66 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-66 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time

6.2.11.7 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Travel Time from House

Time limit of the travel time categorized into three categories, for the travel time to the hospital 63% of the respondents express that it takes maximum 60 minutes to reach the hospital from their home and only 2% takes 120-180-time limit category. See Figure 6-67 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-67 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time

6.2.11.8 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Service Quality

Service quality of bazar 64% of the individuals satisfied as an average level, 35% express bazar service is good and only 1% said that service quality of bazar is bad. For the service quality of shopping mall, shows that 66% of the residents said that service quality of shopping is average type, 30% of them express that it is good and only 4% marked as bad service quality. Post office service quality distributed mainly in good and average categories, 43% of the feedback of the service quality is good, 55% belongs to the average service quality, and only 2% opinion belongs to the bad service quality. See Figure 6-68 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 6-68 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality

6.2.11.9 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality

According to the respondents in study 58% express that service quality of hospital is average in quality, 25% belongs to the good service quality and 17% express that the service quality of hospital is bad in the study area.

Service quality of fire service is distributed same among the three option Good, Average and Bad where's 39% goes to the good service quality, 35% and 26% for the average and bad respectively.

In the figure, shows that 68% opinion belongs to the average service quality for community center, only 20% express that the service is good and rest 11% belongs to the bad service quality. See Figure 6-69 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-69 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality Hospital Distance (km)

6.2.11.10 Recreation Type


The type recreation is a very big factor in socio-economic condition. In below table and Figure show that most of the respondent in Galachipa and Galachipa Upazila say that the Highest Irregular type recreation in this area is 97% and lowest is 3%. See Table 6-22 for details.

Table 6-21 Recreation Type

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Irregular	795	97%
Regular	23	3%
Grand Total	818	100%

6.2.11.11 Distance of Recreational place

Recreational places are important things for people. Without entertainment, people cannot live because anxiety draws their lives. So, every human being needs a place of entertainment. Following the table, the distances between recreational sites in Golchipa and Galachipa Upazilas are presented. Around 45% of the respondents believe that they can avail recreational facilities within 500 meters from their residence. See Figure 6-70 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-70 Recreation Facilities Distance from House

6.2.11.12 Location of Recreational Place

The recreation space is one of the most important elements of the socio-economic survey. The Galachipa Upazilas have many recreational places such as play fields, naturally beautiful places, shopping malls, parks, fairs, clubs and many more. The most striking is the natural beauty. As the table and diagram below show, the majority of respondents said that the naturally beautiful place in the area is 56% its highest and the lowest park 3%. See Figure 6-71 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-71 Location of Recreation

6.2.11.13 Passing Leisure Time

Leisure time is when you are not working and you can do things that relax and enjoy. In Gallachipa and Galachipa Upazilas, most people spend their leisure time in multiple jobs, which is the highest. 59% and the lowest reading book is 4%. See Figure 6-72 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-72 Passing Leisure Time

6.2.11.14 First Problem in This Area

Several of problem identified by the residents of the area, among all the problem 49% of the respondents think that road and transportation problem is the main problem in this area, 22% of the residents think that water logging is the first problem and 18% residents given their opinion in the favor of load shedding is their first problem in the Galachipa upazila. See Figure 6-73 for details.






Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-73 First Problem in This Area

In the southern part of the upazila, the respondents of Char Biswas express that their first problem is the flash flood. On the other hand, in the northern part of the upazila, where Amkhola union experiencing the problem of water logging as well as traffic jam, the traffic jam along with road & transport and sewerage problem also experiencing in Galachipa, Dakua, Kalagachia and Bakulbaria. With the other first problem Panpatty, Ratandi Taltali and Char Kajal unions are facing of lack of quality education in the region. See Map 6-17 for details.

First Problem in the Area of Galachipa Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 6-17 First Problem in Galachipa

6.2.12 Sanitation and Hygiene

6.2.12.1 Sanitation Condition

Sanitation condition is 70.95% good in the Galachipa Upazila. Other 29.05% sanitation condition is not enough. These two Upazila need to develop the sanitation condition. Hygienic sanitation is necessary for every people. We hope the government will take spatial care for this sector. See Figure 6-74 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-74 Sanitation Condition

6.2.12.2 Type of Sanitation

Galachipa Upazila contain the following types of sanitation. Toilet type is not fact but question is toilet hygienic or not hygienic. Only awareness can solve the problem. We hope that government and non-government organizations will take necessary action for this sector. 40% of the residents built their Sanitation facility as Tin shed structure and 28% are pucca structure. See Figure 6-75 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-75 Type of Sanitation

6.2.12.3 hygienic sanitation

79% of the residents said their sanitation facility is hygienic. See Table 6-75 for details. See Figure 6-76 for details.

Table 6-76 Hygienic sanitation


Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	646	79%
No	172	21%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.13 Landuse Change and other problems

6.2.13.1 Landuse Change

In any type of development plan, one of the most important things to consider is land use change. Galachipa is the southern coastal Upazila of Bangladesh. Agriculture was considered the main occupation in the region, but the sector is suffering the most due to climate change. People are trying to find alternative ways of living. In recent times, the main profession has been considered as fishing and marketing. Most people are directly and indirectly involved in fishing, drying and selling. 37% of the residents think flood/ storm is responsible for land use change in their area and 27% think it is due to socioeconomic condition land use change occurs in their area. See Figure 6-77 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-77 Causes of Land use change

6.2.13.2 The Area of Land willing to Give (sq. ft.)

This is an important issue for the development sector. Therefore, we have collected such data. A large part of the land in the Galachipa Upazila is a Khas (state-owned) property that is part of the newly formed delta. Most of the people migrate from other Upazilas. Since the property is government-owned, they have no choice but to agree to give the land. The following chart shows 65% of them want to give maximum 50 sq. ft from their property, 24% of them are willing to give maximum 100 sq. ft land for the purpose of the widening the road. See Figure 6-78 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-78 The Area of Land willing To Give (sq. ft.)

6.2.13.3 Willingness to Give land for widening of road

86% of the road to Galachipa are Upazila widening. Only 14% of the roads are narrow. We found that the road is widening but needs to make pucca all the road. Cyclone and tidal surge come to this area every year and the road frequently needs repair every year. See Table 6-23 and Figure 6-79 for details.

Table 6-22 Willingness to Give land for widening of road


Widening of Road	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	703	86

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

No	115	14
----	-----	----

Source: Field Survey, 2019



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-79 Willingness to Give land for widening of road

6.2.14 Educational Condition

6.2.14.1 School Going Children

81% of children go to school in Galachipa Upazila other 19% are not going to school. Our government has already given a different incentive package for our school going children. If our local government is aware of this issue then they can solve the problem. See Table 6-24 for details.


Table 6-23 School Going Children

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	665	81%
No	153	19%
Grand Total	818	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

6.2.14.2 Cause of School Dropping

There is different reason behind the status of not going to the school, 92% children not going to the school because the parents think that children are under aged for going to school, 4% children do that because of the poverty and only 1% children do so because their parents are not willingness to send them to school. See Figure 6-80 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 6-80 Cause of children not going to school

6.3 SWOT Analysis of Galachipa Upazila based on socio-economic survey findings

<p>Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ From the topographic profile of Galachipa Upazila it is understood that the Upazila is Surrounded with rivers and canals and a good number of Ponds which provides a natural water collection setup in this Upazila. ✓ Availability of natural water flow strengthens the agricultural production of the Upazila. ✓ Availability of electricity in the urban area. ✓ The agricultural economic base of this area opens the face of agro-based economic development of this Upazila ✓ Peoples Indigenous experience on fighting natural disaster. ✓ In rural area majority of the households have pond and small firm within their homestead. ✓ Population density in rural area is not that high in comparison to urban area. ✓ Galachipa Paurashava is a relatively new urban area and most of the development were initiated within 1990 to 2010. 	<p>Weakness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Majority of the houses were built without any approved plan. ✓ Lack of the formal drainage system and safe septic tank in Paurashava area. ✓ In rural area, no formal drainage system exists. These areas are fully dependent on natural drainage system like canals and rivers. ✓ Embankment height is not sufficient as per requirement ✓ Pure drinking Water collection problem for the people of some union. Distance from water source was identified as the main reason. ✓ The inadequacy of the transport network, especially in rural area and trade route. ✓ Poor infrastructural facilities for waste disposal ✓ Water logging during the rainy season ✓ Lack of drinking water, transport mode, good educational institution ✓ Highly prone to natural disaster like Cyclone, Storm Surge, Flood etc.
<p>Opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Availability of rain water collection facility. ✓ Significant number of Solar electric panel installed. ✓ Sufficient greenery to support environmental sustainability. ✓ Sufficient space available for future development. ✓ Lands are very much fertile. ✓ People are willing to give unbind land for road widening purpose ✓ Improving road network connectivity within and outside the Upazila. 	<p>Threats</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The frequent occurrence of a climatic disaster like Cyclone which can change the socio-economic and natural setting of this area. ✓ Frequent Flood and water logging can disrupt the agricultural production. ✓ Salinity can reduce lands fertility. ✓ Climate change effect especially temperature rising can degrade the living environmental quality of Galachipa Upazila.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Prioritizing sewerage and sanitation system which would improve the living environmental quality of this Upazila.✓ Agro-based economic development can improve the life of rural people.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Encroachment of canals or other water bodies for purpose of development can disrupt the natural drainage system in the urban and rural area.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

6.4 Planning Recommendation for Galachipa Upazila based on socio-economic survey findings

- ✓ Recover of encroached channel and rivers is necessary to ensure proper flow of natural drainage and also to ensure the availability of sufficient natural water collection for agriculture.
- ✓ Rain water harvesting is already popular in the area, so, improving the facility can enhance efficiency.
- ✓ A significant number of Solar electric panel is already installed in the region. Future development can reduce dependency on national electric grid and the Upazila will be self-sufficient on electricity.
- ✓ Improving road network connectivity within and outside the Upazila can enhance trade efficiency.
- ✓ Prioritizing sewerage and sanitation system would improve the living environmental quality of this Upazila.
- ✓ Facilitating Agro-based economic development can improve the life of rural people.
- ✓ Promoting Sustainable development.
- ✓ Imposing planning regulations and design approval for new constructions as well as monitoring existing unplanned developments.

PART – B
RANGABALI UPAZILA

Chapter 7 Rangabali Upazila

Rangabali Upazila (patuakhali district) area 470.1 sq km, located in between 21°46' and 22°05' north latitudes and in between 91°15' and 90°37' east longitudes. It is bounded by Amtali and Galachipa Upazilas on the north, Bay of Bengal on the south, Galachipa and Char Fasson Upazilas on the east, Amtali Upazila on the west. (Wikipedia, 2018)

7.1 Total Population

86819; male 45235, female 41584; Muslim 66517, Hindu 19058, Christian 375, Buddhist 780 and others 89. Indigenous community such as Rakhain belongs to this Upazila. Water bodies Main Rivers Agunmukha and Kajal; Rabanabad and Char Kalmi channels are notable. Administration Rangabali Upazila is formed on 14 March 2011 comprising part of Galachipa Upazila. Religious institutions Mosque 312, temple 20, pagoda 5. (BBS, 2011)

7.2 Literacy rate and educational institutions

Average literacy 38.23; male 53.3%, female 42.01%. Educational institutions high school 5, primary school 15, madrasa 7. Noted educational institutions Rangabali High School, Rangabali Saleha Junior High School. (Banglapedia, 2018)

7.3 Cultural organisations

Club 2, jatra party 2, playground 32, women's organisation 1.

Tourist spots Sunrise and sunset can be viewed from Rabanabad Island. (Wikipedia, 2018)

7.4 Main sources of income

Agriculture 48.18%, non-agricultural labourer 3.52%, commerce 17.15%, transport and communication 7.41%, service 9.33%, construction 1.91%, religious service 0.14%, rent and remittance 0.57% and others 11.79%. (BBS, 2011)

7.5 Ownership of agricultural land

Landowner 53.25%, landless 46.75%. Main crops Paddy, wheat, potato, onion, pulse, vegetables. Extinct or nearly extinct crops Sesame, linseed, kaun. Main fruits Mango, jackfruit, papaya. Fisheries, dairies and poultries. This Upazila has a number of fisheries, dairies and poultries. Extinct or nearly extinct traditional transport Palanquin, bullock cart, horse carriage. Noted manufactories Rice mill, cold storage, welding factory. Cottage industries weaving, blacksmith, potteries, wood work, embroidery. (BBS, 2011)

Hats, bazars and fairs Hats and bazars are 22, fairs 2, most noted of which are Chalitabunia Bazar, Koralia Bazar, Felabunia Bazar, Gohin Khali Bazar, Montaj Sluij Bazar, Baher Char Bazar, Katakhalia Bazar, Takta Bunia Bazar, Neta Bazar, Tulatali L. Ghat Bazar, Pulghat Hat, Mollar Hat, Mowdubi Hat, Bestin Bazar and Char Naluar Hat. (Banglapedia, 2018)

Main exports Paddy, fish.

7.6 Access to electricity

All the unions of the Upazila are under rural electrification net-work. However, 32.26% of the dwelling households have access to electricity. Sources of drinking water Tube-well 92.27%, tap 2.30%, pond 0.10% and others 5.33%. Sanitation 30.68% of dwelling households of the Upazila use sanitary latrines and 33.95% of dwelling households use non-sanitary latrines; 35.37% of households do not have latrine facilities. Health centres Family welfare centre 1, community clinic 2, and clinic 3. Important NGOs are ASA, BRAC, PROSHIKA, and CARTAS. (Banglapedia, 2018)

Table 7-1 Rangabali Upazila Information

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Upazila								
Municipality	Union	Mouza	Village	Population		Density (per sq km)	Literacy rate (%)	
				Urban	Rural		Urban	Rural
-	5	49	93	-	86819	257	-	38.23

Union						
Sl No	Name of union and GEO code	Area (acre)	Population		Literacy rate (%)	
			Male	Female		
1	Char Montaz 34	46898	8049	6897	31.88	
2	Chalitabunia 32	6758	3317	3027	34.78	
3	Chhota Baisdia 44	13492	8945	8497	36.08	
4	Bara Baisdia 27	23838	12163	10959	50.28	
5	Rangabali 83	26843	12761	12204	38.14	

Source Bangladesh Population Census 2011, Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics.



Map 7-1 Rangabali Upazila Location

Source LGED

Table 7-2 Upazila wise population distribution

Upazila Name	Population	Male	Female
Rangabali	104128	55027	49101

Source Ranglabali Upazilla portal

Chapter 8 Data collection and Analysis

8.1 Data collection

We have successfully completed the 287-questionnaire survey in Rangabali Upazila. We conducted survey in other unions as well. Data can be viewed online from the following urls:

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/a7rCk6Rfjg8CENfPsVsfwk/data/table>

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/auuR3wf8hEHjNnETXxsxYC/data/table>

<https://kf.kobotoolbox.org/#/forms/a6JYABQ4ADFWaWi6TosgJW/data/table>

Upazilla and union-wise data collection is represented in following tables,

8.1.1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection

Table 8-1 Union/ Paurashava –wise Data collection

Questionnaire Distribution Table	
Union Name	Number of questionnaires surveyed
Bara Baisdia	26
Moudubi	24
Chalitabunia	35
Char Montaz	53
Chhota Baisdia	68
Rangabali	81
Grand Total	287

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2 Data Analysis

We analyzed the data from 287-questionnaire survey in Rangabali Upazila. We analysis the various variable and found different type of problem. We analysis the Socio-Economic data of two costal Upazila. These results are following,

8.2.1 Basic Household Information

8.2.1.1 Family Type

Family type data is most important for social aspect. It presents the scenario of the individual family. So, it is important phenomena for our survey. Hence, we collect this type of database. 85% of the families in Rangabali Upazila are single Family type but few of the family are combined. We also found that maximum young generation migrate on the city area for working purpose. See Table 8-2 for details.


Table 8-2 Family Type

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Joint	42	15%
Single	245	85%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.1.2 Region Type Data Analysis

Religious factor plays the important role in the development sector so we collect the religious database. In Rangabali Upazila, almost 97.9 % respondents are Muslim; and 1.7 % are Hindu besides some Buddhist are lives here they are 0.3%. We can say that Rangabali is the multi religious field. We cannot imagine the development without religious factors. So, need to priority the planning religious aspect. See Figure 8-1 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-1 Distribution of Religion

8.2.1.3 Age of the respondent

The number of dependent in children age group is not consider in this study so the age category started from the age of 30 as the respondent. In the figure 3.2.4, shows that the maximum 33% of the household head belongs to the age category 40-50 responding for the survey, two outlier age group combined as 8% shows minimum representing as the respondents in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-2 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-2 Distribution of Age of the Respondents

8.2.1.4 Sex

Sex is an important aspect of the development sector. It plays the important role. So, we collect this kind of data. The majority of the respondents were male. This information does not represent the actual situation because religious and cultural factors play an important role in this data. Our database says that 96% male lives in the Rangabali Upazila. But national data says that it's not authentic. Our respondent was 96% male and 4% female. Our interviewer was male person so female respondent are no interests come to the interview. See Table 8-3 for details.


Table 8-3 Sex Type

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Female	11	4%
Male	276	96%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.1.5 Education


Education is the mirror of our society. Without education we cannot be liberated in our development sector, social life and personal life. It plays an important role in the development sector. So, we have collected such a database. The majority of the respondents were not highly educated, only 37% of them passed primary level and 10% passed at secondary level. See Figure 8-3 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-3 Distribution of Education

Most of the illiterate person mainly distributed across the Rangabali upazila, but Char Momtaz, and Baro Baisdia union having the most of the illiterate people in the study. See Map 8-1 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-1 Distribution of Educational Status

Thematic Map of Distribution (Map 8-1) of Educational Status shows that the higher educated (above the bachelor degree holder) respondents mainly belong to the Rangabali upazila area Chalitbunia and with a small portion from Chotta Baisdia union.

8.2.1.6 Marital Status

Almost 96% of married respondents appears in the study 2.1% are unmarried and 2% respondents share the divorced and widow categories combined in the Rangabali Upazila. See Figure 8-4 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-4 Distribution of Marital Status

8.2.1.7 Occupation

In the Figure 6-5, exhibits that the maximum 44% respondents in the Rangabali upazila involved in the agricultural sector, 28% doing small/Medium business.




Despite the above categories some other occupation like Laboure, Government employee, Student and Teacher etc. are also found in the area with a small percentage. See Figure 8-5 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-5 Distribution of Occupation

The Thematic map given below shows that the respondents belongs to the occupation to the agriculture in the Rangabali Upazila is mainly scattered all over the upazila but Char Momtaz and Bara Baisdia having the maximum number of the Agriculture based occupation. See Figure 8-2 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-2 Distribution of Occupation

8.2.1.8 Relationship with Household Head

Our boys went from house to house collecting information. However, they do not always meet the respondent. Sometimes they miss them and collect data from the alternative person. So, need to know about the relationship between HH and others. In most cases, the head of the family has responded to himself / herself. The following chart present the relationship between others. Around 24% of the respondents were wife of household head. See Figure 8-6 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-6 Respondent's Relationship with Household head

8.2.1.9 Family Member

Number of family member in the Rangabali Upazila mainly four categories, socioeconomic condition of the people of Bangladesh is changing rapidly and the traditional joint family broken down as well.


In Rangabali Upazila 56% of family having the member of 05 to 10 members, 40% of the family having not more than 5 people in the family. See Figure 8-7 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-7 Number of family member distribution

According to the thematic map given below shows that the maximum union in the Rangabali Upazila and Chhota Baisdia have maximum ratio of family with 5 to 10 family members in the family. But in Bara Baisdia union have some portion of family with family member less than five family member. On the other hand, Rangabali region shows that the it having more ratio of family with more than 10 family members. See Figure 8-3 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Map 8-3 Number of family member distribution

8.2.2 Building Information

8.2.2.1 House Type

Because of natural disaster mainly cyclone, flood and other disaster frequent hit in the coastal area of Rangabali the house structure type is quite different from the main land of the country. Tin Shed type housing type is very common, 83% of the structure is Tin shed type, 6% of the semi pucca and only 3% house pucca in the study area.


On the other hand, 6% of the structure are katcha and only 2% having Jhupri type structure in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-8 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-8 House Type

Two type of structure of house dominated in the Rangabali upazila, one is Katcha and other is Tinshed. Chalitabunia and small portion of Chhota Baisdia are the main union swhere katcha structure type is dominant most, Bara Baisdia, Char Momtaz and Rangabali region have more Tin shed structure than that of Katcha. See the thematic map given below. See Figure 8-4 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-4 House Type

8.2.2.2 House Construction Year

House construction trend accelerated in last decade where 57% of the house constructed of the total construction of house, 40% of the house constructed in the 2000-2010 decade.

So, it is very clear to specified that maximum construction of house constructed after the year of 2000, and only 0.3% of the house constructed before the liberation in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-9 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-9 Year of Construction of House

8.2.2.3 Housing Rules and Regulation

The municipality and other local government authority may give plan or design to construction of house, but in the area only one building (0.3%) of the construction built under the supervision of the Pourashava, 9.1% of the house constructed without any plan/design. See Figure 8-10 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-10 Plan Approval

8.2.2.4 Reason for not following the rules to build house

Maximum people blamed that they were not properly informed when it came to building their house. And for the most part, people think that because their housing parameters are too small, they don't have to follow the rules for so little space. Not flowing the rule is a common trend in our country. In the Figure 3.6.7, shows that the maximum 20% of the house owner think that it is not so binding about the necessity of getting an approval from the pourashava. 3% of the owner think that approval not needed for him because of the building constructed in the small area. See Figure 8-11 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-11 Reason for Not Following the Rules

8.2.2.5 Ownership of flat/ House/ land

Ownership is the important matter for the house hold head. Without ownership one cannot live permanently. Majority of the respondents opined that they are the owner of the flat/ House/ land on which they live. Around 91.93 % respondents were the owner of their house. See Table 8-4 for details.

Table 8-4 Ownership of flat/ House/ land


Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	263	91.93%
No	24	8.07%
Grand Total	287	100.00%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.2.6 Structure of the House

Because of natural disaster mainly cyclone, flood and other disaster frequent hit in the coastal area of Rangabali the house structure type is quite different from the main land of the country. Tin Shed type housing type is very common,83% of the structure is Tin shed type, 6% of the semi pucca and only 3% house pucca in the study area.

On the other hand, 6% of the structure are katcha and only 2% having Jhupri type structure in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-12 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-12 Structure of the House

8.2.2.7 Number of Floor

Among 7% of the pucca structure, 70% of the pucca structure having two storied and 30% of them are one storied. See Table 8-5 for details.

Table 8-5 Number of Floor


Number of Floor	Frequency	Percent
1	34	30%
2	78	70%
Grand Total	112	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.2.8 House Area

Total house area including yard area categorized minimum sq.ft. 500 or less to maximum sq.ft 5000 in the study area.

In the figure 3.6.3, shows that the maximum 15% of the house having the area category (500 Or less) sq.ft., and only 11% of the house having sq.ft. 4500-5000 area category in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-13 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-13 House Area Including Yard

8.2.2.9 Number of House in the Area

Our database says that most people live in their single home. Some types of people live in their other homes. Some people own two homes. The people of Rangabali Upazila are poor in other Upazilas of Bangladesh. So, they live in a single home. The following chart shows the number of houses sown in Rangabali Upazila. See Figure 8-14 for details.


Table 8-6 Number of House in the Area

Categories	Frequency	Percent
1	223	78%
2 or more	64	22%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.2.10 Plinth Level


Maximum 54% of the houses in the area having (01-02) ft. plinth height, nearest 02-03 ft plinth height of the house having 29%, and only 0.3 % of the plinth having height of 07 ft or more in the study area. See Figure 8-15 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-14 Plinth Height of the house

According to the Thematic map given below, plinth height distributed 1 foot to more than 7-foot categories. Among all the union in the Char Momtaz union having the most houses with plinth height more than minimum five feet high and more, but Rangabali, Bara Baisdia and Chhota Baisdia and Chalitabunia unions have the maximum house with more than five feet plinth height in the house. See Map 8-5 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-5 Plinth Height of the house

8.2.3 Migration status

Rangabali is a coastal Upazila, so the Cyclone is the final attraction of this Upazila. The land of this Upazila is emerging day by day and outsiders come and live here. About 85% of the respondents think that they are not local but migrated from other places.

8.2.3.1 HH Birthplace

76% of the respondents said that their birthplace is in Rangabali and 24% of people said that their birthplace is outside. Some people are born outside for different reasons. Some were born in hospitals; Some are born in their relatives' homes. See Table 8-7 for details.


Table 8-7 Birth place of the household head

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
No	68	24%
Yes	219	76%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.3.2 District Where Migrated From

Bangladesh is the most populous country so land crisis is a common issue in our country. Those who are suffering from lack of land are going to Rangabali Upazila. Rangabali is a coastal Upazila, so Cyclone is the ultimate attraction of this Upazila. The land of this Upazila is emerging day by day and the outsiders live here. Almost 79% of the migrants came from Patuakhali and 15% of the migration happened from the island district Bhola. See Figure 8-15 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-15 District Where Migrated From

8.2.3.3 Year of Migration

Following the chart, the existing migration situation has been presented in Rangabali Upazila. Rangabali Upazila, a sub-island of Bangladesh, was once less populated by Galchipa. Day by day they come here and live. Maximum migration (47%) occurred in the Rangabali upazila within 1990 to 2010, 5% migrants coming in the last decade, only 4% migration occurred between 1950 to 1970. See Figure 8-16 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-16 Year of Migration

8.2.3.4 Migration Pattern

Some people migrated externally from Rangabali Upazila. They are being relocated for various reasons. Some people migrated with the whole family (19%), some relocated themselves (76%), some emigrated with the wife (5%). The following chart exhibits that 61% of the household head migrated himself, 38% with the parents and rest of 1% with the wife in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-17 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-17 Migration Pattern

8.2.3.5 Member Staying Outside of the Locality


Besides migration another option to stay somewhere else from the Rangabali upazila also a common trend. See Figure 8-18 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-18 Member Staying Outside of the Locality

Migration occurred for better life mainly for stable financial and to fulfilling other human needs. In this study shows that the 66% of the migration occurred due to choose better workplace, 13% of the migration for river erosion which is quite common in the coastal area. See Figure 8-19 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-19 Reason of Migration

8.2.3.6 Reason of Stay Outside

Although a small portion of the family whose members are staying outside of the locality, so there are some reasons behind it.

58% of the family member staying outside from the locality because of their Job, 30% of the members for higher education and only 12% staying outside for their marriage. See Figure 8-20 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 8-20 Reason for Stay Outside

8.2.4 Household income, expenditure and savings

8.2.4.1 Household Income

Total household income is the combination of income of HH and the other family member in the household. Figure shows that 21% of the monthly total income of house is not more than TK 10,000.

Maximum percentage of total income of 50% belongs to the income category of TK 10,000 to TK 20,000 and only 3% of the total household income in the category of more than TK 50,000 monthly income in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-21 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-21 Household Income

8.2.4.2 Household Expenditure

Total household income reflecting the total household income in this study, Figure shows that maximum 55% of the household expenditure lies in the TK 10,000 to TK 20,000 category, 22% of the household expenses TK 10,000 or less for their total monthly expenditure and 1%

of the household expenses TK 50,000 per month in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-22 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-22 Household Monthly Expenditure

8.2.4.3 Expenditure on Children

Percentage of expenditure on children depends on the number of children in the family. 56% of the family not said that they spend on children and closely 27% family spend maximum TK 1500 per month for their children in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-23 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-23 Expenditure on Children

8.2.4.4 Cloth Expenditure

The study shows that the maximum of TK 3,000 on expenditure on cloth shares only 11% of the total. See Figure 8-24 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-24 Expenditure on Cloth

8.2.4.5 Educational Expenditure

Expenditure on education depends on mainly how many school going children belongs to the family. 24% of the family spends the amount between TK 1,000 to 2,000 with approximately same percentage (25%) of the family expenditure on education of the category of nothing at all. See Figure 8-25 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-25 Expenditure on Education

8.2.4.6 Expenditure on Food

Maximum household expenditure mostly used for food, and food expenditure relates with the household monthly income.

Figure shows the scenario of how the household expense for food in the study area. See Figure 8-26 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-26 Expenditure on Food

8.2.4.7 Expenditure on health

Figure exhibits that 14% of the respondents do not expense any money for treatment or other health issues, 53% of people of the total survey expenses TK 1,000 or less money for health and maximum expenditure of TK 4,000 belongs to the 3%. See Figure 8-27 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-27 Expenditure on Health

According to the Thematic Map shown below, Chhota Baisdia union having the most expenses for health issues with more than TK 4,000 per month and some other union like Rangabali and

Chalitabunia having expenses between more than TK 2,000 to TK 4,000 for the health per month. Char Momtaz and Bara Baisdia have the less expenses for the health which are not more than TK 1,000 per month in the Rangabali. See Map 8-6 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-6 Expenditure on Health

8.2.4.8 Transport cost

Transport system in the survey mainly in the waterway, maximum 65% respondents express that they expense maximum TK 1,000 per month for the transport expenditure. Transport expenditure not exceeds the TK. 3,000 in this study. See Figure 8-28 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-28 Expenditure on Transport

8.2.4.9 House Rent

The conducted in the mostly in the rural area so most of the household has their own house to live, 92% of the household has no need to expense for monthly house rent, only 6 % household who expense more than maximum TK 5,000 for monthly household rent in Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-29 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-29 Expenditure on House Rent

8.2.4.10 Utility Expenditure

In microeconomics, the cost function is the minimum amount of money a person spends to achieve some level of utility due to the cost of a utility function and available materials. It is necessary for human life. So, we collect this kind of database. Following the chart, the existing utility costs of Rangabali Upazila are shown. In the study area of the Rangabali upazila, 14% of the family expenditure on the utility not more than TK 500, 24% of the family not expending on utility per month in regular basis. See Figure 8-30 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-30 Expenditure on Electricity, Fuel, Telephone etc.

8.2.4.11 Monthly Saving

Monthly savings directly dependent on the monthly income of the individuals, 53% of the individuals in the study shows that they are not having any savings in the monthly basis according their monthly income in the Rangabali upazila. Monthly savings less than TK 5,000

represents 38% of the family in the study area and only 1% household have more than TK 20,000 savings in the project area. See Figure 8-31 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-31 Monthly Savings

8.2.4.12 Place of Savings

Following Figure exhibits that the 52% of the household do not have savings place option because of they have no savings per month. only 14% of the individual save their money in regular basis into the bank, 13% of them save money in their own, and 21% in the Micro credit banking system. See Figure 8-32 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-32 Place of Saving

8.2.4.13 Loan

Poor people are poor. They have no additional capital for a significant period of time. That is why many people get loans in times of crisis. It was an interesting fact that in the following chart, we found the ratio of borrowing and non-lending. Almost 51% of the respondents said they have loan. See Table 8-8 for details.


Table 8-8 Status of Loan

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
No	141	49%
Yes	146	51%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.4.14 Source of Loan

The people of Rangabali are poor among the people of other sub-districts of Bangladesh. They cannot borrow from their neighbors because they have no power. So, they take loans from different financial institutions. Some government and some NGOs. The following chart shows the source of loan credit in Rangabali Upazila. Almost 47% of the loans were taken from ASA and 17% were taken from Grameen Bank. See Figure 8-33 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-33 Source of Loan

8.2.4.15 Monthly Installment to Pay

The Rangabali people are poor in other Upazilas of Bangladesh. Their per capita income is not high. It plays an important role in the domestic economy of Rangabali Upazila. The following chart shows the monthly installments to provide. 52% of the respondents said their monthly installments was less than 5000 BDT per month. See Figure 8-34 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-34 Monthly Installment to Pay

8.2.4.16 Economic Base

We analyzed the economic database of Rangabali Upazilas and found that according to 83% of the respondents the economy of the Upazila is agro-based. The production and marketing of industrial products is not strong here. The service sector needs to raise the base economy. The table below presents the internal economic base of Rangabali Upazilas. See Figure 8-35 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-35 Economic Base of the Area

According to the Thematic map about the Economic Base of the Rangabali Upazila, all the union sharing the almost same economic base in the Rangabali Upazila, Agri products Production & Marketing is the main economic base for the maximum union like Char Momtaz, Rangabali, Bara Baisdia, Chhota Baisdia and Chalitabunia.

With the Agri products economic base some other economic activities like Fish Marketing mainly in Char Momtaz as the economic base in the Rangabali Upazila. See Map 8-7 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Map 8-7 Economic Base of the Area

8.2.5 Natural Disaster and Consequences

8.2.5.1 Severity of damage during 2007 and 2009 Disaster

In this study severity of damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster mainly focus on the how damage on house, domestic animal and agricultural land & production. For the damage of house during the disaster following figure shows that 47% of the house got full damage and little damage occurred for 44% house, only 9% of the house suffer no damage during the disaster.

In the counting of damage on domestic animal, the figure shows that 49% of the household had no experience of damage of domestic animal, 43% had little damage and only 7% experienced full damage on their domestic animal. 45% of the agricultural land and production was little damaged by the disaster during the 2007 & 2009, only 10% belongs to the full damage in that time. See Figure 8-36 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-36 Severity of Damage During 2007 & 2009 Disaster

8.2.5.2 Level of Recovery on During 2007 & 2009 Disaster

For the house damage during the disaster 2007 & 2009, in the account of recovery study shows that 15% of the house, no full recovery for the domestic animal and 8% of the agricultural land & production was fully recovered from damage. Domestic animal damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster 60% of them no recovered, 65% agricultural & production also not recovered, but only 29% of the house not recovered from the disaster. See Figure 8-37 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-37 Level of Recovery During 2007 & 2009 Disaster

8.2.5.3 Severity of damage during 2018

Severity of damage during 2018 shows the less damage compare with the 2007& 2009 disaster, the following figure, below shows that the 78% of the house, 91% of the domestic animal and

92% of the agricultural & production had no damage in the study area. See Figure 8-38 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-38 Severity of damage during 2018

8.2.5.4 Level of Recovery of damage during 2018

Survey data shows, according to 22% of the respondents, there was little damage on houses during 2018 disaster. Detailed list with percentage has been given in the following table. See Figure 8-39 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-39 Level of Recovery During 2018 Disaster

8.2.5.5 Amount of damage by Cyclone (Tk)

Maximum time of Cyclone hit by the region in the time interval of 2005-2009 and most damage done in that time, 91% of the damage occurred in that time interval from year 2000 to 2019. See Figure 8-40 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-40 Amount of damage by Cyclone (Tk)

From the Thematic map about the cyclone/ Tornado occurred and consequences in the area, it shows that the Cyclone occurred in most southern part of the region like Char Momtaz, Bara Baisdia and some part of the Rangabali from the year of 2005 to 2010.

From 2005 to 2010 most of the Cyclone occurred in some northern part of the Bara Baisdia, Chhota Baisdia union. On the other hand, in Chalitabunia union was suffered most for the Cyclone in the recent past. See Map 8-8 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-8 Cyclone occurrence

8.2.5.6 Amount damage by Fire (TK)

Like the Cyclone, fire cost in the same time period of 2005 to 2009 and 74% of the damage done in that time period which costs TK 6,80,000 from the study year of 2000 to 2019. See Figure 8-41 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-41 Amount of Damaged by Fire

8.2.5.7 Amount of Damaged by Tidal Surge

Flow tide occurred in maximum time in the year category of 2000-2009 and damage most among the total. 62% damage done in that time and total damage cost was TK. 3,254,000 in the area. In the recent decade flow tide damage cost only TK. 8,85,000. See Figure 8-42 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-42 Amount of Damage by Flow Tide

8.2.5.8 River Bank Erosion

Riverbank Erosion is an endemic and recurrent natural hazard in Bangladesh. When rivers enter the mature stage (as in the case with the three mighty rivers, Ganges, Brahmaputra and Meghna) they become sluggish and meander or braid. These oscillations cause massive riverbank erosion. Since the Rangabali Upazila are located along the river the river bank erosion is the common occurrence. In the table below and Figure shows that most of the respondents say that the highest river bank erosion in this area is 77% and the lowest is 23%. See Table 8-9 for details.

Table 8-9 River Bank Erosion

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	220	77%
No	67	23%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.5.9 Operational Switch Gate

Since Rangabali Upazila is on the bank of rivers, Switch gate is very much needed. In below table and Figure show that, most of the respondent say that the operation of switch gate in this area the highest of this is Yes 98% and lowest is No that is 2 %. See Table 8-10 for details.

Table 8-10 Operational Switch Gate

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	280	98%
No	7	2%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019


8.2.5.10 Symptom of climate change

There are many causes of symptom of climate change in Rangabali Upazila are those Humans are increasingly influencing the climate and the earth's temperature by burning fossil fuels, cutting down rainforests and farming livestock. This adds enormous amounts of greenhouse gases to those naturally occurring in the atmosphere, increasing the greenhouse effect and global warming. In below table and Figure show that most of the respondent say that the Highest Symptom in this area the percentage of this is 93 % and lowest **percentage 7%**. See Table 8-11 and Map 8-9 for details.

Table 8-11 Symptom of climate change

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	267	93%
No	20	7%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-9 Symptom of climate change

8.2.5.11 Flow Tide in Rangabali Upazila

Cyclone and tidal surge are natural hazards in our country. We are habituated to the cyclone and tidal surge. Mainly they come in summer season and late autumn in our country. It makes a ghastly situation. 20/30 feet tidal surge attacked in our coastal area. It damages our lives and wealth. Following table presents the cyclone and tidal surge in the Rangabali Upazila. 95% people said most of the flow occurred in between 2000-2009. See Figure 8-43 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-43 Flow Tide in Rangabali Upazila

8.2.6 Infrastructure and Drainage


8.2.6.1 Drainage Type

Almost 91.14% people opined they got no drainage facility. Only according to 0.18% of the respondents, they got pucca drain in proximity to their houses. Other categories with percentage is shown in the following Table 8-12 and Figure 8-44.

Table 8-12 Drainage Type

Drain Type	Frequency	Percentage
Katcha	2	0.18
No Drain	998	91.14
Pucca	95	8.68

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-44 Drainage Type

8.2.6.2 Height of the Embankment

In the coastal area it needed to protection from the flood or inundate. Embankment of height between 10 to 15 ft. having the most percentages (64%) in the study area. 12.5% of the

embankment having height with 5 ft. or less in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-45 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-45 Height of the Embankment

8.2.6.3 Increasing the Height of Embankment

Almost 89.9 % of the respondents thinks that height of the embankment is not enough and needs to be get higher. Other categories with percentage are shown is the following table 8-13.

Table 8-13 Increase the Height of Embankment

Categories	Frequency	Percent
No	29	10.1%
Yes	258	89.9%
Grand Total	287	100.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.7 Disease and Healthcare


8.2.7.1 Infected by Diseases

The survey conducted to identify the family members being attacked by disease in last or recent past. The table shows, that the 25% of the individuals express that they are attacked by disease in the last year in the recent past, and 75% have no recent record about being attacked by any disease. See Table 8-14 and Figure 8-46 for details.

Table 8-14 Infected by Diseases

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	72	25%
No	215	75%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-46 Infected by Diseases

8.2.7.2 Type of Diseases

Another Figure below, showing the list of disease attacked by the residents in this area. Common diseases observed in the area are mainly 18% brain disease, dysentery/diarrhea belongs to 15% of the total disease attacked in the last year, heart disease and tuberculosis (TB) also two common observed disease having percentage of 11% and 16% respectively. See Figure 8-47 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-47 Type of Diseases

8.2.7.3 Medical Facility

60% of the respondents goes to village doctor for treatment. Other categories with percentage are shown is the following chart. See Figure 8-48 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-48 Medical Facilities

Limited access of medical facilities could bring bad situation in the community, following map showing how medical facilities distributed in the Rangabali upazila. People of Chalitabunia union along with some other union of the Rangabali, Chhota Baisdia, Bara Baisdia are all mainly depends on the village doctor for their treatment. In Char Momtaz depends on Charity hospitals or community clinic for their treatment. See Map 8-10 for details.

Disease scenerio of the Area of Rangabali Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-10 Medical Facilities

8.2.8 Utility and Waste Management

8.2.8.1 Source of Fuel

Still, wood is considered as the primary source of fuel in Rangabali Upazila according to 87% of the people. Cylinder gas is also getting popularity in some places. Other categories with percentage are shown in the following Figure 8-49 in details.





Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-49 Source of Fuel

Thematic map about the fuel source in the Rangabali Upazila, showing that maximum of the house hold across the area uses woods, small portion of the Bara Baisdia and Char Momtaz union found that the along with wood they are using Cylinder Gas. See Map 8-11 for details.

Fuel Sources of Rangabali Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-11 Source of Fuel

8.2.8.2 Source of Light

Interestingly, solar power is getting popular in Rangabali Upazila as well as the entire country as a reliable source of renewable and sustainable source of electricity. Light sources in the Rangabali upazila mainly depends on electricity and solar panel, the study shows that the source of light in the area solar electricity contribute the percentage of 95%, other 4% of the light source belongs to the kupa/Bati/Harican. See Figure 8-50 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-50 Source of Light

8.2.8.3 Waste Disposal system

Waste management in Rangabali Upazila is not yet significantly good. In fact, it's not good at all. Almost 73 percent of the respondents said they still dump the solid waste just outside their house. Which may cause serious health issues. So, solid waste management condition in Rangabali Upazila need more improvement. And another thing to be concerned is they dump waste directly into the river or canal which is constantly polluting the water of that system. Which has immediate negative impact on the biodiversity and water ecosystem of the area. As the area is in a close proximity to the Bay of Bangal, the polluted river water must enter the sea and therefore endangered the life and ocean ecology. See Figure 8-51 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-51 Waste Disposal location

8.2.9 Water Supply and Drainage

8.2.9.1 Water logging

Water logging is one of the major issues in Rangabali Upazila. Almost 94 percent respondents complained about water logging. See Figure 8-52 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-52 Cause of water logging

8.2.9.2 Operational switch gates

Switch gates maintain and control the flow of water. If the system fails, water logging and other related problems may occur. Luckily, 98 % respondent opined that the nearby switch gate was operational. See Table 8-15 for details.


Table 8-15 Operational switch gates

Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	280	98%
No	7	2%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.9.3 Water quality

It is a good thing that almost 97% the respondents believe that the water quality is good enough and it's perfectly drinkable. See Figure 8-53 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-53 Quality of Water

8.2.9.4 Source of Drinking Water

99% of the people are dependent on their personal Tube Well as a clean source of drinking water. See Figure 8-54 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-54 Sources of Water

8.2.9.5 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood

It is easy to find out the water sources in this area but in the time of flood it seems to be quite difficult to finding the water sources, but the following table, shows below exhibits that the, 2% of the individuals express that it is no problem to find out the water sources and rest of the 98% have a problem to finding the drinkable water. See Table 8-16 for details.

Table 8-16 Arrangement for Drinking Water During Flood

Categories	Frequency	Percent
No	6	2%
Yes	281	98%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.9.6 Water Supply Sufficiency

Sufficient supply of water is an essential requirement for human being to live. From our survey, we collected information about the supply of water which is listed in percentage in following table 8-17.


Table 8-17 Water Supply Sufficiency

Categories	Frequency	Percent
No	33	11%
Yes	254	89%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.9.7 Problem to Collect Water

Rangabali is located in the southern part of Bangladesh which is the coastal region of the country. It is obvious that is difficult to find drinkable pure water there. People living there often face difficulties collecting the water. According to the study, 48% of the household not facing such problem of collecting drinking water. Water source is so far from the house this type of problem facing 17% of the family in the study and 35% of the family express that the collection time water is taken too long for them. See Figure 8-55 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-55 Problem to Collecting Water

8.2.9.8 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water

In char area, most of the water is saline water therefore not usable for drinking and other purposes. Treatment of saline water is also costly. So, rain water harvesting can be the most reliable source of water. Almost 90% of the respondents said they have rain water harvesting plant installed in their home. Following table 8-18 is showing the rain water harvesting scenario of Rangabali Upazila.

Table 8-18 Arrangement for Collecting Rain Water


Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	259	90%
No	28	10%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.10 Other Infrastructure and Facility

8.2.10.1 Problem of Main Road

According to 40% of the respondents, their main road is narrow and other 56% opined that the roads are damaged at some places. Following chart is showing the category of the problems of main road. See Figure 8-56 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-56 Problem of Main Road

8.2.10.2 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance

Distance from the house distributed in five categories in the study 13% of the Bazar (4000-5000) meter away from the home, maximum 34% distance from the house to bazar having the distance category of 0-1000 meter. Minimum distance of less than 1000 meter to the post office only 24% in the study, 5% of the post office not more than 2000 meter away and maximum 51% of the post offices lies in the 4000-5000+ meter category. 29% of the shopping malls are more than 4000 meters away from the respondent's home, beside same as 28% of the shopping malls distance less than 1000 meter away from the home of the respondents. See Figure 8-57 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-57 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Distance

8.2.10.3 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House

Following figure shows that 10% of house less than 5000 meter away from hospital in the Rangabali upazila, and maximum 31% house are more than 25000 meters away from respective house. For the fire service distance from home distributed in the something like equal distribution for the distance from respondents' home, only 2% of fire service distance from home having less than 5,000 meter and maximum 42% of the house situated from maximum 15,000 meter away from fire service facilities in the Rangabali upazila.

Distance of maximum 25,000 meter away from home, 34% community center lies in this category. Only 3% distance of less than 5000 meters from the home of the respondents, and maximum 25000-30000+ meter away from the home to community center having only 18% in the study area. See Figure 8-58 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-58 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Distance from House


8.2.10.4 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode

Transport mode from home to bazar, post office and shopping mall distributed in three categories (On foot, Rickshaw/Van & Motor Vehicle/Boat),

In the figure 3.10.3, 52% of the respondents goes bazar on foot, 46% of them take Motor Vehicle/Boat and only 2% of the respondents uses Rickshaw/Van.

In the Rangabali upazila, 58% of the of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Van for reaching shopping mall, and 40% of the respondent goes to the shopping mall on foot.

For post office transport mode maximum 57% of the residents uses Motor vehicle/Boat, 42% of them prefer to on foot and only 1% use Rickshaw/Van. See Figure 8-59 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019


Figure 8-59 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Transport Mode

8.2.10.5 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode

In the case of transport mode from house to the Hospital, Fire service & Community Center, all the transport options are categories into three option,

In the study transport mode for all three destination no residents prefer or not possible to prefer go to on foot. For the Hospital transport, 98% of the respondents prefer to take Motor Vehicle/Boat, and only 2% of the respondents uses Rickshaw/van.

In the figure 3.10.4, 100% of the respondents uses Motor Vehicle/Boat for the transportation to get fire service in the Rangabali upazila. For the Community center transport mode, 71% of the transport mode belongs to the Motor Vehicle/Boat, 28% use Rickshaw/Van. See Figure 8-60 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-60 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Transport Mode

8.2.10.6 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time

Travel time for Bazar, shopping Mall & Post office distributed within maximum 60 or more minutes, 41% respondents exhibits that to get a nearest bazar it takes less or 10 minutes, in the same time limit 25% of the respondents get into the shopping mall and 22% for the post office. Maximum 30% of response belongs to the more than 60 minutes time limit for the travel time to the post office. See Figure 8-61 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-61 Bazar, Post office & Shopping Mall Travel Time

8.2.10.7 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time

Time limit of the travel time categorized into three categories, for the travel time to the hospital 49% of the respondents express that it takes maximum 180 minutes to reach the hospital from their home and only 10% takes 60 or less time to the hospital. See Figure 8-62 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-62 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Mall Travel Time

8.2.10.8 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality

Service quality of bazar 86% of the individuals satisfied as an average level, 12% express bazar service is good and only 2% said that service quality of bazar is bad. For the service quality of shopping mall, shows that 85% of the residents said that service quality of shopping is average type, 7% of them express that it is good and only 8% marked as bad service quality.

Post office service quality distributed mainly in average categories, 90% of the feedback of the service quality is average, 7% belongs to the bad service quality, and only 2% opinion belongs to the good service quality. See Figure 8-63 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-63 Bazar, post office and Shopping Mall Service Quality

8.2.10.9 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality

According to the respondents in study 88% express that service quality of hospital is average in quality, 5% belongs to the good service quality and 7% express that the service quality of hospital is bad in the study area. Service quality of fire service is distributed same among the three option Good, Average and Bad where's 13% goes to the good service quality, 82% and only 6% for the average and bad service quality respectively. 80% opinion belongs to the average service quality for community center only 1% express that the service is good and rest 19% belongs to the bad service quality. See Figure 8-64 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-64 Hospital, Fire Service & Community Center Service Quality

8.2.10.10 Location of Recreational Place

Recreation location is such a place where people spend time for refreshment, who are going to place for recreation 85% of the choose the naturally beautiful place in the study area, 5% of them prefer playground and 10% choose shopping mall for recreation. See Figure 8-65 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-65 Location for Recreation

8.2.10.11 Passing Leisure Time

Leisure time is when you are not working and you can do things that relax and enjoy. In Gallachipa and Rangabali Upazilas, most people spend their leisure time in going to relative’s house, which is the highest. 55% and the lowest reading book is 2%. See Figure 8-66 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-66 passing leisure Time

8.2.10.12 Recreation Type

The type recreation is a very big factor in socio-economic condition. In below table and Figure show that most of the respondent in Rangabali and Rangabali Upazila say that the Highest Irregular type recreation in this area is 99% and lowest is 1%. See Table 8-19 for details.


Table 8-19 Recreation Type

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Irregular	285	99%
Regular	2	1%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.10.13 Distance of Recreational place

In the study shows that 55% of the recreation location situated within the 500 meters from house, 14% within 1,000 meter and maximum distance more than 2,500 meters belongs to 11% recreation facilities in this area. See Figure 8-67 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-67 Recreation Facilities Distance from House

8.2.10.14 First Problem of this area

Several of problem identified by the residents of the area, among all the problem 48% of the respondents think that load shedding is the main problem in this area, 22% of the residents think that water road & transport problem is the first problem and almost 6% residents given their opinion in the favor of water logging is their first problem in the Rangabali upazila. See Figure 8-68 for details.





Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-68 First Problem in This Area

In the southern part of the upazila, mainly the respondents of Char Momtaz express that their first problem is the water logging. On the other hand, in the northern part of the upazila, where Chaliabunia union experiencing mixed of problems like water logging as well as traffic jam, the traffic jam along with road & transport and sewerage problem also experiencing in Rangabali, Chhota Baisdia. Map is shown below. See Map 8-12 for details.

First Problem in the Area of Rangabali Upazila




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Map 8-12 First Problem in This Area

8.2.11 Sanitation and Hygiene

8.2.11.1 Type of Sanitation

Maximum sanitation type in the area is Tin shed, tin shed sanitation type belongs to the 72% of the total sanitation in the area, 16% of the sanitation is Katcha and only 5% of the sanitation found as a Pucca in the Rangabali upazila study area. See Figure 8-69 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-69 Type of Sanitation

8.2.11.2 Hygienic sanitation

Almost 52% of the respondents said that they don't have access to hygienic sanitation. See Table 8-20 for details.

Table 8-20 Hygienic sanitation


Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	137	48%
No	150	52%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.12 Land use Change and Other Problems

8.2.12.1 Land use Change

In any type of development plan, one of the most important things to consider is land use change. Rangabali is the southern coastal Upazila of Bangladesh. Agriculture was considered the main occupation in the region, but the sector is suffering the most due to climate change. People are trying to find alternative ways of living. In recent times, the main profession has been considered as fishing and marketing. Most people are directly and indirectly involved in fishing, drying and selling. See Figure 8-70 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-70 Reason of Land use change

8.2.12.2 The Area of Land You Want to Give (sq. ft.)

This is an important issue for the development sector. Therefore, we have collected such data. A large part of the land in the Rangabali Upazila is a Khas (state-owned) property that is part of the newly formed delta. Most of the people migrate from other Upazilas. Since the property is government-owned, they have no choice but to agree to give the land. The following chart shows the amount of land they want to give. In the Rangabali upazila 73% of the respondents express that they want to give land for widening the land, 19% of them want to give maximum 50 sq. ft. from their property, 25% of them are willing to give maximum 100 sq. ft. land for the purpose of the widening the road. See Figure 8-71 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-71 The Area of Land willing to Give


8.2.12.3 Widening of Road

86% of the road to Rangabali Upazila are needed widening. Only 14% of the roads were found with enough width. Cyclone and tidal Surge hits this area every year and the road frequently needs repairing. See Table 8-21 and Figure 8-72 for details.

Table 8-21 Necessity of road widening

Widening of Road	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	703	86
No	115	14

Source: Field Survey, 2019



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-72 Necessity of road widening

8.2.13 Educational Condition

8.2.13.1 School Going Children

66% of children go to school in Rangabali Upazila other 34% are not going to school. Our government has already given a different incentive package for our school going children. If our local government is aware of this issue then they can solve the problem. See Table 8-22 for details.


Table 8-22 School Going Children

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Yes	190	66%
No	97	34%
Grand Total	287	100%

Source: Field Survey, 2019

8.2.13.2 Cause of School Dropping

There is different reason behind the status of not going to the school, 88% children not going to the school because the parents think that children are under aged for going to school, 6% children do that because of the poverty and also 6% children do so because their parents are not willingness to send them to school. See Figure 8-73 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 8-73 Cause of children not going to school

8.3 SWOT Analysis of Rangabali Upazila based on socio-economic survey findings

<p>Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ From the topographic profile of Rangabali Upazila it is understood that the Upazila being a coastal area, it is Surrounded with rivers and cannels and a good number of Ponds which provides a natural water collection setup in this Upazila. ✓ Availability of natural water flow strengthens the agricultural production of the Upazila. ✓ Proximity to the Bay of Bangal. ✓ Available electricity supply in the urban area. ✓ The Fishing and agricultural economic base of this area opens the face of a mixed fishing and agro-based economic development of this Upazila. ✓ A good number of islands with fertile land, forest and advantage point on fishing and sea fish processing. ✓ Peoples Indigenous experience on fighting natural disaster. ✓ Population density in rural area is not that high in comparison to urban area. 	<p>Weakness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Majority of the houses were built without any approved plan in urban area. ✓ Majority of the houses in rural area and islands are temporary, especially in islands where people go for fishing. ✓ Lack of the formal drainage system and safe septic tank in urban area. ✓ In rural area, no formal drainage system exists. These areas are fully dependent on natural drainage system like cannels and rivers. ✓ Embankment height is not sufficient as per requirement ✓ Pure drinking Water collection problem for the people of some union. Distance from pure water source and salinity were identified as the main reason. ✓ The inadequacy of the transport network, especially in rural area and trade route. And islands are separated by Bay of Bengal. due to heavy current, transporting goods from islands is not an easy task. ✓ Poor infrastructural facilities for waste disposal. ✓ Water logging during the rainy season and high tide of sea causes temporal flood in islands. ✓ Lack of drinking water, transport mode, good educational institution ✓ Highly prone to natural disaster like Cyclone, Storm Surge, Flood etc. ✓ Lack of adequate Cyclone shelter.
<p>Opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Availability of rain water collection facility. ✓ Significant number of Solar electric panel installed. 	<p>Threats</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The frequent occurrence of a climatic disaster like Cyclone which can change the socio-economic and natural setting of this area.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Sufficient greenery to support environmental sustainability. ✓ Sufficient space available for future development. ✓ Lands are very much fertile. ✓ In Rangabali, a significant amount of Khash land (Government owned Land) available which can be used for road widening and other development purpose. ✓ Improving road network connectivity within and outside the Upazila. ✓ Improvement is necessary in water transport as well to ensure proper trade route with islands. ✓ Prioritizing sewerage and sanitation system which would improve the living environmental quality of this Upazila. ✓ Fishing and Agro-based economic development can improve the life of rural people. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Frequent Flood and water logging can disrupt the agricultural production. ✓ Salinity can reduce lands fertility. ✓ Climate change effect especially temperature rising can degrade the living environmental quality of Rangabali Upazila. ✓ Encroachment of canals or other water bodies for purpose of development can disrupt the natural drainage system in the urban and rural area.
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

8.4 Planning Recommendation for Rangabali Upazila based on socio-economic survey findings


- ✓ Improving water transportation with islands.
- ✓ Recover of encroached channel and rivers is necessary to ensure proper flow of natural drainage and also to ensure the availability of sufficient natural water collection for agriculture.
- ✓ Rain water harvesting is already popular in the area, so, improving the facility can enhance efficiency.
- ✓ A significant number of Solar electric panel is already installed in the region. Future development can reduce dependency on national electric grid and the Upazila will be self-sufficient on electricity.
- ✓ Improving road network connectivity within and outside the Upazila can enhance trade efficiency.
- ✓ Prioritizing sewerage and sanitation system would improve the living environmental quality of this Upazila.
- ✓ Facilitating Agro-based economic development can improve the life of rural people.
- ✓ Promoting Sustainable development.
- ✓ Imposing planning regulations and design approval for new constructions as well as monitoring existing unplanned developments.
- ✓ Improving the living of Fishermen community.
- ✓ Developing tourist spots in islands. Sonar Char can be an ideal place.
- ✓ Improving education, healthcare facility, and early warning system.

Chapter 9 Others Survey

9.1 Educational survey

9.1.1 Availability of hostel

According to educational institution survey we found that almost 87% of the educational institution of Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila doesn't have any hostel facility. See Figure 9-1 for details.




Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 9-1 Availability of Hostel

9.1.2 Availability of Intuitional transport facility

According to educational institution survey we found that almost 95% of the educational institution of Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila doesn't have any Intuitional transport facility. See Figure 9-2 for details.



Source: Field Survey, 2019

Figure 9-2 Availability of Intuitional transport facility

9.2 Health facility

From our health facility survey, we found that health facility in both Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila is not good enough. Number of doctors and their working hours was not sufficient in proportion to available patients. A summery table has been given below. See Table 9-1 for details.

Table 9-1 Health Facility Scenerio

Location of Health Facilities Center	No. of Patient	No. of Doctor (Permanent)	No. of Nurse (Permanent)	No. of Doctor (Consultant)	Doctor Working Hour
Dakua	0	2	0	0	6
Sobuj Bag, Girls School Road	8	2	1	0	9
Mazgram	20	0	1	0	3
Chor Chandrain	100	1	0	0	10
Char Montaz Sulij Bazar	22	1	0	0	10
Pankhali	10	0	10	0	8
Moddho Horedevpur	0	2	0	0	8
Moddho Dakua	22	1	0	1	3
Fulkhali 3 No Ward Dakua	0	2	0	0	6
Char Bestin Bazar	1	0	0	0	8
Boro Char Kajal 2 No word	2	1	0	0	6
Char Kopal Vera	0	1	1	1	6
Wopada Road Samulibag	1	0	0	0	12
Golachipa Upozila Helth Complex	77	8	25	1	8
Uttar Char Biswas	No	1	3	0	6
Chiknikandi Bazar	1	1	0	1	6
Ulania Bazar	1	1	0	0	6
Char Agasti	00	1	0	0	6

Source: Field Survey, 2019

9.3 SWOT Analysis based on Others survey findings


Opportunities and Strengths	Threats and Weakness
<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ People are willing to take education.✓ Enabled people have the tendency to spend on child education.✓ Some govt. hospitals are available in urban areas.✓ Available space for construction of new medical and educational facility.✓ People has indigenous knowledge to fight natural disasters.✓ Except Agriculture and Fishing, there are some light manufacturing and processing industries to support the regional economy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ Availability educational facility was not adequate for the people of the project area.✓ In rural areas people are mostly dependent on unskilled village doctors.✓ Hospitals are not well equipped with emergency medical accessories.✓ In islands, health care is hardly available.✓ Distance from available educational institutions and hospitals makes it more difficult to avail them.

9.4 Planning Recommendation based on Others survey findings

- ✓ Promoting child education.
- ✓ Improving medical facility and build hospital in remote areas.
- ✓ Reduce dependency on unskilled village doctors.
- ✓ Establishing agro-based processing industries to strengthen the back bone of the economy.
- ✓ Establishing medical facility in islands.


Chapter 10 Linkage with Physical Feature Survey Data

While collecting the Socioeconomic data, the same Grid ID and Structure ID has been assigned to Identify in which structure the socioeconomic data belongs to. Later using the Grid ID and Structure ID in their attribute, a unique ID has been created to link the Socioeconomic database with their physical feature counterpart. And using that unique ID we managed to link both the database. Map 8-1 is showing the Red marked structures from which socioeconomic data were collected.



Map 10-1 Location of Households that were surveyed for Socioeconomic data

Both the physical feature and socioeconomic attributes were embedded within the physical feature shapefile. Socioeconomic survey has been completed but as because, Physical feature survey work is still ongoing, all physical feature data haven't been collected yet. Once physical feature survey completed, the entire physical feature database linked with socioeconomic data will be provided. For the time being, a sample has been provided in map 8-2.



Map 10-2 Socio economic data within Physical feature shapefile's attribute

Chapter 11 Disaster Resilience

11.1 Recent disaster case study (Cyclone Amphan)

Galachipa and Rangabali upazila of Patuakhali District is highly prone to natural disasters. Cyclone, Storm Surge and flood are very common scenario here. Super Cyclonic Storm Amphan was a powerful and deadly tropical Cyclone that caused widespread damage in the project area Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila in 20 May, 2020. Four deaths were reported from Patuakhali, Pirojpur and Bhola districts after Cyclone 'Amphan' battered the coastal region with wind speed of 160-180 kph. The maximum sustained wind speed within 74 kms of the Cyclone centre was about 160 kph rising to 180 kph in gusts/squalls. Meanwhile, the Metrological Office said low-lying areas of the coastal districts of the coastal areas were inundated by storm surge of 10-15 feet height above the normal astronomical tide (Prothom Alo, 20 May 2020).

For highly disaster-prone areas like Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila, it is mandatory to incorporate disaster resilience and mitigation strategy in planning documentation. In this report, disaster resilience capacity has been mapped for all regions of the project area showing the level of resilience.

Some photos of "Cyclone Amphan damage" taken by Geomark Field Team are shown below;



Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)



Figure 11-1 Damages from CycloneAmphan

11.2 Multi-criteria analysis of Infrastructural and Economic strength towards Disaster resilience

Both Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila are in coastal region and proximity to the Bay of Bengal makes them extremely vulnerable to Natural Disaster like Cyclone, Storm surge, Flood etc. these Upazilas have a number of islands and some of them are located in remote locations of Bay of Bengal. Due to strong sea current, it is hard to reach those remote islands. However, these islands are highly economically potential due to deep sea resources, fishing and tourism. Majority of the Peoples from islands of Rangabali Upazila are basically seasonal migrants who are migrated from nearby districts in search of livelihood. Their main occupation is deep sea fishing. These peoples are the primary victims of natural disasters like Cyclone, Storm surge, Flood etc. because they are directly exposed to harsh tropical climate without any protection. But in urban areas, the situation is relatively better due to availability of emergency services, developed infrastructures and better economic conditions. This study intends to identify the regions with greater disaster resilience and also regions with less resilience so that, these knowledges can be helpful for disaster resilience plan making for the area. Multi-criteria analysis technique has been incorporated to perform the task.

Physical infrastructures like road, Cycloneshelter, local administration setup like union headquarters, Hospital and health centers, growth centers like Hat-Bazars are crucial for minimizing and mitigating the overall damage caused by natural disasters. In time of emergency, if there is not enough shelter available, buildings of School. College and other educational institutions can also be used as shelter. Roads play crucial role in transporting necessary supplies, evacuate vulnerable population, to run rescue operations and aid distribution. Union headquarters are the root level government setup which ensures government intervention and control over critical situation. Adequate number of hospitals and healthcare facilities are required for tackling medical emergencies. And growth centers play important role to maintain the local and regional economy, trade and commerce of the particular area. disaster control and mitigation are mostly dependent of the regional economy. So, regional people's monthly income and savings pattern is also an important variable in context of disaster resilience.

As infrastructural strength and economic condition have critical influence in disaster resilience, multi-criteria analysis has been done in two steps. First, physical infrastructure strength has been calculated by evaluating multiple criteria on different existing infrastructural setup. Then, by incorporating economic aspects to the model, Disaster resilience capacity of different regions have been calculated. For both the cases, ground condition has been marked as excellent, good, moderate, bad and worse category. The model is shown in the following figure; These criteria have been used for evaluating infrastructural strength,

- ✓ Distance from road.
- ✓ Distance from hospital.
- ✓ Distance from Cycloneshelter.
- ✓ Distance from Union headquarter.
- ✓ Distance from nearby growth center.
- ✓ Distance from school, college and other educational institutions.
- ✓ Distance from other facility like food storages.

Two additional criteria have been used in the model to integrate economic aspects to the model to evaluate disaster resilience. Those are.

- ✓ Monthly family income
- ✓ Monthly savings.

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)





Figure 11-2 Model work flow

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

The criteria Maps were as follows;



Map 11-1 Criteria Maps

11.3 Infrastructural strength

From multi-criteria analysis of Infrastructural strength showed that being the urban area, Galachia Paurashava and Rangabali town have the best infrastructural setup than the rural areas. In time of disaster, resource mobilization and people’s evacuation will be faster in these urban areas. So, disaster mitigation is lot easier in these urban areas of the project area. Galachipa, Amkhola, Dakua, Golkhali, and Chiknikandi union of Galachipa Upazila and Rangabali, Bara Baisdia, chhoto Baisdia, and part of Char Kajal union of Rangabali Upazila have relatively good infrastructural capacity to fight any disaster at some extend but still they are not even close to enough. Bakulbaria, and Chaltibunia union of Galachipa Upazila are badly vulnerable due to low infrastructural development. The worse scenario can be seen in the lower portion of Bara Baisdia, Char Montaz, Sonar Char and other small islands of Rangabali Upazila. Any kind of infrastructural development can hardly be seen in those areas except some Cycloneshelter. Detail is shown in map 11.4.

The following table shows the assigned weight of different variables;

Table 11-1 Weightage Table

Variable	Influence (%)	Normalized Field Value	weighted Value
Distance from Road	16	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Union Headquarters	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6

Final Report on Package-4:


Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Union Hospital	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Educational Institutions	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from CycloneShelters	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Distance from Growth Centers	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from other Facilities	14	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9



Map 11-2 Infrastructural Strength

11.4 Disaster resilience capacity zoning

Economy plays the most vital role for the sustainability of any region. Being resilience to disaster means to become economically sustainable to support quick recovery after disaster event and infrastructurally strong to ensure proper mobility and emergency facility support. From multi-criteria analysis of Disaster resilience capacity zoning showed that Galachia Paurashava and Rangabali town have the best economic and infrastructural setup than the rural areas. Better communication facility and job opportunity makes the economy of those two urban areas stronger than other surrounding rural regions. Rural areas are mostly dependent on these two urban areas for trade and commerce. Peoples income is also higher in the urban areas of Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila, therefore, they also have the maximum amount of savings in the region. So, with the help of strong economy and excellent infrastructural setup, urban areas of Galachipa and Rangabali Upazila have relatively good resilience against potential disasters. Galachipa, Amkhola, Dakua, Golkhali, and Chiknikandi union of Galachipa Upazila and Rangabali, Bara Baisdia, chhoto Baisdia, and part of Char Kajal union of Rangabali Upazila have relatively good economic endurance and infrastructural capacity to fight potential disasters at some extend but still they are not even close to enough. In these unions, economy is basically Agriculture based. So, damage of agricultural products due to disaster events affects the economy of these regions significantly. So, people living in these unions earn less than that of urban areas and they don't have much savings as well. Poor infrastructural setup and weak economy make peoples living in these areas more vulnerable and less resilience than peoples from urban areas to potential disasters. Bakulbaria, and Chaltibunia union of Galachipa Upazila are badly vulnerable due to their remote location from urban area, low infrastructural development and significantly low economic base. So, these areas have been categorized in bad resilience category. The worse scenario can be seen in the lower portion of Bara Baisdia, Char Montaz, Sonar Char and other small islands of Rangabali Upazila. Any kind of infrastructural development can hardly be seen in those areas except some Cycloneshelter. The economy is basically based on deep sea fishing and most of the people are seasonal migrants and highly exposed to natural forces like cyclone, storm surge etc. so, these unions and islands have been categorized as worse resilient. Detail is shown in map 11.3.

The following table shows the assigned weight of different variables;

Table 11-2 Weightage Table

Variable	Influence (%)	Normalized Field Value	weighted Value
Monthly Income	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
<hr/>			
Monthly Savings	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
<hr/>			
Distance from Road	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9

Final Report on Package-4:


Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

Distance from Union Headquarters	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Union Hospital	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Educational Institutions	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from CycloneShelters	11	1	1
		2	2

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from Growth Centers	12	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9
Distance from other Facilities	11	1	1
		2	2
		3	3
		4	4
		5	5
		6	6
		7	7
		8	8
		9	9



Map 11-3 Disaster Resilience Capacity Map

Chapter 12 Recommendations for regional plan and sub regional structure plan with social justice and equity

12.1 Introduction

The success of development plan of any level depends on the synchronization among different tiers of planning and policy making for both the case of top down or bottom-up approaches. The main goals of regional plan and sub regional structure plan is to strengthen regional economy by focusing more on potential economic zones as well as putting emphasis on economically deprived regions to integrate them on production chain. Thus, regional plan and sub regional structure plan helps to ensure equity in economic development and enhance overall economic prosperity. Considering the problems and potentials within the region, recommendations have been provided for the regional development plan and sub-regional structure plan. These recommendations comply with the strategic objectives of National Five-Year Plan and also addresses the poverty reduction objectives as set forth in the 6th and 7th five-year plans. The main areas to be focused on regional plan and sub regional structure plan are as follows:

- ✓ To provide Facilitating to enable sustainable economic opportunities for coastal communities
- ✓ Development of social facilities including education, health, water and sanitation
- ✓ Ensuring social equity among communities.
- ✓ Reducing economic disparity among community.
- ✓ Mitigation of natural disasters
- ✓ Developing productive economic activities by promoting the basic economic products which are agriculture and fishing in this region.
- ✓ Management of the coastal environment by enhancing its protection and regeneration
- ✓ Management of the water resources in the region
- ✓ Development infrastructure for rural market, growth center and road transport.

12.2 Recommendations for regional plan considering social justice and equity

- ✓ Promote acceleration of GDP growth, employment generation and rapid poverty reduction
- ✓ Identifying potential tourist spots scattered over different places in this region and modernizing and regenerating existing ones like Sonar Char.
- ✓ Diversification of agricultural crops.
- ✓ Identification of agro-ecological zoning to identify areas suitable for different crops
- ✓ Formulate the policy of road development to ensure regional connectivity.
- ✓ Promoting eco-tourism as alternative income from ecosystem.
- ✓ Develop active flood control plan on the basis of river basin management.
- ✓ Strengthening and ensuring proper management and functioning of the coastal polders/ embankments
- ✓ Housing and critical services building like hospital, road networks etc. should be resistant to flood and cyclone.
- ✓ Adopt hazard sensitive land use zoning.

12.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUB REGIONAL PLAN CONSIDERING SOCIAL JUSTICE

- ✓ Promotion of equitable, environment-friendly, inclusive, and socially sustainable economic growth.
- ✓ Improve institutional capability for training, planning, safety, and environmental control.
- ✓ Improve embankments and polders to prevent salinity intrusion along the coast.
- ✓ Prevent Cannel encroachment.
- ✓ Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas, and marine resources for sustainable
- ✓ Development and prevent over fishing.
- ✓ Develop a market economy structure with appropriate government interventions.
- ✓ Develop agro-based product processing and packaging industries.
- ✓ Formulate a proper solid waste management plan.
- ✓ Develop a development strategy to ensure proper expansion of education.
- ✓ Expand and improve farm-to-market rural roads to expand market economy.
- ✓ Restrict conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural uses such as housing and settlement.
- ✓ Conserve and preserve wetlands and ecosystems.
- ✓ Promote safe and reliable waterway transport for rivers as well as through Bay of Bengal to access remote Char areas.
- ✓ Ensure long-term water and food security, economic growth as well as environmental
- ✓ sustainability through infrastructure development and effective management
- ✓ Establish remote water treatment plant for wastewater.
- ✓ Formulate a proper land use plan
- ✓ Establish Especial Economic Zones to reallocate small and medium heavy polluting industries, from residential/commercial areas.

Chapter 13 Conclusion

In order to formulate a plan, it is required to incorporate each and every aspect that have planning implication. Socioeconomic aspects are considered crucial for the overall sustainability of any type of development plan. Existing economic condition, Social norms, cultural heterogeneity, indignity, demography, religious groups, income and expenditure pattern, savings and affordability, occupational class, recreation, education, health, resilience all these factors are non-negatable to understand the very basic about any area to be planned because without the knowledge of these aspects no one can draw a clear view of the project area and it's dynamics. In this project, unique ID has been assigned for each of the houses from which data sample have been collected. Photo of the painted unique ID has been taken so that they can be easily identified for future reference. This project's work program and time schedule have been developed based on the guidelines of the Terms of Reference (ToR). Modern tools and technologies such as online survey platform have been incorporated to conduct socioeconomic and other surveys to ensure delivery of the data in a comfortable format for data analysis and better visualization.

PART-C
APPENDIX A- UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION
PICTURE
GALACHIPA & RANGABALI UPAZILA

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA UPAZILA



Photo 01: Picture of house in Amkhola Union



Photo 02: Picture of house in Bakulbaria Union



Photo 03: Picture of house in Char Biswas Union



Photo 04: Picture of house in Char Kajal Union



Photo 05: Picture of house in Chiknikandi Union



Photo 06: Picture of house in Dakua Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA UPAZILA



Photo 07: Picture of house in Galachipa Union



Photo 08: Picture of house in Gazalia Union



Photo 09: Picture of house in Golkhali Union



Photo 10: Picture of house in Kalagachia Union



Photo 11: Picture of house in Panpatti Union



Photo 12: Picture of house in Ratandi Taltoli Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA UPAZILA





Photo 01: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Chiknikandi Union





Photo 02: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Bakulbaria Union




Photo 03: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Char Biswas Union




Photo 04: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Char Kajal Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA UPAZILA





Photo 05: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Chiknikandi Union





Photo 06: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Dakua Union





Photo 07: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Galachipa Union




Photo 08: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Gazalia Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE GALACHIPA UPAZILA




Photo 09: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Golkhali Union




Photo 10: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Kalagachia Union





Photo 11: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Panpatti Union




Photo 12: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Ratandi Taltoli Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE RANGABALI UPAZILA



Photo 01: Picture of house in Bara Baisdia Union



Photo 02: Picture of house in Chhoto Baisdia Union



Photo 03: Picture of house in Char Montaz Union



Photo 04: Picture of house in Rangabali Union



Photo 05: Picture of house in Chalitabunia Union

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE RANGABALI UPAZILA




Photo 01: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Bara Baisdia Union




Photo 02: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Chhoto Baisdia Union





Photo 03: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Char Montaz Union

UNION WISE DATA COLLECTION PICTURE RANGABALI UPAZILA




Photo 04: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Rangabali Union





Photo 05: Picture of Mental Map and Unique ID in Chalitabunia Union

APPENDIX B- Sample of Survey Format

পায়রা বন্দর নগরী ও কুয়াকাটা উপকূলীয় অঞ্চলের পরিবেশ পর্যটনভিত্তিক সমন্বিত পরিকল্পনা প্রণয়ন
নগর উন্নয়ন অধিদপ্তর
৮২, সেগুনবাগিচা, ঢাকা-১০০০, বাংলাদেশ

নমুনা নম্বর..... ফিজিক্যাল ফিচার আই ডিজরিপের
তারিখ.....

১. জরিপ তালিকা :

১.১ গ্রাম / মহল্লার নাম : ১.২ মৌজার নাম/ওয়ার্ড.....

১.৩ সাক্ষাতকার প্রদানকারীর নাম :

১.৪ পরিবার প্রধানের সাথে সম্পর্ক.....

২. পরিবার পরিচিতি (Household Info.)

২.১ পরিবার প্রধানের নাম : ২.২ পরিবারের মোট সদস্য :.....

২.৩ পরিবারের ধরণ : ১. একক ২. যৌথ

২.৪ ধর্ম : ১. মুসলিম ২. হিন্দু ৩. বৌদ্ধ ৪. খ্রীষ্টান

২.৫ বর্তমান ঠিকানা : বাড়ীর নম্বর/দাগ নম্বর : ল্যান্ড মার্কঃ রাস্তার নাম/নম্বর :

৩. খানার জনসংখ্যা ও আর্থ সামাজিক বৈশিষ্ট্য (HH Pop & Socio – Economic Condition)

খানা প্রধানের সাথে সম্পর্ক	বয়স	লিঙ্গ ১= পুরুষ, ২= মহিলা	বৈবাহিক অবস্থা ২. কোড	শিক্ষা (বয়স ৫+) ৩. কোড	পেশা ৪. কোড

কোড :

১-খানা প্রধানের সাথে সম্পর্ক ১. খানা প্রধান ২. স্ত্রী/স্বামী ৩. পুত্র/কন্যা ৪. পিতা/ মাতা ৫. ভাই/বোন ৬. চাচা/চাচি
৭. ভাতিজা/ভাতিজি ৮. মামা/মামি ৯. ভাগ্নে/ভাগ্নি ১০. নাতি/নাত্নি ১১. পুত্রবধু/জামাতা ১২. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

২- বৈবাহিক অবস্থা ১. অবিবাহিত ২. বিবাহিত ৩. বিধবা/বিপত্নিক ৪. তালাক প্রাপ্ত ৫. পৃথক

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

৩- শিক্ষা আলিম অন্যান্য	১.নিরক্ষর ২. প্রাথমিক ৩. নিম্ন মাধ্যমিক ৪. মাধ্যমিক ৫. এস.এস.সি/ দাখিল ৬. এইস.এস.সি/ ৭. ডিগ্রি/অনার্স/ফাযিল ৮. ডাঃ/প্রকৌঃ/এ্যাডঃ ৯. মাস্টার্স ও উর্ধে ১০. টেকনিক্যাল ডিগ্রী ১১.
৪- পেশা কাজে	১. সরকারী ২. সায়ত্বশাসিত ৩. বেসরকারী ৪. স্বনিয়োজিত (উ.ক.) ৫. ব্যবসা (ক্ষুদ্র / মাঝারি) ৬. কৃষি ৭. দক্ষ শ্রমিক ৮. অদক্ষ শ্রমিক ৯. শিল্প কারখানায় ১০. নির্মাণ কাজ ১১. পরিবহন কাজ ১২. গৃহস্থালী কর্মি ১৩. দিনমজুর ১৪. মুদি দোকান ১৫. ছাত্র ১৬. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

৪. পরিবারের মাসিক আয় (Income)

৪.১ বিদেশ থেকে প্রাপ্ত আয় কত?

৪.২ পরিবারের মোট আয়.....

৫. পরিবারিক মাসিক ব্যয় (টাকায়)

বাড়ী বাবদ	ভাড়া	খাদ্য	যাতায়াত	স্বাস্থ্য	পোষাক	শিক্ষা	বিদ্যুৎ, জ্বালানী, টেলিফোন ইত্যাদী

৬. আপনার মাসিক সঞ্চয় কত?.....

৬.১ কোথায় সঞ্চয় করেন? ১। ব্যাংক ২। সমিতি ৩। এনজিও ৪। নিজের কাছে

৭. ঋণ (Lone)

৭.১ আপনার ঋণ আছে কিনা? ১। হ্যাঁ ২। না

৭.২ ঋণ কোথা থেকে নিয়েছেন?

৭.৩ আপনার মাসিক কিস্তির পরিমাণ কত?

৮. অভিগমন তথ্য (Migration)

৮.১ খানা প্রধানের জন্মস্থান কি এই এলাকায়? ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

উত্তর না হলে খানা প্রধানের আন্তঃগমন (অন্য জেলা থেকে) সম্পর্কিত তথ্য দিন :

৮.২ খানা প্রধান কোন এলাকা থেকে এসেছেন?.....

৮.৩ কত সালে এসেছেন?

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

৮.৪ কার সাথে এসেছেন?

৮.৫ খানা প্রধানের আন্তঃ গমনের কারন (টিক চিহ্ন দিন)

১. কর্মস্থল	২. রাজনৈতিক	৩. বৈবাহিক	৪. ব্যবসায়িক	৫. উন্নত শিক্ষা
৬. নদী ভাঙ্গন	৭. আর্থিক স্বচ্ছলতা	৮. ঘূর্ণিঝড়	৯. বন্যা	১০. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

৮.৬ পরিবারের কোন সদস্য নিজ এলাকার বাহিরে থাকেন কি না (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. না ২. দেশে(স্থায়ী/অস্থায়ী)
৩. বিদেশে(স্থায়ী/অস্থায়ী)

৮.৭ নিজ এলাকার বাহিরে থাকার কারন কি (টিক চিহ্ন দিন)

১. চাকুরী/ কর্মস্থল	২. বৈবাহিক কারন	৩. পরিবেশগত কারণে	৪. উন্নত শিক্ষা	৫. দারিদ্র
৬. সামাজিক অস্থিরতা	৭. ব্যবসায়িক	৮. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)		

৮.৮ কতদিন পর পর নিজ এলাকায় ফিরে আসেন (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. ১-৭ দিন ২. ৭-১৫ দিন ৩. ১৫-৩০ দিন ৪. ৩০+ দিন

৯. জমি ও গৃহ (Land and Housing)

৯.১ খানা প্রধান বসবাসের বাড়ি / জমির / ফ্ল্যাটের মালিক কি না? ১. হ্যা ২. না (৯.৪ থেকে ৯.১৩ পর্যন্ত বাদ দিন)

৯.২ বাড়ীর কাঠামোর ধরণ (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. পাকা ইমারত (তলা.....) ২. সেমি পাকা ৩. কাঁচা ৪. ঝুপড়ি ৫. টিনসেট

৯.৩ আপনার বাড়ির উঠানসহ ১) আয়তন (বর্গফুট).....২) ঘরের সংখ্যা :.....৩) অন্যান্য :
.....

৯.৪ বাড়িটির নির্মাণ কাজ কাল ?.....

৯.৫ বাড়ির ভিটি/পীড়ার উচ্চতা (Plinth Height) কত?.....

৯.৬ বাড়ির প্লান পৌরসভা কর্তৃক অনুমোদিত কি না (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. হ্যা ২. না

৯.৭ বাড়ী নির্মাণে আইন মেনে না থাকলে কারন কি?

১. এ ব্যাপারে অবগত নন	২. তেমন বাধ্যবাধকতা নেই	৩. ঝামেলা মনে করে	৪. জায়গা কম তাই	৫. অন্যান্য(উ.ক.)
-----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

১০. অবকাঠামোগত সুবিধাদি (Infrastructural Facilities)

১০.১ প্রধান রাস্তার সমস্যা (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. যানঘট ২. অপ্রশস্থ ৩. বর্জ্য ও হকার দ্বারা রাস্তা দখল ৪. রাস্তা খারাপ
৫. অন্যান্য (উ.ক)

১০.২ বেড়ীবাঁধের উচ্চতা কত?..... বাড়তে হবে কি না? ১. হ্যা ২. না

১০.৩ ড্রেন (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. পাকা ২. কাঁচা ৩. নাই

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

১০.৪ পানির উৎস (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.পাইপ লাইন ২.টিউবয়েল ৩.কমন টিউবয়েল ৪.খাল/নদী ৫.পুকুর
৬.অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

১০.৫ বন্যাকালীন খাবার পানির ব্যবস্থা কি?

১০.৬ পানি সরবরাহ পর্যাপ্ত কি না (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

১০.৭ পানি মান (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১.পানযোগ্য ২. পানযোগ্য নয় ৩. আর্সেনিক যুক্ত ৪. গন্ধযুক্ত
৫. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

১০.৮ পানি সংগ্রহের সমস্যা (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. সংগ্রহে দীর্ঘ সময় লাগে ২. উৎস দূরে ৩. অনিয়মিত সরবরাহ ৪.
অন্যান্য

১০.৯ বৃষ্টির পানি সংগ্রহের কোন ব্যবস্থা আছে কি-না ? ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

১০.১০ জালানী উৎস (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.সিলিন্ডার গ্যাস ২.পাইপ গ্যাস ৩.কেরোসিন ৪.লাকড়ি ৫. বৈদ্যুতিক
হিটার

৬.বায়োগ্যাস ৭.অন্যান্য

১০.১১ আলোর উৎস (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.বিদ্যুৎ ২.কুপি/বাতি/হেরিকেন ৩.মোমবাতি ৪ সৌর বিদ্যুৎ ৫.অন্যান্য
(উ.ক.)

১০.১২ পয়ঃনিষ্কাশনের ধরন (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.কাচা ২.সেমি পাকা ৩.পাকা ৪. টিনসেট ৫.অন্যান্য

১০.১৩ পয়ঃনিষ্কাশন ব্যবস্থা স্বাস্থ্যসম্মত কি-না (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.হ্যাঁ ২.না

১০.১৪ আবর্জনা ফেলার স্থান (টিক চিহ্ন দিন): ১.খাল/নদীতে ২.বাড়ীর বাইরে ৩.ডাষ্টবিন ৪.বাড়ী থেকে সংগ্রহ করে
নেওয়া হয়

৫.মাটির গর্তে ৬.অন্যান্য

১১. সামাজিক সুবিধাদির অবস্থা : স্বাস্থ্য, শিক্ষা, চিকিৎসাবিনোদনের অন্যান্য

১১.১ গত এক বছরে আপনার পরিবার কি কি রোগে আক্রান্ত হয়েছিল? (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

পানিবাহিত : ১. আমাশয় ২. ডায়রিয়া ৩. চর্ম ৪. জন্ডিস ৫. অন্যান্য

বায়ু বাহিত : ১. সর্দি কাশি ২. যক্ষ্মা ৩. অন্যান্য

অন্যান্য রোগ : ১. হার্ট এ্যাটাক ২. ডায়বেটিকস ৩. কিডনি ৪. মশাবাহিত ৫. অন্যান্য(উ.ক.)

১১.২ চিকিৎসার জন্য কোথায় যান (টিক চিহ্ন দিন):

১. ডিগ্রিধারী ডাক্তার ২. সরকারী হাসপাতাল ৩. গ্রাম্য চিকিৎসক ৪. দাতব্য চিকিৎসালয় ৫. প্রাইভেট ক্লিনিক

৬. কমিউনিটি ক্লিনিক ৭. ঔষাধলয় (প্রাকটিশনার) ৮. হোমিও ডাক্তার ৯ হেকিম / কবিরাজ ১০. অন্যান্য
(উ.ক.)

১১.৩ আপনার সন্তান বিদ্যালয়ে যায় কি না (টিক দিন) ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না (হলে ১১.৮ প্রশ্ন হবে)

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

১১.৪ আপনার সন্তান শিক্ষা প্রতিষ্ঠানে না যাওয়ার কারন : (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. আর্থিক অস্বচ্ছলতা ২. শিশু শ্রমিক ৩. শিক্ষায় পরিবারের অনিচ্ছা ৪. পিতামাতার অনাগ্রহ ৫. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

১১.৫ চিত্র বিনোদনের জন্য কোথায় যাওয়া হয় (টিক চিহ্ন দিন)

১. খেলার মাঠ	২. প্রাকৃতিক সৌন্দর্যমন্ডিত স্থান	৩. শপিং মল	৪. পার্ক	৫. ক্লাব
৬. পর্যটন স্থান	৭. মেলা	৮. জিমনেসিয়াম	৯. সিনেমা দেখা	

১১.৬ চিত্র বিনোদনের ধরণ (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) : ১. নিয়মিত ২. অনিয়মিত

১১.৭ বাসস্থান থেকে চিত্রবিনোদনের স্থানের দূরত্বঃ.....মি.

১১.৮ আপনার অবসর সময় কিভাবে কাটান (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) : ১. টেলিভিশন দেখে ২. বই পড়ে ৩. আত্মীয়ের বাসায় বেড়ানো

৪. গৃহস্থালীর কাজ করে ৫. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

১১.৯ সার্ভিস :

সার্ভিস	দূরত্ব	বাহন	সময়	সেবার মান
বাজার				
বিপণী কেন্দ্র				
পোস্ট অফিস				
ফায়ার সার্ভিস				
হাসপাতাল				
অ্যাম্বুলেন্স				
কমিউনিটি সেন্টার /মিলনায়তন				

বাহন : ১. হেটে ২. রিকসা ৩. ভ্যান ৪. সাইকেল ৫. মোটর সাইকেল ৬. গাড়ী ৭. বাস ৮.মাইক্রোবাস ৯. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

সেবার মান : ১. ভাল ২. মোটামুটি ৩. খারাপ

১১.১০ ফায়ার সার্ভিসে যোগাযোগের মাধ্যম (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) : ১. হেটে ২. রিকসা ৩. ভ্যান ৪. সাইকেল ৫. মোটর সাইকেল ৬. গাড়ী

১২. প্রাকৃতিক ও অন্যান্য দুর্যোগ (Natural and others Disaster)

১২.১ আপনার এলাকার জলাবদ্ধতা আছে কি না? (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. হ্যা ২. না

১২.২ জলাবদ্ধতা থাকলে তার কারন (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) : ১. নিচু এলাকা ২. পানি নিষ্কাশনের ব্যবস্থা নেই ৩. পানি নিষ্কাশন ব্যবস্থা বন্ধ

৪. অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

১২.৩ জলাবদ্ধতা কত সময় পর্যন্ত স্থায়ী হয় (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. ০-১ ঘন্টা ২. ১-৩ ঘন্টা ৩. ৩-৫ ঘন্টা ৪. ৫ ঘন্টার উর্ধে

১২.৪ সুইজ গোটগুলো কার্যক্রম আছে কি না? ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

১২.৫ ২০০৭ এবং ২০০৯ এ যে ক্ষতি হয়েছে তার মাত্রা এবং বর্তমানে তার পুনরুদ্ধারের মাত্রা।

খাতসমূহ	সময়কাল					
	২০০৭ ও ২০০৯			২০১৮		
	সামান্য ক্ষতি	ক্ষতি হয়নি	সম্পূর্ণ ক্ষতি	সামান্য পুনরুদ্ধার	পুনরুদ্ধার সম্ভব হয়নি	সম্পূর্ণ পুনরুদ্ধার
ঘর-বাড়ি						
গৃহপালিত পশু						
কৃষি জমি ও শস্য						

১২.৬ আপনার এলাকায় ঘূর্ণিঝড় হলে, ১) সাল..... ২) ক্ষতির পরিমান (টাকা)..... ৩) প্রযোজ্য নয়

১২.৭ আপনার এলাকায় আশুন লাগলে, ১) সাল..... ২) ক্ষতির পরিমান (টাকা)..... ৩) প্রযোজ্য নয়

১২.৮ এলাকার খালগুলো ভরাট হয়ে গেছে কিনা? ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

১২.৯ জলোচ্ছাস হলে, ১) সাল..... ২) ক্ষতির পরিমান (টাকা)..... ৩) প্রযোজ্য নয়

১২.১০ দূর্যোগ মোকাবেলায় সবচেয়ে জরুরী কি কি বা কোন কোন পদক্ষেপ গ্রহন করা উচিত বলে মনে করেন ?

১.....

২.....

৩.....

৪.....

১২.১১ এলাকায় নদীভাঙ্গন আছে কি না?

১২.১২ আপনার এলাকাতে কোন ধরনের জলবায়ু পরিবর্তন লক্ষ করছেন কি না? (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১. হ্যাঁ ২. না

১৩. এলাকার অন্যান্য সমস্যা (Other Problem)

১৩.১ এলাকার সর্বাধিক গুরুত্বপূর্ণ সমস্যা কোনটি(প্রথম হলে ১. দ্বিতীয় হলে ২. তৃতীয় হলে ৩. চতুর্থ হলে ৪. পঞ্চম হলে ৫ হিসেবে চিহ্নিত

করণ) ?

Final Report on Package-4:

Under "Preparation of Payra – Kuakata Comprehensive Plan Focusing Eco Tourism (PKCP)

লোডশেডিং	যানজট	যাতায়াত ব্যবস্থা	রাস্তাঘাট সম্পর্কিত	বজর্য নিক্ষেপনের জায়গার অভাব
বিদ্যুৎ সমস্যা	জলাবদ্ধতা	পয়ঃনিষ্কাশন	ভাল শিক্ষা প্রতিষ্ঠানের অভাব	আইন শৃঙ্খলার অবনতি
খাবার পানির অভাব	বাজার দূরে	পাহাড়ী ঢল	অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)	

১৩.২ আপনার এলাকার অর্থনৈতিক ভিত্তি কি? (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) :) ১) কৃষি পণ্য উৎপাদন ও বিপণন

২) শিল্প পণ্য উৎপাদন ও বিপণন ৩) সেবা খাত ৪) অন্যান্য (উ.ক.)

১৩.৩ আপনার এলাকাতে কখনও ভূমি (Land-use) ব্যবহারের পরিবর্তন হয়েছে? ১. ভূমিকম্প ২. বন্যা ৩. বাড় ৪.

অর্থনৈতিক

৫. সামাজিক ৬. অন্যান্য(উ.ক.)

১৩.৪ কেন ভূমি (Land-use) ব্যবহার এর পরিবর্তন হয়েছে : ১. ভূমিকম্প ২. বন্যা ৩. বাড় ৪. অর্থনৈতিক

৫. সামাজিক ৬. অন্যান্য(উ.ক.)

১৩.৫ আপনার বাড়ির সামনের রাস্তা প্রশস্ত করনে জমি ছাড়তে ইচ্ছুক কি না (টিক চিহ্ন দিন) ১) হ্যাঁ ২) না

১৩.৬ উত্তর হ্যাঁ হলে জমির পরিমাণ.....

APPENDIX C- Sample of Survey Form of Kobo Toolbox

GEOMARK-PK4

SampleID

Physical Feature ID

Location

latitude (x.y °)

longitude (x.y °)

altitude (m)

accuracy (m)



Date & Time

yyyy-mm-dd

hh:mm

Picture1: House

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Picture2 : House

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Upazila / Paurasava

- Galachipa Paurasava
- Galachipa Upazila
- Rangabali Upazila

Union Name

1.1 Village/Moholla Name

1.2 Mouza /Ward No.

1.3 Name of the Respondent

1.4 Phone Number

2.1 Respondent's Relationship with Household head

- Self
- wife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Gramddaughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law

2.2 Household Head Name

2.3 Number of Family Member

2.4 Family Type

- Single
- Joined

2.5 Religion

- Islam
- Hindu
- Buddhist
- Christian

2.6 Household Address: House Name/holding Number

2.7 Landmark

2.8 Road Name/ No.

3.1 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.1 Age

3.1 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.1 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.1 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.1 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

3.2 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.2 Age

3.2 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.2 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.2 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.2 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

3.3 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.3 Age

3.3 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.3 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.3 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.3 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

3.4 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.4 Age

3.4 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.4 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.4 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.4 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

3.5 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.5 Age

3.5 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.5 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.5 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.5 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

3.6 Relation with HH

- Housewife/Husband
- Son/Daughter
- Father/Mother
- Brother/Sister
- Uncle/Aunty
- Nephew
- Mama/Mami
- Grandson/Grand daughter
- Son-in-law/Daughter-in-law
- Self

3.6 Age

3.6 Sex

- Male
- Female

3.6 Marital status

- Unmarried
- Married
- Widow
- Divorced
- Separated

3.6 Education

- Illiterate
- Primary
- Junior Secondary
- Secondary
- SSC/Dhakil
- HSC/Amim
- Degree/Honours/ Fazil
- Doctor/Engineer/Advocate
- Masters or Higher
- Technical
- Others

3.6 Occupation

- Government
- Autonomous Body
- Private
- Self dependent
- Business(Small/Medium)
- Agriculture
- Skilled labour
- Unskilled Labour
- Industry
- Construction Work
- Transport Work
- Housewife
- Day Labour
- Shopkeeper
- Student
- Techer
- Retired Govt. Official
- Other

4. Household Monthly Income (TK) if any

- 0-5000
- 5000-10000
- 10000-15000
- 15000-20000
- 20000-25000
- 25000-30000
- 30000-35000
- 35000-40000
- 40000-45000
- 45000-50000
- 50000+tk

4.1 Total Household Income (TK)

- 0-5000
- 5000-10000
- 10000-15000
- 15000-20000
- 20000-25000
- 25000-30000
- 30000-35000
- 35000-40000
- 40000-45000
- 45000-50000
- 50000+tk

5. Total Household Monthly Expenditure (TK)

- 0-5000
- 5000-10000
- 10000-15000
- 15000-20000
- 20000-25000
- 25000-30000
- 30000-35000
- 35000-40000
- 40000-45000
- 45000-50000
- 50000+tk

5.1 Expenditure on House Rent (Tk)

5.2 Expenditure on Food (Tk)

5.3 Expenditure on Transport (Tk)

5.4 Expenditure on Health (Tk)

5.5 Expenditure on Cloth (Tk)

5.6 Expenditure on Education (Tk)

5.7 Expenditure on Electricity,Fuel,Telephone etc. (Tk)

5.8 Expenditure on Children (Tk)

6. Monthly Savings (Tk)

- 0-5000
- 5000-10000
- 10000-15000
- 15000-20000
- 20000-25000
- 25000-30000
- 30000-35000
- 35000-40000
- 40000-45000
- 45000-50000
- 50000+tk
- No Saving

6.1 Place of Saving

- Bank
- Micro Credit Banking
- NGO
- Own
- Not Applicable

7. Do you have Loan?

- Yes
- No

7.1 If Yes, Where do you get the loan?

7.2 What is the monthly installment to pay?

8. Is HH Birthplace here?

- Yes
- No

8.1. If the answer is No, then HH migrated from which district?

8.2 HH year of migrated?

8.3 Migrated with whom?

8.5 HH reason of Migration

- Workplace
- Political
- Marital
- Business
- Higher Education
- River corrosion
- Financial well-being
- Flood
- Others

8.6 Is any member staying outside of the locality?

- No
- Country Permanent
- Country Temporary
- Outside Country (Permanent)
- Outside Country (Temporary)

8.7 Reason of Migration

- Job/Workplace
- Martial Reason
- Environmental reason
- Higher Education
- Poverty

8.8 Visit House after how many days

- 1-7 days
- 7-15 days
- 15-30 days
- 30+ days

9.1 Is HH head the owner of house/land/flat?

- Yes
- No

9.2 If yes give the Structure of Hous

- Pucca
- Semipucca
- Katcha
- Jhupri
- Tin shed

9.2.1 If pucca then (Number of floor)

9.3.1 House area including yard (Sq. ft.)

9.3.2 Number of House

9.4 Year of construction of House

9.5 what is the Plinth Height of the house (ft.)?

9.6 Is the Plan/Design of this House is approved by Pourashava?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

9.7 Is the House is built by following proper rules and regulation?

- Yes
- No
- Not Applicable

9.8 Reason for not following the rules to built house?

- Not Informed
- Not so binding
- Seems to Problematic
- Small Space
- Not Applicable
- Other

10.1 Problem of Main Road

- Traffic Jam
- Narrow
- Occupied by waste and Hakar
- Damaged
- Others

10.2 Height of the Embankment (ft.)

10.2 Is it needed to increase the height?

- Yes
- No

10.3 Drain

- Pucca
- Katcha
- No Drain

10.4 Source of Water

- Pipeline
- Tubewell
- Comon Tubewel
- River/Canal
- Pond

10.5 Is there any arrangement for drinking water during Flood?

- Yes
- No

10.6 Is Water Supply is Sufficient?

- Yes
- No

10.7 Quality of Water

- Drinkable
- Not Drinkable
- Arsenic Contaminated
- Smell Contaminated
- Others

10.8 Problem to collect Water

- Take long time to collect
- Source is so far
- Irrigula Supply
- Not Applicable

10.9 Is there any arrangement for collecting Rain Water?

- Yes
- No

10.10 Source of Fuel

- Cylinder Gas
- Pipeline Gas
- Kerosine
- Wood
- Electric Hitter
- Biogas
- Others

10.11 Source of Light

- Electricity
- Kupu/Bathi/Harican
- Candle
- Solar Electricity
- Others

10.12 Type of Sanitation

- Katcha
- Pucca
- Semipucca
- Other
- Tin shed

10.13 Is sanitation is hygenic?

- Yes
- No

10.14 Waste Disposal location

- Canal/River
- Outside of house
- Dustbin
- Collected from house
- Under Soil
- Others

11.1 Attacked by diseases in the last year

- Yes
- No

11.1 If yes (Diseases)

- Amashoy
- Diarrhea
- Skin
- Jondis
- Others
- Paralises

11.1 Diseases (Others)

11.2 Where you do go for Medical facilities

- Doctor
- Govt. Hospital
- Village doctor
- Charity Hospital
- Private Clinic
- Community Clinic

11.3 Is your Children goes to school?

- Yes
- No

11.4 Cause of children not going to school

- Poverty
- Child Labour
- Unwillingness by family
- Unwillingness by parents
- Under Aged

11.4 Others (Specify)

11.5 Location for Recreation

- Play field
- Naturally Beautiful Place
- Shoping Mall
- Park
- Club

11.6 Recreation Type

- Regular
- iregular

11.7 Recreation facilities distance from house(m)

11.8 How you will pass your leisure Time

- Watching Television
- Reading Book
- Going to relatives house
- Doing Households work
- Others

11.9.1.1 Bazar_Distance (m)

11.9.1.2 Bazar_Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Car
- Bus
- Microbus
- Others
- Boat

11.9.1.3 Bazar_Travel Time

11.9.1.4 Bazar_ModeOthers

11.9.1.5 Bazar_Service Quality

- Good
- Average
- Bad

11.9.2.1 Shopping Mall_Distance

11.9.2.2 Shopping Mall_Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Boat

11.9.2.3 Shopping Mall_Travel Time

11.9.2.4 Shopping Mall_ModeOthers

11.9.2.5 Shopping Mall_Service Quality

- Good
- Average
- Bad

11.9.3.1 Post Office_Distance(m)

11.9.3.2 Post Office_Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Boat

11.9.3.3 Post Office_Travel Time (mnt)

11.9.3.4 Post Office_ModeOthers

11.9.3.5 Post Office_Service Quality

- Good
- Average
- Bad

11.9.4.1 Fire Service_Distance (m)

11.9.4.2 Fire Service_Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Boat

11.9.4.3 Fire Service_Travel Time (mnt)

11.9.4.4 Fire ServiceModeOthers

11.9.4.5 Fire Service_Service Quality

- Good
- Average
- Bad

11.9.5.1 Hospital_Distance (m)

11.9.5.2 Hospital_Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Boat

11.9.5.3 Hospital_Travel Time (mnt)

11.9.5.4 HospitalModeOthers

11.9.5.5 Hospital_Service Quality Good Average Bad**11.9.6.1 Ambulance_Distance**

11.9.6.2 Ambulance_Transport Mode On foot Rickshaw Van Bicycle Motorcycle Boat**11.9.6.3 Ambulance_Travel Time (mnt)**

11.9.6.4 AmbulanceModeOthers

11.9.6.5 Ambulance_Service Quality Good Average Bad**11.9.7.1 Community CenterAuditoriumDistance(m)**

11.9.7.2 Community CenterAuditoriumTransport Mode On foot Rickshaw Van Bicycle Motorcycle Boat

11.9.7.3 Community Center Auditorium Travel Time (mnt)

11.9.7.2 Community Center Auditorium Transport Mode

- On foot
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorcycle
- Boat

11.9.7.4 Community Center Auditorium Mode_Others

11.9.7.5 Community Center Auditorium Service Quality

- Good
- Average
- Bad

11.10 Medium of Connecting Fire Service

- Walking
- Rickshaw
- Van
- Bicycle
- Motorbike
- Car
- Bus
- Mobile/Telephone

12.1 Water Logging in your area

- Yes
- No

12.2 Cause of water logging (if yes 13.1)

- Low area
- No drainage system
- Lock of Drainage sysyem
- Others

12.3 Duration of water logging

- less than 1 hour
- 1-3 hours
- 3-5 hours

12.4 Are the switch gates Operational?

- Yes
- No

12.5.1 Severity of damage on houses during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little damage
- No damage
- Full Damage

12.5.2 Level of recovery on houses damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery

12.5.3 Severity of damage on domestic animal during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little damage
- No damage
- Full Damage

12.5.4 Level of recovery on domestic animal damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery

12.5.5 Severity of damage on Agricultural Land & Production during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery
- Option 4

12.5.6 Level of recovery on Agricultural Land & Production damage during 2007 & 2009 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery
- Option 4

12.5.7 Severity of damage on houses during 2018 disaster

- Little damage
- No damage
- Full Damage

12.5.8 Level of recovery on houses damage during 2018 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery

12.5.9 Severity of damage on domestic animal during 2018 disaster

- Little damage
- No damage
- Full Damage

12.5.10 Level of recovery on domestic animal damage during 2018 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery

12.5.11 Severity of damage on Agricultural Land & Production during 2018 disaster

- Little damage
- No damage
- Full Damage

12.5.12 Level of recovery on Agricultural Land & Production damage during 2018 disaster

- Little recovery
- No recovery
- Full recovery

12.6 Cyclone/Tornado in your area(Year)

12.7 Amount of damage by Cyclone/Tornado (Tk)

12.8 Fire in your area(Year)

12.9 amount of damage by Fire (Tk)

12.10 Is Canal Encroachment is occurring in your area?

Yes

No

12.11 Flow tide in your area(Year)

12.12 Amount of damage by flow tide (Tk)

12.13 In your opinion, which are the most important initiatives during a disaster?

12.14 Is River Bank erosion occurring in your area?

Yes

No

12.15 Are there any symptom of climate change in your area?

Yes

No

13.1 First problem in this area

- Load shedding
- Traffic Jam
- Transportation
- Road
- Lack of Waste disposal point
- Electricity Problem
- Water logging
- Sewerage problem
- Qualitiful Educational Unstitutio
- Disorganization of law
- Lack of Drinking water
- long distance of Bazar
- Flash flood
- Land Slide
- Others

13.2 What is the economic base of your area?

- Agri product production and marketing
- Industrial product production and marketing
- Service Sector
- Others

13.2 Others (Specify)

13.3 Is there any land use change occurred in your area?

- Yes
- No

13.4 Why land use have been changed

- Earthquake
- Flood
- Storm
- Economic
- Social
- Others

13.4 Others (Specify)

13.5 Would you give land for widening of road

Yes

No

13.6 If yes, the area of land you want to give (sq. ft.)

14. Picture : Structure ID with house

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

15. Mental Map Picture

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

16. Travel Pattern q12

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

18. Surveyor Name

19. Surveyor Mobile No.
